# In The Matter Of:

Pledger v. Janssen

(Jury Trial-Morning Session) XIII February 11, 2015

John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter City of Philadelphia First Judicial District Of Pennsylvania 100 South Broad Street, 2nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19110

Original File 11FEBRUARY-2015-DJERASSI-MORNING-FINISHED.txt Min-U-Script® with Word Index

	Pledger	v. Ja	nssen		
		Ť.		-vs- JANSSEN, et al	Page 3
1	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY	1	APPEARAN	CES: (Continued)	
2	FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL TRIAL DIVISION	2			<b>,</b>
3		3		WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLE BY: DIANE P. SULLIVAN, ESC ALLISON BROWN, ESCUIRE	UIRE
4	IN RE: RISPERDAL® LITIGATION : March Term, 2010, No. 296 :	4		ALLISON BROWN, ESCUIRE (admitted pro hac vice 301 Carnegie Center, Suite Princeton, New Jersey 0854( T: 609-986-1100 F; 212-310-	_) ≥)
5	•	5		Princeton, New Jersey 0854(	)
	Phillip Pledger, et al., : Plaintiffs, : APRIL TERM, 2012			E-mail: diane.sullivan@weil	L.COM
6		6		E-mail: allison.brown@weil. Counsel for Defendant Jansser	n Pharma.,
7	Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.,: Johnson & Johnson Company, :	7		J&J, and Janssen Research & De	evelopment
8	and Janssen Pharmaceutical : Research and Development, :	8			
9	L.L.C. : Defendants.	9			
10		10			
11		11	Also H	Present:	
12		12		Priscilla M. Brandon, Esq., Shel	ller, P.C.
13	WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2015	13		Marianne Mari, Tipstaff	
14		14		Cory Smith, Video Technicia	an
15	COURTROOM 425	15		Ken Reed, Video Technician	
16	CITY HALL PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	16		Thomas F. Campion, Esquire	
17		17		Benita Pledger	
18	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE RAMY I. DJERASSI, J.,	18		-	
19	and a Jury	19			
20		20			
21	JURY TRIAL - VOLUME XIII	21			
22	- MORNING SESSION -	22			
23	REPORTED BY:	23			
24	JOHN J. KURZ, RMR, CRR REGISTERED MERIT REPORTER	24			
25	CERTIFIED REALTIME REPORTER OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	25			
- PL	EDGER, et alvs- JANSSEN, et al Page 2	- PL	EDGER, et al.	-vs- JANSSEN, et al	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES :	1		- I N D E X -	
2	SHELLER, P.C. BY: STEPHEN & SHELLER ESOUTRE	2	WITNESSES	CROSS	REDIRECT
3	BY: STÉPHEN A. SHELLER, ESQUIRE CHRISTOPHER A. GOMEZ, ESQUIRE E-mail: Sasheller@sheller.com	3	IVO CAERS	S, Ph.D. (Continued)	
4	E-mail: Cgomez@sheller.com	4	By Ms.	Sullivan	
5	1528 Walnut Street, 4th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 Phone: (215) 790-7300 Fax: (215) 546-0942	5	By Mr.	Kline 13	
6	Counsel for Plaintiff(s)	6			
7		7			
8	KLINE & SPECTER, A Professional Corporation	8		EXHIBITS	
9	KLINE & SPECTER, A Professional Corporation BY: THOMAS R. KLINE, ESQUIRE KRISTEN LOERCH SIPALA, ESQUIRE	9	NO.		PAGE NO.
10	E-mail: tom.kline@klinespecter.com E-mail: kristen.loerch@klinespecter.com 1525 Locust Street, 19th Floor	10	P-88	Basic data information	32
11	1525 Locust Street, 19th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102	11	P-88A	Estimated exposure, risperide	one 32
12	Philadelphia, PA 19102 Phone: (215) 772-1000 Fax: (215) 772-1359 Counsel for Plaintiff(s)	12	P-89	Handwritten chart on easel	35
13		13	P-90	Approvals	42
14	ARNOLD & ITKIN, LLP BY: JASON A. ITKIN, ESQUIRE	14	P-91	Plaintiff version of DG6-1	50
15	6009 Memorial Drive	15	P-92	E-mail	60
16	Houston, Texas 77007 Phone: 713-222-3800 Fax: 713-222-3850	16	P-92A	Content of e-mail	68
17	Counsel for Plaintiff(s)	17	P-93	E-mail, Bates JJRE01991724	68
18			P-94	E-mail	83
19	Representing Defendants:		P-95	E-mail	95
20	DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH, LLP	20	P-95-A	Attachment to e-mail	98
20	BY: KENNETH A. MURPHY, ESQUIRE MELISSA A. GRAFF ESQUIRE		P-95-A P-96	Handwritten chart on easel	108
	One Logan Square, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-6996		P-96 P-97	Handwritten chart on easel	108
122	FILLAGELPHILA, FEIHISYLVAHILA 19103-0990	~~	2-31	nandwittten Ghart on easel	109
22	Phone: (215)988-2700 F: (215)988-2757	22	D_00	Uandwritton chart or cost	100
23	Phone: (215)988-2700 F:(215)988-2757 E-mail: kenneth.murphy@dbr.com melissa.graff@dbr.com		P-98	Handwritten chart on easel	108
23 24	Phone: (215)988-2700 F:(215)988-2757 E-mail: kenneth.murphy@dbr.com	24	P-98	Handwritten chart on easel	108
23	Phone: (215)988-2700 F:(215)988-2757 E-mail: kenneth.murphy@dbr.com melissa.graff@dbr.com Counsel for Defendant Janssen Pharma.,		P-98	Handwritten chart on easel	108

	Pledger v	7. Janss	en	
- PLEDG	GER, et alvs- JANSSEN, et al Page 5	- PLEDG	ER, et alvs- JANSSEN, et al	Page 7
1	(The following transpired in open	1	THE COURT: I think I know this; the	he
2	court outside the presence of the jury, at	2	answer to this.	
3	9:58 a.m.:)	3	But is there any response?	
4	, 	4	MR. KLINE: I believe I'm entitled to	0
5	COURT CRIER: All rise.	5	do it, Your Honor.	
6	THE COURT: All right. Please be	6	THE COURT: Okay. Well, in the	
7	seated, everybody.	7	exercise of caution in this matter, we are	
8	MR. MURPHY: Good morning, Your	8	not going to be referring to specific	
9	Honor.	9	monetary figures as to compensation. I this	ink
10	MR. KLINE: Good morning.	10	the point has been made.	
11	THE COURT: Good morning.	11	MS. BROWN: Your Honor, should	we
12	Ms. Brown, you know, I've been	12	hand up case law?	
13	thinking about you for a while. Were you	13	THE COURT: No. Your motion is	
14	ever in Family Court?	14	granted.	
15	MS. BROWN: Yes.	15	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Your Hor	nor.
16	<b>THE COURT:</b> In front of me, by any	16	THE COURT: I mean, the existence	e of
17	chance?	17	stock options, that's fair game. The	
18	MS. BROWN: I volunteer for the	18	existence of compensation, that's fair game	
19	Support Center for Child Advocates.	19	It's just the amounts that are not permitted	•
20	<b>THE COURT:</b> Yeah. Were you in front	20	Am I right?	
21	of me, though?	21	<b>MS. BROWN:</b> I think that's right.	
22	MS. BROWN: I think it's possible.	22	Though, as to stock options, they	
23	It's been a couple years.	23	certainly moved in limine to exclude any	
24	THE COURT: I think so. Yeah. I've	24	reference to their own witnesses' stock	
25	been trying to figure this out.	25	options in our company or to their experts	•
	GER, et alvs- JANSSEN, et al Page 6		ER, et alvs- JANSSEN, et al	Page 8
	-			-
1	MS. BROWN: That's so funny. Yeah,	1	THE COURT: Well, let me see that.	. 1
2	of course.	2	don't remember that.	
3	THE COURT: Over at 1801.	3	MS. BROWN: I have it, Your Honor	r,
4	MS. BROWN: Yes.	4	I'll hand it up.	
5	<b>THE COURT:</b> Courtroom E, probably. <b>MS. BROWN:</b> Yeah. Sure. Go way	5	MR. KLINE: I don't remember	0.11#
6	back.	6	<b>MS. BROWN:</b> And I believe that, Y Honor, we agreed to that. I don't even thin	
7	<b>THE COURT:</b> That's a way back, right.	7 8	that came before you on argument.	IK
8 9	From here to there.	° 9	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> What does the Judge h	ave
9 10	Okay. Are there any issues at the	9 10	in front of him? May I have a copy of	uvU
11	moment that need to be addressed?	11	whatever was handed up?	
12	MR. KLINE: Just	12	MS. BROWN: Sure.	
13	MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.	13	<b>THE COURT:</b> This is apparently	
14	We would object to any questioning of	14	Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 10, Contr	ol
15	Dr. Caers regarding compensation or stock	15	No. 14062633.	~-
16	options. The law is very well settled that	16	MR. KLINE: Yes. That was a totall	V
17	for a fact witness who is an employee, any	17	different issue with an expert witness, not	•
18	inquiry into bias, it's sufficient to	18	with a fact witness who comes from a com	npany.
19	question that you work for the company, and	19	And it has to do with the level of	
20	anything beyond that is entirely	20	inquiry to an expert witness, not to a fact	
21	inappropriate and serves no purpose; and we	21	witness.	
22	would object to that.	22	(Pause.)	
23	THE COURT: Any response?	23	THE COURT: I don't see I'm	
24	MS. BROWN: And, Your Honor, we have	24	reading this now. There was a motion that	t
25	some case law.	25	had been granted which included the	

	Pledger v	v. Janss	sen	
- PLEDO	GER, et alvs- JANSSEN, et al Page 9	- PLED	GER, et alvs- JANSSEN, et al	Page 11
1	following: This is a Plaintiff's Motion in	1	point.	
2	Limine, Paragraph 5: Any comment, inference,	2	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Your H	onor.
3	testimony, or evidence about current or	3	THE COURT: Anything else?	
4	former ownership interest by plaintiff's	4	MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, jus	t
5	counsel, their experts, plaintiffs, or their	5	briefly, one point in terms of the	
6	family in the defendant's, such argument	6	cross-examination.	
7	is irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, and	7	Mr. Kline is right on top of the	
8	calculated to mislead and confuse the jury.	8	witness, and I would just ask that he has	a
9	Now, to the extent that so far we've	9	respectful distance.	
10	had a plaintiff testify and their experts,	10	<b>THE COURT:</b> All right. I was	
11	this particular issue was not permitted. I	11	wondering about that myself.	
12	don't know whether there was anything	12	I think, Mr. Kline, if you could	
13	involving the experts or Mrs. Pledger	13	either from your chair or from behind the	e
14	regarding ownership of Johnson & Johnson	14	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> I'll stand right there.	
15	stock.	15	<b>THE COURT:</b> From right there, ye	
16	Is that what we were talking about?	16	Anything behind the bar would be prefer	
17	MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.	17	MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your	Honor.
18	I mean, Your Honor, our position is	18	MR. KLINE: Yes.	
19	that the inquiry for this witness on bias is	19	THE COURT: Thank you.	
20	simply: Are you a full-time current employee	20	All right. Anything else?	
21	of	21	(No response.)	
22	<b>THE COURT:</b> No. I think stock	22	THE COURT: Okay.	
23	options really does have something to do with	23	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> Your Honor, it's my	
24 25	it, because that's a direct theoretically, anyway. I don't believe that in our modern	24 25	it's my understanding there are no other l witnesses today; that they have videotape	
1	GER, et alvs- JANSSEN, et al       Page 10         economy that even this case is going to	1	GER, et alvs- JANSSEN, et al after him.	Page 12
2	have more than one or two cents difference on	2	THE COURT: Okay.	
3	the stock market.	3	MR. KLINE: So	
4	But, theoretically, yes, there's a	4	<b>THE COURT:</b> That's fine.	
5	potential impact in his testimony in trying	5	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> we're not at any tir	ne
6	to save a company from a judgment, I mean,	6	crunch.	at an
7	theoretically. MS. BROWN: Well, Your Honor, they	7	<b>THE COURT:</b> We will adjourn, ju you know, around 12:15 today till about	st so
8	made no showing that his compensation is in	8 9	quarter of 2:00 anyway. I have a doctor's	7
9 10	any way tied	9 10	appointment.	5
11	<b>THE COURT:</b> Well, that's part of the	11	MR. KLINE: Okay.	
12	bias, general credibility factors, that any	12	(Witness took the stand.)	
13	jury would be permitted to consider.	13	<b>THE COURT:</b> Good morning.	
14	So I am going to permit the stock	14	<b>COURT CRIER:</b> All rise as the ju	ry
15	options and other kind of packages to be	15	enters.	
16	known to the jury. I think it's certainly	16		
17	within factual domain.	17	(Whereupon the jury entered the	
18	The actual amounts of money involved,	18	courtroom at 10:08 a.m.)	
19	I think I sustained an objection yesterday	19		
20	based on that.	20	(The following transpired in open	
21	MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.	21	court in the presence of the jury:)	
22	<b>THE COURT:</b> Such as the attorney's	22		
23	the witness's income. He was saying Belgium	23	THE COURT: Please be seated,	
24	law. But I don't think that the amount of	24	everybody. Good morning.	
25	money involved is necessary to make this	25	Good morning, everybody.	
1				

	Pledger	Jans	sen
- IV	D CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 13		CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 15
_		- 1	Veel
1	JURY PANEL: Good morning.	1 A	
2	THE COURT: All right. Then,	2 Q	
3	Mr. Kline, you're permitted to continue now	3 A	
4	your cross-examination of this witness.	4 Q	
5	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> Your Honor, thank you.		team, sir, and that would have included a woman
6	Good morning.		whose name is Carin Binder; would that be correct?
7	Members of the jury, we all want to	7 A	
8	see what kind of mood you're in and so we	8 Q	
9	always say "good morning" and see what		Affairs?
10	reaction we get.		. She was I'm not sure whether she was
11	JURY PANEL: Good morning.		Director of Medical Affairs, but she was in Medical
12	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> Good morning. Nice to		Affairs with Janssen Canada.
13	see you all. Thank you for coming.	-	Janssen Canada, okay.
14	CDOSS EVAMINATION (Continued)	14	So that's yet another corporation, to
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)		your understanding?
16	BY MR. KLINE:		. I guess so.
		17 Q	So there are many different Janssen corporations worldwide; is that correct?
			• ·
	<ul><li>A. Good morning.</li><li>Q. I'd like to continue your discussion with an</li></ul>	19 A	. There's usually one Janssen commercial organization per country. But Janssen R & D is
20 21	aim towards confirming certain things and seeing if		by b
21	we can agree on certain things.		But legally, I'm an employee of Janssen
	Many of my questions will simply be		Pharmaceutica, N.V., in Beerse, Belgium.
23 24	to confirm information.		And how much of your time do you actually
	A couple of background questions.		spend in Belgium, sir?
25	A couple of background questions.	25 \$	spena in Deigium, sii :
- IV(			
- IV(	D CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 14	- IVO (	CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 16
- IV( 1	In the organizational chart at	1 A	. Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75
	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you	1 A 2 7	. Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium,
1	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you	1 A 2 7	. Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.
1 2 3 4	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of?	1 A 2 7 3 8 4 Q	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75</li> <li>75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen	1 A 2 7 3 4 4 Q 5 7	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75</li> <li>75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company,	1 A 2 7 3 4 4 Q 5 7 6 9	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75</li> <li>75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law.	1 A 2 7 3 3 4 Q 5 7 6 9	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75</li> <li>75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have	1 A 2 7 3 4 Q 5 7 6 9 7 A 8 Q	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees?	1 A 2 4 4 Q 5 5 7 A 8 Q 9 h	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees.	1 A 2 3 4 Q 5 7 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees?	1 A 2 3 4 Q 5 4 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes.	1 A 2 7 3 3 4 Q 5 7 6 8 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with	1 A 2 3 4 Q 5 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees?	1 A 2 3 4 Q 5 7 6 9 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes.	1 A 2 3 4 Q 5 4 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you	1 A 2 3 4 Q 5 4 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A 16 Q	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you A. Well, with Janssen R & D US employees.	1 A 2 3 4 Q 5 4 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 3	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday, as to, for example, all manuscripts, you said the</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you A. Well, with Janssen R & D US employees. Q. Okay. And let me try to be specific.	1 A 2 3 4 4 Q 5 4 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 3 18 V	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday, as to, for example, all manuscripts, you said the we have a saying from a famous president, "The buck</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you A. Well, with Janssen R & D US employees. Q. Okay. And let me try to be specific. Janssen R & D, meaning Research and	1 A 2 3 4 Q 5 4 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 3 18 1 19 5	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday, as to, for example, all manuscripts, you said the we have a saying from a famous president, "The buck stops here." That would have been you, correct?</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you A. Well, with Janssen R & D US employees. Q. Okay. And let me try to be specific. Janssen R & D, meaning Research and Development?	1 A 2 7 3 4 Q 5 7 6 8 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 H 13 H 13 H 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 4 18 M 19 8 20 A	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday, as to, for example, all manuscripts, you said the we have a saying from a famous president, "The buck stops here." That would have been you, correct?</li> <li>I'm not familiar with that expression, so</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you A. Well, with Janssen R & D US employees. Q. Okay. And let me try to be specific. Janssen R & D, meaning Research and Development? A. That is correct.	1 A 2 4 Q 5 4 Q 5 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 4 18 1 19 9 20 A 21 Q	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday, as to, for example, all manuscripts, you said the we have a saying from a famous president, "The buck stops here." That would have been you, correct?</li> <li>Okay. I understand. Understand.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you A. Well, with Janssen R & D US employees. Q. Okay. And let me try to be specific. Janssen R & D, meaning Research and Development? A. That is correct. Q. Is there a comma there, to the company?	1 A 2 4 Q 5 4 Q 5 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 4 18 1 19 5 20 A 21 Q 22	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday, as to, for example, all manuscripts, you said the we have a saying from a famous president, "The buck stops here." That would have been you, correct?</li> <li>Okay. I understand. Understand. It was Harry Truman, by the way.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you A. Well, with Janssen R & D US employees. Q. Okay. And let me try to be specific. Janssen R & D, meaning Research and Development? A. That is correct. Q. Is there a comma there, to the company? Janssen R & D	1 A 2 4 Q 5 4 Q 5 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 2 18 1 19 2 20 A 21 Q 22 A	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday, as to, for example, all manuscripts, you said the we have a saying from a famous president, "The buck stops here." That would have been you, correct?</li> <li>I'm not familiar with that expression, so</li> <li>Okay. I understand. Understand. It was Harry Truman, by the way.</li> <li>That's</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you A. Well, with Janssen R & D US employees. Q. Okay. And let me try to be specific. Janssen R & D, meaning Research and Development? A. That is correct. Q. Is there a comma there, to the company? Janssen R & D A. LLC or something, whatever that means, yeah.	1 A 2 3 3 4 Q 5 4 Q 5 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 3 18 4 19 5 20 A 21 Q 22 A 21 Q	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday, as to, for example, all manuscripts, you said the we have a saying from a famous president, "The buck stops here." That would have been you, correct?</li> <li>I'm not familiar with that expression, so</li> <li>Okay. I understand. Understand. It was Harry Truman, by the way.</li> <li>That's</li> <li>Okay. But you were the one who was ultimately</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In the organizational chart at Janssen and Johnson & Johnson first of all, you are an employee of what exact corporation are you an employee of? A. I'm legally an employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., which is a Belgium company, according to Belgium law. Q. And in your daily business, do you have interactions with Johnson & Johnson employees? A. With Janssen employees. Q. Janssen employees? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you have daily interaction with Janssen USA employees? A. Yes. Q. And what do you A. Well, with Janssen R & D US employees. Q. Okay. And let me try to be specific. Janssen R & D, meaning Research and Development? A. That is correct. Q. Is there a comma there, to the company? Janssen R & D A. LLC or something, whatever that means, yeah.	1 A 2 3 3 4 Q 5 4 Q 5 7 A 8 Q 9 H 10 1 11 A 12 1 13 1 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 3 18 4 19 5 20 A 21 Q 22 A 21 Q	<ul> <li>Oh, my office is in Belgium. I spend 75 75 percent of my time, my working time in Belgium, and 25 percent traveling mostly to the US.</li> <li>And as to Risperdal, sir, when you were working in the period and I'm being very specific 2002 through 2006.</li> <li>Uh-huh.</li> <li>In fact, let's go 2000 to 2006. You would have been working primarily in Belgium 75 percent of the time?</li> <li>Uhmm, perhaps a little bit less. It was it might have been well, no, 70/30 percent, that might have been it, but</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Yeah.</li> <li>And you were the as I heard you yesterday, as to, for example, all manuscripts, you said the we have a saying from a famous president, "The buck stops here." That would have been you, correct?</li> <li>I'm not familiar with that expression, so</li> <li>Okay. I understand. Understand. It was Harry Truman, by the way.</li> <li>That's</li> </ul>

	on)XIII - February 11, 2015 7. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 17	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 19
<ul> <li>1 A. Well, there were a few key people who needed</li> <li>2 to review final manuscripts before they were</li> <li>3 submitted for journals; and I was one of them. The</li> <li>4 clinical leader is another one of them. The patent</li> <li>5 lawyer is another one. So they are the last people</li> <li>6 who review and approve manuscripts before they are</li> <li>7 submitted, for example, to journals.</li> <li>8 Q. Do you remember the question?</li> <li>9 A. That's an answer to the question.</li> <li>10 Q. Do you remember it?</li> <li>11 A. Yeah. Who was reviewing whether I'm</li> <li>12 reviewing manuscripts, yeah. I answered.</li> <li>13 Q. The clinical leader, sir, the clinical leader</li> <li>14 at the time of the last draft of the pooled analysis</li> <li>15 study, who was the clinical leader?</li> <li>16 A. I would need to check. I don't know. There</li> <li>17 have been several, so I have to check.</li> <li>18 Q. Don't know; is that your answer?</li> <li>19 A. I don't know for sure.</li> <li>20 Q. And who else would have to</li> <li>21 MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry.</li> <li>22 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>23 Q. Who else would have to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>patentability data that might be of value to the</li> <li>company as long as it's not brought in the public</li> <li>domain.</li> <li>Q. Three people sign off? Just so I get the</li> <li>A. No. There are the</li> <li>Q. Just so I get the people.</li> <li>Now that you had an opportunity to</li> <li>explain, may I get the three people on the record?</li> <li>You, the clinical officer, and the</li> <li>lawyer; do I have it right?</li> <li>A. The clinical leader, yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you, sir. That's what I needed to know.</li> <li>Now, I'd like to know there are</li> <li>e-mails that I've seen where you actually correspond</li> <li>directly with the CEO of Janssen at the time. His</li> <li>name was Gorski. Do you know that man?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And how many rungs on the ladder were there</li> <li>between you and Mr. Gorski, the CEO of the company?</li> <li>One or two or three?</li> <li>A. Now, there are one I think there were four</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>24 MS. SULLIVAN: I'm sorry. I hate to</li> <li>25 interrupt, but I'm raising the issue, Your</li> </ul>	<ul><li>24 people between Mr. Gorski and myself.</li><li>25 Q. And then?</li></ul>
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 18	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 20
<ol> <li>Honor, about the positioning of counsel that</li> <li>the Court had noted.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. He's just</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. Well, at that time I did not report to</li> <li>Mr. Gorski because at the time he was marketing and</li> </ol>
<ul><li>a not much further than where we are. Everyone</li><li>5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li></ul>	<ul> <li>3 sales, up to present, of Janssen US; and I've never</li> <li>4 reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>5 R &amp; D.</li> <li>6 O Well let's take Janssen let's take the</li> </ul>
4 not much further than where we are. Everyone	4 reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone</li> <li>5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li> <li>6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>R &amp; D.</li> <li>Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone</li> <li>5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li> <li>6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>R &amp; D.</li> <li>Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone</li> <li>5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li> <li>6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>R &amp; D.</li> <li>Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone</li> <li>5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li> <li>6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>5 R &amp; D.</li> <li>6 Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>7 company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>8 A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>9 based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>10 here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>11 Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>12 USA, correct?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone 5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li> <li>6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>R &amp; D.</li> <li>Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>USA, correct?</li> <li>A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone 5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li> <li>6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> <li>14 mentioned? What was that designation? Besides the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>R &amp; D.</li> <li>Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>USA, correct?</li> <li>A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And I just want to find out, where does</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone 5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li> <li>6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>R &amp; D.</li> <li>Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>USA, correct?</li> <li>A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone</li> <li>5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li> <li>6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> <li>14 mentioned? What was that designation? Besides the</li> <li>15 clinical leader.</li> <li>16 A. The patent lawyer.</li> <li>17 Q. I'm sorry?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>R &amp; D.</li> <li>Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>USA, correct?</li> <li>A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And I just want to find out, where does</li> <li>he report?</li> <li>A. He report to his boss.</li> <li>Q. And who's his boss?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone 5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank</li> <li>6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> <li>14 mentioned? What was that designation? Besides the</li> <li>15 clinical leader.</li> <li>16 A. The patent lawyer.</li> <li>17 Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>18 A. The patent. Patent.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>R &amp; D.</li> <li>Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>USA, correct?</li> <li>A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And I just want to find out, where does</li> <li>he report?</li> <li>A. He report to his boss?</li> <li>Husseini Manji. And he is head of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone 5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank 6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> <li>14 mentioned? What was that designation? Besides the</li> <li>15 clinical leader.</li> <li>16 A. The patent lawyer.</li> <li>17 Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>18 A. The patent. Patent.</li> <li>19 Q. Oh, the patent lawyer. Oh, okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>5 R &amp; D.</li> <li>6 Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>7 company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>8 A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>9 based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>10 here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>11 Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>12 USA, correct?</li> <li>13 A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> <li>14 Q. Okay. And I just want to find out, where does</li> <li>15 he report?</li> <li>16 A. He report to his boss.</li> <li>17 Q. And who's his boss?</li> <li>18 A. Husseini Manji. And he is head of</li> <li>19 neuroscience within Janssen R &amp; D US.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone 5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank 6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> <li>14 mentioned? What was that designation? Besides the</li> <li>15 clinical leader.</li> <li>16 A. The patent lawyer.</li> <li>17 Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>18 A. The patent. Patent.</li> <li>19 Q. Oh, the patent lawyer. Oh, okay.</li> <li>20 A. Yeah.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>5 R &amp; D.</li> <li>6 Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>7 company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>8 A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>9 based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>10 here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>11 Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>12 USA, correct?</li> <li>13 A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> <li>14 Q. Okay. And I just want to find out, where does</li> <li>15 he report?</li> <li>16 A. He report to his boss.</li> <li>17 Q. And who's his boss?</li> <li>18 A. Husseini Manji. And he is head of</li> <li>19 neuroscience within Janssen R &amp; D US.</li> <li>20 Q. And is he one rung down from the head of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone 5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank 6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> <li>14 mentioned? What was that designation? Besides the</li> <li>15 clinical leader.</li> <li>16 A. The patent lawyer.</li> <li>17 Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>18 A. The patent. Patent.</li> <li>19 Q. Oh, the patent lawyer. Oh, okay.</li> <li>20 A. Yeah.</li> <li>21 Q. So in addition to whenever an article would be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>5 R &amp; D.</li> <li>6 Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>7 company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>8 A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>9 based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>10 here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>11 Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>12 USA, correct?</li> <li>13 A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> <li>14 Q. Okay. And I just want to find out, where does</li> <li>15 he report?</li> <li>16 A. He report to his boss.</li> <li>17 Q. And who's his boss?</li> <li>18 A. Husseini Manji. And he is head of</li> <li>19 neuroscience within Janssen R &amp; D US.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone 5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank 6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> <li>14 mentioned? What was that designation? Besides the</li> <li>15 clinical leader.</li> <li>16 A. The patent lawyer.</li> <li>17 Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>18 A. The patent. Patent.</li> <li>19 Q. Oh, the patent lawyer. Oh, okay.</li> <li>20 A. Yeah.</li> <li>21 Q. So in addition to whenever an article would be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>5 R &amp; D.</li> <li>6 Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>7 company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>8 A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>9 based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>10 here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>11 Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>12 USA, correct?</li> <li>13 A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> <li>14 Q. Okay. And I just want to find out, where does</li> <li>15 he report?</li> <li>16 A. He report to his boss.</li> <li>17 Q. And who's his boss?</li> <li>18 A. Husseini Manji. And he is head of</li> <li>19 neuroscience within Janssen R &amp; D US.</li> <li>20 Q. And is he one rung down from the head of</li> <li>21 Janssen US?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 not much further than where we are. Everyone 5 needs, Mr. Kline, some space. Yeah. Thank 6 you.</li> <li>7 Go ahead.</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: Okay.</li> <li>9 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>10 Q. Yes, sir, the next question. The next</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>12 A. Yeah. I'm I'm with you.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Who is the other person who you</li> <li>14 mentioned? What was that designation? Besides the</li> <li>15 clinical leader.</li> <li>16 A. The patent lawyer.</li> <li>17 Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>18 A. The patent. Patent.</li> <li>19 Q. Oh, the patent lawyer. Oh, okay.</li> <li>20 A. Yeah.</li> <li>21 Q. So in addition to whenever an article would be</li> <li>22 signed off on, it would be signed off on by you, the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reported to Janssen US. I reported within Janssen</li> <li>R &amp; D.</li> <li>Q. Well, let's take Janssen let's take the</li> <li>company that you're in. Who is your immediate boss?</li> <li>A. My immediate boss is Wayne Drevets. And he is</li> <li>based he is in Janssen R &amp; D US, and he is based</li> <li>here in Titusville, about an hour driving from here.</li> <li>Q. And so you report to a boss who is in Janssen</li> <li>USA, correct?</li> <li>A. He is in Janssen R &amp; D US, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And I just want to find out, where does</li> <li>he report?</li> <li>A. He report to his boss?</li> <li>A. Husseini Manji. And he is head of</li> <li>neuroscience within Janssen R &amp; D US.</li> <li>Q. And is he one rung down from the head of</li> <li>Janssen US?</li> <li>A. No. He is not within Janssen US. He's in</li> </ul>

	Pledger	ллл Л. Ia	nssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.			O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 23
1 2006, sir,	how much of your time how much of your	1	this drug for autism use, correct?
	onal time at Janssen did you devote to		A. My team, including myself, was responsible for
3 Risperda		3	having this product in this integration approved in
-	2006, about about all.	4	the worldwide, including US.
	all of your time?	5	Q. I asked about you. You, sir, were the one
6 A. Yes.		6	ultimately who was calling the shots, correct?
	All of your time, of which 75 percent	_	A. Me and my team, yes.
	t in Belgium; do I have it right?	8	MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, again
9 A. Yes.		9	on the positioning of the lawyer.
	as to this product, as to the issues	10	THE COURT: No. I'm satisfied with
-	to the autism labeling, your role was you	11	that, I am. I'm satisfied with where he is.
	vere you in charge of that group?	12	Can you see the witness, members of
	because the compound development team is	13	the jury?
	of labels everywhere, not only in the US,	14	Yeah.
15 obviously	; everywhere.	15	As long as they can see the witness
16 Q. Yes.	That's what I'm trying to figure out.	16	and we have some space, I'm fine with it.
17 A. Uh-h		17	And let's move on to a different issue from
18 Q. So	so	18	that.
19 A. I'm ta	lking to the jury, okay?	19	MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
-	Were you were you schooled to do that,	20	MR. KLINE: Yes.
21 sir?		21	BY MR. KLINE:
	o, no. I'm just	22	Q. Now, let's talk about let's talk about the
	ou rehearse?	23	period of time here.
	don't you go like that (indicating).	24	Have you been told anything about
25 Q. I'm t	rying to have a discussion with you.	25	this particular case, sir; the years it involves;
- IVO CAERS, Ph.	D CROSS - Page 22	- IV(	O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 24
	-		-
1 A. I'm ar	nswering your questions.	1	the boy that it involves; the circumstances it
1 A. I'm an 2 <b>Q. I see.</b>	nswering your questions.	1 2	the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3	nswering your questions. Would you prefer not to look here?	1 2 3	<ul><li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li><li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li></ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do	nswering your questions.	1 2 3 4	<ul><li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li><li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li></ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. J	nswering your questions. Would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you?	1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. 1 6 wouldn't	nswering your questions. Would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>A. I'm ar</li> <li>Q. I see.</li> <li>3</li> <li>A. I do</li> <li>5 Q. No. I</li> <li>6 wouldn't</li> <li>7 A. Oh, th</li> </ol>	wwering your questions. Would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You want to look at me.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>A. I'm and a constraint of the sector of the</li></ol>	www.ing your questions. Would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You want to look at me. hat doesn't matter. I'm have different customs about when you eople?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>A. I'm and a constraint of the sector of the</li></ol>	would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? <b>'m a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</b> <b>want to look at me.</b> hat doesn't matter. <b>vu have different customs about when you</b> <b>eople?</b> <b>MS. SULLIVAN:</b> Objection, Your Honor.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.</li> </ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po	would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? <b>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</b> <b>want to look at me.</b> hat doesn't matter. <b>u have different customs about when you</b> <b>eople?</b> <b>MS. SULLIVAN:</b> Objection, Your Honor. <b>THE WITNESS:</b> I don't understand that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.</li> <li>Do you know how long he took your</li> </ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po 10 11 12 quest	would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You want to look at me. hat doesn't matter. have different customs about when you eople? MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: I don't understand that tion.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.</li> <li>Do you know how long he took your Risperdal, sir?</li> </ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po 10 11 12 quest 13	would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? <b>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</b> want to look at me. hat doesn't matter. u have different customs about when you eople? MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: I don't understand that tion. THE COURT: Well, I mean	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.         <ul> <li>Do you know how long he took your Risperdal, sir?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. My understanding is he took it for several</li> </ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po 10 11 12 quest 13 14	would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? <b>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</b> <b>want to look at me.</b> hat doesn't matter. <b>u have different customs about when you</b> <b>eople?</b> <b>MS. SULLIVAN:</b> Objection, Your Honor. <b>THE WITNESS:</b> I don't understand that tion. <b>THE COURT:</b> Well, I mean <b>MR. KLINE:</b> I'll withdraw the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No. Do you know how long he took your Risperdal, sir? A. My understanding is he took it for several years.</li></ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po 10 11 12 quest 13 14 15 quest	would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? <b>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</b> want to look at me. hat doesn't matter. <b>u have different customs about when you</b> eople? MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: I don't understand that tion. THE COURT: Well, I mean MR. KLINE: I'll withdraw the tion.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.         <ul> <li>Do you know how long he took your Risperdal, sir?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. My understanding is he took it for several years.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many years?</li> </ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po 10 11 12 quest 13 14 15 quest 16	would you prefer not to look here? I need to look at you? I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You want to look at me. hat doesn't matter. u have different customs about when you eople? MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: I don't understand that tion. THE COURT: Well, I mean MR. KLINE: I'll withdraw the tion. THE COURT: You can pursue it if you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No. Do you know how long he took your Risperdal, sir? A. My understanding is he took it for several years. Q. Do you know how many years? A. About four or five, something.</li></ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po 10 11 12 quest 13 14 15 quest 16 17 want	<ul> <li>www.ing your questions.</li> <li>Would you prefer not to look here?</li> <li>I need to look at you?</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</li> <li>want to look at me.</li> <li>hat doesn't matter.</li> <li>w have different customs about when you</li> <li>eople?</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I don't understand that tion.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, I mean</li> <li>MR. KLINE: I'll withdraw the tion.</li> <li>THE COURT: You can pursue it if you , but</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No. Do you know how long he took your Risperdal, sir? A. My understanding is he took it for several years. Q. Do you know how many years? A. About four or five, something. Q. Do you know for sure?</li></ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po 10 11 12 quest 13 14 15 quest 16 17 want 18	<ul> <li>www.ing your questions.</li> <li>Would you prefer not to look here?</li> <li>I need to look at you?</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</li> <li>want to look at me.</li> <li>hat doesn't matter.</li> <li>w have different customs about when you eople?</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I don't understand that tion.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, I mean</li> <li>MR. KLINE: I'll withdraw the tion.</li> <li>THE COURT: You can pursue it if you , but</li> <li>MR. KLINE: I'll withdraw it.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No. Do you know how long he took your Risperdal, sir? A. My understanding is he took it for several years. Q. Do you know how many years? A. About four or five, something. Q. Do you know for sure? A. No. I was told, so</li></ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po 10 11 12 quest 13 14 15 quest 16 17 want 18 19	<ul> <li>Assumption of the second state of the</li></ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.         <ul> <li>Do you know how long he took your</li> <li>Risperdal, sir?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. My understanding is he took it for several years.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many years?</li> <li>A. About four or five, something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know for sure?</li> <li>A. No. I was told, so</li> <li>Q. Do you know if there's an adverse event report</li> </ul>
1 A. I'm an 2 Q. I see. 3 4 A. I do 5 Q. No. I 6 wouldn't 7 A. Oh, th 8 Q. Do yo 9 talk to po 10 11 12 quest 13 14 15 quest 16 17 want 18 19 20 Mr. I	<ul> <li>www.ing your questions.</li> <li>Would you prefer not to look here?</li> <li>I need to look at you?</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</li> <li>want to look at me.</li> <li>hat doesn't matter.</li> <li>whave different customs about when you eople?</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I don't understand that tion.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, I mean</li> <li>MR. KLINE: I'll withdraw the tion.</li> <li>THE COURT: You can pursue it if you</li> <li>but</li> <li>MR. KLINE: I'll withdraw it.</li> <li>THE COURT: There is an issue,</li> <li>Kline, as to whether or not the jurors</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.         <ul> <li>Do you know how long he took your</li> <li>Risperdal, sir?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. My understanding is he took it for several years.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many years?</li> <li>A. About four or five, something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know for sure?</li> <li>A. No. I was told, so</li> <li>Q. Do you know if there's an adverse event report about him?</li> </ul>
1       A.       I'm and	<ul> <li>Answering your questions.</li> <li>Would you prefer not to look here?</li> <li>I need to look at you?</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</li> <li>a want to look at me.</li> <li>b to</li></ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No. Do you know how long he took your Risperdal, sir? A. My understanding is he took it for several years. Q. Do you know how many years? A. About four or five, something. Q. Do you know for sure? A. No. I was told, so Q. Do you know if there's an adverse event report about him? A. That I don't know.</li></ul>
1       A.       I'm and	<ul> <li>Answering your questions.</li> <li>Would you prefer not to look here?</li> <li>I need to look at you?</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sin a state state state state state state state stat</li></ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.         <ul> <li>Do you know how long he took your</li> <li>Risperdal, sir?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. My understanding is he took it for several years.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many years?</li> <li>A. About four or five, something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know for sure?</li> <li>A. No. I was told, so</li> <li>Q. Do you know if there's an adverse event report about him?</li> <li>A. That I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You didn't look?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>A. I'm and a constraint of the sector of the</li></ol>	<ul> <li>Answering your questions.</li> <li>Would you prefer not to look here?</li> <li>I need to look at you?</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sin a supervised and supervised and supervised and s</li></ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.         <ul> <li>Do you know how long he took your</li> <li>Risperdal, sir?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. My understanding is he took it for several years.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many years?</li> <li>A. About four or five, something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know for sure?</li> <li>A. No. I was told, so</li> <li>Q. Do you know if there's an adverse event report about him?</li> <li>A. That I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You didn't look?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>A. I'm an</li> <li>Q. I see.</li> <li>A. I do</li> <li>Q. No. I</li> <li>Q. No. I</li> <li>wouldn't</li> <li>A. Oh, th</li> <li>Q. Do yoo</li> <li>talk to po</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>quest</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>quest</li> <li>16</li> <li>17 want</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20 Mr. I</li> <li>21 can si</li> <li>22 that y</li> <li>23 BY MR.</li> <li>24 Q. Sir, y</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Answering your questions.</li> <li>Would you prefer not to look here?</li> <li>I need to look at you?</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sir. You</li> <li>I'm a plaintiff's lawyer, sin a state state state state state state state stat</li></ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the boy that it involves; the circumstances it involves?</li> <li>A. I've limited information on that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Limited information?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his name?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, Pledger or something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know his first name?</li> <li>A. No, I'm afraid not. I was not told.</li> <li>Q. No.         <ul> <li>Do you know how long he took your</li> <li>Risperdal, sir?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. My understanding is he took it for several years.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many years?</li> <li>A. About four or five, something.</li> <li>Q. Do you know for sure?</li> <li>A. No. I was told, so</li> <li>Q. Do you know if there's an adverse event report about him?</li> <li>A. That I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You didn't look?</li> </ul>

	ledger v. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS -	Page 29 - IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 31
<b>1</b> A. Well, I would need to evaluate go bacl	to 1 white
2 the documents. I don't know where this figure	
3 from, so I don't know that by heart.	3 what it says before we understand what the
4 Q. Okay. Does it sound about right?	4 position is of the defense about this
5 A. I have no clue. But if it is somewhere in	
6 safety overview, it must be about right, yes.	6 MS. SULLIVAN: Yeah. Your Honor, we
7 Q. Okay.	<ul><li>7 had an agreement that we would show complete</li></ul>
8 A. And I even don't know is it US only or	
9 worldwide? That's	9 complete document.
10 Q. Well, I have a document that says estim	1
11 exposure of oral risperidone in patients 5	
12 years of age, 2001 to 2004.	12 Priscilla Brandon.
<b>13</b> A. Is that a global safety update?	<b>THE COURT:</b> I'm sure you can produce
14 Q. Yes, it is, global.	14 on electronic records or something the entire
15 A. Then it's global.	15 document; is that true?
16 Q. Yes.	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> I could produce the
17 So there were, can we agree,	17 whole I could fill the room with the
18 something like, in this period of time, three	
19 quarters of a million child years on the dr	
20 You have some idea of this, sir; c	L L
21 you agree with that?	21 prescription drug.
22 A. Well, if the figure if the 133,000 patien	
23 years is that right? What did you have the	0
24 Q. 733,000.	24 the complete document.
<b>25</b> A. Oh, seven hundred, okay.	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> It has a data point.
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS -	Page 30 - IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 32
1 Q. Yes. 733,000 patient years, according	to a 1 THE COURT: Well, again, is there an
2 Janssen document.	2 objection to the admission of this particular
3 A. Yeah. Between 2001 and 2004?	3 document, P-88 and P-88A?
4 Q. Yes.	4 MS. SULLIVAN: Well, Judge, I'm just
5 A. Yes. Okay.	5 not sure why we can't see the whole document.
6 Q. Now, let's mark that as P-88.	<b>6 THE COURT:</b> Well, you probably have
7 <b>MR. GOMEZ:</b> The chart?	7 it.
8 MR. KLINE: I want to mark as P-	88 8 MS. SULLIVAN: Yeah. But I don't
9 the document the second page of which	
10 P-88-A, which contains estimated expos	
11 oral risperidone in patients 5 to 17.	<b>THE COURT:</b> Well, you're going to
12 MS. SULLIVAN: Is this the comp	
13 document?	13 maybe by then you will your team will be
<b>14 THE COURT:</b> Let me see that.	14 able to obtain the entire document.
<b>MR. KLINE:</b> It's a document, yes.	L L
16 <b>MS. SULLIVAN:</b> Is that the comp	
17 document? It doesn't look like it.	s is 17 some way and let us know what this is and who
<b>MR. KLINE:</b> As far as I know, this a document which I obtained from the	•
<ul><li>a document which I obtained from the</li><li>approximately 3 million documents which</li></ul>	h were 20 BY MR. KLINE:
<ul> <li>21 produced by Janssen Pharmaceutical. I</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>produced by failsen Fharmaceutical. 1</li><li>high-level representative which I would</li></ul>	
<ul><li>22 inglific verific presentative which i would</li><li>23 to ask a question to about it.</li></ul>	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> May I hand it to the
24 MS. SULLIVAN: Well	24 witness?
25 <b>MR. KLINE:</b> Which says in black	

	Pledger v. Janssen				
- IV	O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 33	- IV	O CAE	ERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page	35
1	BY MR. KLINE:	1	5 n	percent, and you see it was 276,611 person yea	rs
2	Q. I'm showing you basic data, which I simply	2	-	kids on the drug, correct?	
3	want to establish the fact it says estimated		A.	129,000 in 2001 you mean?	
4	exposure of oral risperidone in patients 5 to 17	4	~	•	
5	age, 2001 to 2004. Do you see that, sir?		-	No. That's patient. That's the total	
	A. Yes.	6		tient. So in the second column for 2001, you have	vo
	<b>Q.</b> Does that conform basically with your	7	-	e total worldwide exposures in patient years.	vc
7	understanding?	8		Yes.	
8		-	-		
9	We have it as JJRE11115820.			Of which about 4.7 percent is in the age group	
10	<b>VIDEO OPERATOR:</b> Display it?	10		5 to 17, meaning 129,889 patient years, in 5 to	)
11	MR. KLINE: Yes. With the Court's	11		years old.	
12	permission.		-	I see. And in 2002, 186,990 patient years in	1
13	(Document displayed.)	13		e 5 to 17 patient range, correct?	
14	MR. KLINE: Can you zero in on the		A.	That is correct.	4.0
15	top, please? Just after the numbers. And I		Q.	And in 2003, 204,830 patient years, correct	ť?
16	would like to move on, if I'm allowed to.		A.	Yes.	
17	Just the full '01 to total numbers.			1 / / 1 0	
18	That's what I would just like to do, with the	18		that period of time, 733,454 patient years of	I
19	total.	19		sperdal for children; is that correct?	
20	(Technician complied with request.)		A.	That is correct.	0.0
21	MR. KLINE: Okay.	21	•	Okay. Just marking my tablet with the 733,00	00
22	BY MR. KLINE:	22		it as Plaintiff's Exhibit 89.	
23	Q. Sir, as the person who was in charge of	23			
24	Risperdal R & D in children and adolescents, you had	24		(Exhibit P-89, handwritten chart on	
25	some understanding, did you not, of the number of	25		the easel, marked for identification.)	
- IV	O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 34	- IV	O CAE	ERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page	36
- IV 1	O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 34 children who were already using the drug?	- IV 1		ERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page	36
1	-	1	BY Q.	MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you	
1	children who were already using the drug?	1	BY Q.	Y MR. KLINE:	
1 2 3	<b>children who were already using the drug?</b> A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly	1 2	BY Q. hea	MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you	ur
1 2 3	<b>children who were already using the drug?</b> A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.	1 2 3 4 5	BY Q. hea apj sir	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ?	ur 21,
1 2 3 4 5	<ul><li>children who were already using the drug?</li><li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li><li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table</li></ul>	1 2 3 4 5	BY Q. hea apj sir	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe	ur 21,
1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5	BY Q. hea app sir A.	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head.	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6	BY Q. hea apj sir A. Q.	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head.	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BY Q. hes apj sir A. Q. ju	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY Q. hea apj sir A. Q. jui do	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY Q. hea apj sir A. Q. jui do	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1.	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. And you've actually seen this document at some point? A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I</li></ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY Q. he: apj sir A. Q. jui do the	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit.	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. And you've actually seen this document at some point? A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li></ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY Q. he: apj sir A. Q. jui do the	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1.	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY Q. hea apj sir A. Q. jun do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this?	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY Q. he: apj sir A. Q. jui do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE number, 11115820.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY Q. he: apj sir A. Q. jui do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your Honor.	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY Q. he: apj sir A. Q. jun do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE number, 11115820.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY Q. hea apj sir A. Q. juu do tho I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your Honor.	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE number, 11115820. <ul> <li>I didn't I didn't find it on the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY Q. hea apj sir A. Q. jun do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your Honor. THE COURT: D-71.	ur el,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE number, 11115820. <ul> <li>I didn't I didn't find it on the street, sir.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY Q. he: apj sir A. Q. jui do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your Honor. THE COURT: D-71. MR. KLINE: And if we can display it	ur el, ne nat
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE number, 11115820. <ul> <li>I didn't I didn't find it on the street, sir.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY Q. he: apj sir A. Q. jui do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your Honor. THE COURT: D-71. MR. KLINE: And if we can display it with the Court's permission, it's the	ur el, ne nat
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE number, 11115820. <ul> <li>I didn't I didn't find it on the street, sir.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY Q. hea apj sir A. Q. juu do tho I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your Honor. THE COURT: D-71. MR. KLINE: And if we can display it with the Court's permission, it's the demonstrative which was shown at the end o	ur el, ne nat
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE number, 11115820. <ul> <li>I didn't I didn't find it on the street, sir.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. No, no, no, no. But I hope you appreciate that based on this code, that there isn't a bell ringing in my head which document it actually is. I</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY Q. hea app sir A. Q. jun do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your Honor. THE COURT: D-71. MR. KLINE: And if we can display it with the Court's permission, it's the demonstrative which was shown at the end o the direct examination.	ur el, ne nat
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE number, 11115820. <ul> <li>I didn't I didn't find it on the street, sir.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. No, no, no, no. But I hope you appreciate that based on this code, that there isn't a bell ringing in my head which document it actually is. I hope that you don't expect that.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY Q. he: apj sir A. Q. jui do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your Honor. THE COURT: D-71. MR. KLINE: And if we can display it with the Court's permission, it's the demonstrative which was shown at the end o the direct examination. THE COURT: Yes. Yes.	ur el, ne nat
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>children who were already using the drug?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is common practice on a yearly basis, yes.</li> <li>Q. It's commonly known and reported in a table like this, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's pretty common.</li> <li>Q. Yes. <ul> <li>And you've actually seen this document at some point?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, I don't know exactly what this document is, but if it is a Janssen document and it's an annual safety review or letter, whatever, then I likely have seen this, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's good. And I can represent to you and the Court it is. It's produced with a JJRE number, 11115820. <ul> <li>I didn't I didn't find it on the street, sir.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. No, no, no, no. But I hope you appreciate that based on this code, that there isn't a bell ringing in my head which document it actually is. I hope that you don't expect that.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY Q. he: apj sir A. Q. jui do the I'd	Y MR. KLINE: Do you know the numbers off the top of you ad broken down in the United States of proximately how many was being used off-labe ? No. I don't know this off the top of my head. Without taking it out, I can tell you that th ry has seen a figure in 2000 and just get th cument, and I'll move on. Now, I'd like to talk to you about e approved document, DG6-1. Now, yesterday we saw an exhibit. I like to put it up just briefly, DG6-1. THE COURT: Which one was this? COURT CRIER: It was D-71, Your Honor. THE COURT: D-71. MR. KLINE: And if we can display it with the Court's permission, it's the demonstrative which was shown at the end o the direct examination. THE COURT: Yes. Yes. MR. KLINE: I'm ready to display it.	ur el, ne nat

	v. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 37	
1 moment.	1 displayed to the jury yesterday, which is what I'm
2 Thank you so much, team Janssen, for	<ul> <li>anspiration of the gary general and the state of the stat</li></ul>
3 helping me. I much appreciate it, sir.	3 FDA to include only schizophrenia, not this general
4 (Document displayed.)	4 category of psychotic disorder; agree?
5 BY MR. KLINE:	5 A. It was changed into schizophrenia, and I'm
6 Q. Now, first of all, that document, sir, that	6 prepared to explain that.
7 document, is that accurate as to the approval for	7 Q. When was it changed, sir?
8 adults that carried forward after 1993, sir, where	8 A. That, again, I think it's somewhere early
9 it says manifestations of psychotic disorders?	9 2000, but I might be wrong. I don't know for sure.
10 A. That was the original approval back in	10 Q. Bear with me.
11 December 1993, yes.	11 I believe we've marked this document
12 Q. Yeah. That was the approval in December 1993.	12 previously, the labeling history. Is that correct?
13 But, sir, that got changed. That got narrowed,	13 Exhibit 12.
14 didn't it?	14 MR. GOMEZ: Exhibit 12.
15 A. It got changed.	15 MR. KLINE: Exhibit 12, previously
16 Q. Yeah. How soon after 1993 did that get	16 marked P-12, Your Honor. It's a document we
17 changed and narrowed?	17 had a discussion about, but no testimony from
<b>18</b> A. I don't know exactly the date. That must be	18 Dr. Kessler about the labeling history. I'm
<b>19</b> the early 2000s. But I might be wrong. And it was	<b>19</b> using it for a different purpose.
20 changed into schizophrenia. And this was done for	20 I would hand my highlighted document
21 all the second generation antipsychotics approved	to the witness to confirm this one fact.
22 initially for manifestations of psychosis. It was	22 <b>THE COURT:</b> All right. Well, let me
23 changed into schizophrenia, I think, somewhere in	hear from the defense about this document.
24 the early 2000s.	24 MS. SULLIVAN: If he can lay a
25 Q. Sir, was it changed or was it was the	<b>25</b> foundation and Dr. Caers knows what this is,
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 38	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 40
1 indication for adults narrowed	1 I have no objection.
2 A. No; it's changed.	2 BY MR. KLINE:
3 Q to schizophrenia?	<b>3</b> Q. It's a document called USPI Labeling History,
4 A. It's changed.	4 sir. You were the man who was responsible for
<b>5</b> Q. Did the indication in the US label become for	5 labeling, correct, sir?
6 schizophrenics? Yes or no?	6 A. Yeah.
<ul> <li>7 A. The indication for Risperdal was changed from</li> <li>8 manifestation of psychotic disorders to</li> </ul>	<ul><li>7 Q. So you would know the document from the</li><li>8 Janssen files on the labeling history, correct?</li></ul>
<ul><li>8 manifestation of psychotic disorders to</li><li>9 schizophrenia.</li></ul>	<ul><li>8 Janssen files on the labeling history, correct?</li><li>9 A. I know about that change, yes.</li></ul>
10 Q. Yes. It was changed the manifestations of	10 Q. Okay. And well, you didn't know the date.
11 psychotic disorders is a broader term, correct?	11 And what I was trying to do was get the date.
12 A. I can elaborate a lot on that, but then you	12 A. Yeah, yeah. Look, I'm sorry, I don't know the
13 need to give me the time. But it's much	13 date by heart, but I know it happened.
14 complicated, much more complicated than it is. And	14 Q. But I'm not asking you to know it by heart. I
15 it's not	15 have in my hand and I simply want to show you to
16 Q. The FDA asked you to change it, correct?	16 confirm with the Court's permission
17 A. That's correct.	<b>THE COURT:</b> Yes. You can take a look
18 Q. The FDA asked you to change it, to limit it to	at that, Dr. Caers, and see if that makes you
19 schizophrenia, correct, sir?	19 remember what the date was of the change.
20 A. The FDA asked it to change into schizophrenia,	20 MR. KLINE: Yes.
and they did so for all the, at that time, available	21 (Handing document to the witness.)
<b>22</b> second generation antipsychotics.	22 MR. KLINE: I've handed the witness
23 Q. Regardless of what they asked the other second	23 P-12.
24 generation antipsychotics to do, as to Risperdal,	<b>24 THE WITNESS:</b> So in February 2002.
25 which we're talking about and as to what was	25 So it's early 2000s, indeed, the label was

Pledger v. Janssen				
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 41	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 43			
1 changed from manifestations in psychotic	1 A. That was denied, indeed.			
2 disorders into schizophrenia, and I see now	2 Q. Yes.			
3 it's February 2002.	3 And then in 2000, in 2000 you were			
4 MR. KLINE: Okay.	4 back to the FDA, correct?			
5 BY MR. KLINE:	<b>5</b> A. We were several times back to the FDA, so			
6 Q. So in February 2002, sir, this indication,	6 Q. You asked for a label change in 2000, correct,			
7 manifestations of psychotic disorders, gets changed	7 sir?			
8 to just to schizophrenia in adults, correct?	8 A. What are you talking about exactly?			
<b>9</b> A. It is changed to schizophrenia.	9 Q. You asked for a label you asked to you			
10 Q. And to be accurate as to adults, sir, the only	10 went to the FDA and you had discussions with the FDA			
11 thing in the United States that this drug and it	as to whether the drug could be approved for			
12 was a powerful drug, wasn't it, and still is a	12 conduct disorder?			
13 powerful drug?	<b>13</b> A. Okay. That's no, no. That was a meeting			
<b>14</b> A. It is an effective drug, yes.	14 with the FDA in which we explored whether the FDA			
15 Q. Yes. I didn't ask about effectiveness. I	15 will be prepared to review an NDA on Risperdal in			
16 asked about power.	16 conduct disorder or disruptive behavior disorders.			
17 Can you agree with me	17 And for a number of reasons, as we discussed			
<b>18</b> A. We never talk about we never talk about	18 yesterday, the FDA said that they didn't feel this			
<b>19</b> power. What how do you define powerful drug?	19 to be an appropriate indication for label. And,			
20 Q. When it knocks somebody when someone has	20 consequently, we did not submit any NDA. And the			
21 their head on the table, would that be a powerful	<b>21</b> FDA did not reject it because we never submitted it.			
22 drug?	22 Why would you do a submission if you know before			
<b>23</b> A. I don't know what you mean by that.	<b>23</b> that they would not embrace it?			
24 Q. You haven't heard about that testimony in this	24 Although although the FDA made			
25 trial?	<b>25</b> also clear at that meeting that they would make this			
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 42	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 44			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> </ol>	<ul><li>1 dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li><li>2 part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li></ul>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>mania, correct?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>mania, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>mania, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>mania, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>mania, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>mania, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>mania, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>(Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> <li>Q. And they said no?</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> <li>Q. And they said no?</li> <li>A. And they didn't they did not</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> <li>Q. And they said no?</li> <li>A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> <li>Q. And they said no?</li> <li>A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>say</li> <li>Q. They said no?</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>16 Q. And I'm going to now show you what will become</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> <li>Q. And they said no?</li> <li>A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>fa. No, no, no. They did not say no. They</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>16 Q. And I'm going to now show you what will become</li> <li>17 P-91.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. And they said no?</li> <li>A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>say</li> <li>Q. They said no?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, no. They did not say no. They</li> <li>said they had several concerns about the concept of</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>16 Q. And I'm going to now show you what will become</li> <li>17 P-91.</li> <li>18 Sir, as to these approvals that we</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. M they said no?</li> <li>A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>say</li> <li>Q. They said no?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, no. They did not say no. They</li> <li>said they had several concerns about the concept of</li> <li>conduct disorder for different reasons. And they</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>16 Q. And I'm going to now show you what will become</li> <li>17 P-91.</li> <li>18 Sir, as to these approvals that we</li> <li>19 had up on the board, am I correct that in 1997,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. And they said no?</li> <li>A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>say</li> <li>Q. They said no?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, no. They did not say no. They</li> <li>said they had several concerns about the concept of</li> <li>conduct disorder for different reasons. And they</li> <li>said, Hey, guys, if you want to pursue, you will</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>16 Q. And I'm going to now show you what will become</li> <li>17 P-91.</li> <li>18 Sir, as to these approvals that we</li> <li>19 had up on the board, am I correct that in 1997,</li> <li>20 1997, labeling was denied by the FDA something</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. And they said no?</li> <li>A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>say</li> <li>Q. They said no?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, no. They did not say no. They</li> <li>said they had several concerns about the concept of</li> <li>conduct disorder for different reasons. And they</li> <li>said, Hey, guys, if you want to pursue, you will</li> <li>need to understand that we need to bring this to the</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>16 Q. And I'm going to now show you what will become</li> <li>17 P-91.</li> <li>18 Sir, as to these approvals that we</li> <li>19 had up on the board, am I correct that in 1997,</li> <li>1997, labeling was denied by the FDA something</li> <li>12 you discussed with Ms. Sullivan yesterday, correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>11 A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> <li>12 Q. And they said no?</li> <li>13 A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>say</li> <li>15 Q. They said no?</li> <li>16 A. No, no, no, no. They did not say no. They</li> <li>said they had several concerns about the concept of</li> <li>conduct disorder for different reasons. And they</li> <li>said, Hey, guys, if you want to pursue, you will</li> <li>need to understand that we need to bring this to the</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>16 Q. And I'm going to now show you what will become</li> <li>17 P-91.</li> <li>18 Sir, as to these approvals that we</li> <li>19 had up on the board, am I correct that in 1997,</li> <li>1997, labeling was denied by the FDA something</li> <li>12 you discussed with Ms. Sullivan yesterday, correct?</li> <li>2 A. That was a request to the FDA or a proposal to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>11 A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> <li>12 Q. And they said no?</li> <li>13 A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>14 say</li> <li>15 Q. They said no?</li> <li>16 A. No, no, no, no. They did not say no. They</li> <li>said they had several concerns about the concept of</li> <li>conduct disorder for different reasons. And they</li> <li>said, Hey, guys, if you want to pursue, you will</li> <li>need to understand that we need to bring this to the</li> <li>public advisory board because we have substantial</li> <li>concerns.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>16 Q. And I'm going to now show you what will become</li> <li>17 P-91.</li> <li>18 Sir, as to these approvals that we</li> <li>19 had up on the board, am I correct that in 1997,</li> <li>20 1997, labeling was denied by the FDA something</li> <li>21 you discussed with Ms. Sullivan yesterday, correct?</li> <li>22 A. That was a request to the FDA or a proposal to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>11 A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> <li>12 Q. And they said no?</li> <li>13 A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>say</li> <li>15 Q. They said no?</li> <li>16 A. No, no, no, no. They did not say no. They</li> <li>said they had several concerns about the concept of</li> <li>conduct disorder for different reasons. And they</li> <li>said, Hey, guys, if you want to pursue, you will</li> <li>need to understand that we need to bring this to the</li> <li>public advisory board because we have substantial</li> <li>concerns.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>1 A. No.</li> <li>2 Q. Okay. Schizophrenia, sir, February 2002, so</li> <li>3 since February of 2002, the only indication for this</li> <li>4 drug, only indication for this drug is, in adults,</li> <li>5 was schizophrenia until 2003 when it became bipolar</li> <li>6 mania, correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. Now, as to all these approvals, sir, as to all</li> <li>9 these approvals if I can display oh. And the</li> <li>10 last exhibit I'm marking as P-90.</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 (Exhibit P-90 was marked for</li> <li>13 identification.)</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>16 Q. And I'm going to now show you what will become</li> <li>17 P-91.</li> <li>18 Sir, as to these approvals that we</li> <li>19 had up on the board, am I correct that in 1997,</li> <li>20 1997, labeling was denied by the FDA something</li> <li>21 you discussed with Ms. Sullivan yesterday, correct?</li> <li>22 A. That was a request to the FDA or a proposal to</li> <li>23 add particular text in the label on appropriate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>dependent on a public advisory board, which is often</li> <li>part of the review process, where the FDA asks</li> <li>experts for their input before they approve it.</li> <li>Q. So it was denied before it was even asked for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That was not denied. That was an exchange of</li> <li>ideas, a proposal. And the FDA didn't embrace that</li> <li>idea; and, consequently, we did not pursue it.</li> <li>Q. Let's see if we can agree with this. You</li> <li>exchanged ideas with the FDA?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We came with a proposal.</li> <li>Q. And they said no?</li> <li>A. And they didn't they didn't they did not</li> <li>say</li> <li>Q. They said no?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, no. They did not say no. They</li> <li>said they had several concerns about the concept of</li> <li>conduct disorder for different reasons. And they</li> <li>said, Hey, guys, if you want to pursue, you will</li> <li>need to understand that we need to bring this to the</li> <li>public advisory board because we have substantial</li> <li>concerns.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever go back and ask for an approval</li> </ul>			

	Pledger	v. Ĵa	anssen
- IV(	O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 45		O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 47
1	<b>Q.</b> Conduct disorder I'm going to write on my	1	
2	thing "discussion." Would that be a fair way to	2	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
3	put it?	3	
	A. There was a discussion, that's fair.	4	COURT CRIER: Come to order.
	Q. Okay. Let's make sure that we do it.	5	<b>THE COURT:</b> All right. You may be
6	And then in 2005 in 2005 there was	6	seated till the jury comes in.
7	a formal submission, correct?	7	
8	A. Are you talking about	8	enters.
	Q. For autism.		enters.
		9	(Whereupon the jury entered the
10		10	
11	that was in December 2003.	11	courtroom at 11:11 a.m.)
	Q. Okay. And when were you told "no"?	12	
	A. Here again, I don't know the dates by heart,	13	e e
14	but somewhere in 2004, it was a unapprovable letter.	14	
15	That's not a "no." That says, Hey, guys, we have	15	<b>9</b> 1
16	looked at your data and we have additional	16	
17	questions, can you help us out.	17	BY MR. KLINE:
18	Q. Well, I'll just have to get the documents out,	18	-
19	sir.	19	"not approvable" mean?
20	In fact, let me	20	A. Well, that basically means that with the
21	THE COURT: You know what, right	21	current information provided, that the FDA is not
22	there, I'd like to take a break right here,	22	prepared to approve your application.
23	if possible, to get the documents and	23	Q. Okay. And in and in
24	whatever.		A. Say again.
25	MR. KLINE: Yes. And, Your Honor,	25	Q. In May 2005, as to autism, the FDA issued a
- PL	EDGER -vs- JANSSEN - Page 46	- IV	O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 48
1	I'm just trying to go slow and patient.	1	non-approvable letter, correct?
2	<b>THE COURT:</b> No; I have no problem		A. There were different steps: Submission,
3	with that. I just want to because we're	3	
4	going to be taking a lunch break around	4	
5	12:15. So I think right now is the right	5	11 1 16 0005 1
	time to take our break right here, okay?		Q. Yeah.
6	MR. KLINE: Yes.		A. It may well be, right.
7			
8	<b>THE COURT:</b> All right. A ten-minute	8	
9	recess everybody.	9	
10	<b>COURT CRIER:</b> All rise as the jury	10	You use "C and A" a lot, don't you?
11	exits.	11	Children and adolescents; you use that term?
12			A. Yeah.
13	(Whereupon the jury exited the		Q. "Children and adolescents," "not approvable."
14	courtroom at 10:54 a.m.)	14	By the way, in that same month
15		15	since you were adding here in this chart all of the
16	<b>THE COURT:</b> I'm just going Doctor,	16	things that were approved for adults, in that same
17	I'm just going to ask our court reporter	17	month there was something else that was not approved
18	wants to speak to you about the spelling of	18	that you tried to get an approval for, a
19	some names that you mentioned. I'll permit	19	non-approvable. Do you know what that was?
20	that, if there's no objection.	20	•
21	<b>THE WITNESS:</b> That's fair.	21	dementia?
22	MS. SULLIVAN: No objection.	22	•
23	THE COURT: Then we'll come back.	23	A. 2005. Yeah.
24	Ten-minute recess.	24	Q. Psychosis and dementia.
25	MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.	25	
	-		

Pledger	v. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 49	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 51
1 correct?	1 Q. When was that?
2 A. That is correct.	2 A. A couple of months ago.
3 Q. And there was wide off-label use of the drug	3 Q. Okay. And of any of the articles on
5 A. I don't know. I cannot	<b>5</b> A. Very few; because I leave the authorship to
6 <b>MS. SULLIVAN:</b> Objection, Your Honor.	6 particularly the clinical leaders and the
<b>THE COURT:</b> That's sustained. That's	7 investigators that really deal with the actual
8 sustained.	8 study. Because I'm dealing with everything, not
9 BY MR. KLINE:	9 only clinical, also chem, chem-pharma and
10 Q. So May 2005 there were non-approvables by the	10 Q. My question was only here's a question,
11 FDA for two different two different time frames,	11 let's see if it can be answered: Is your name on
12 correct? Two different indications.	12 any article? Yes or no?
13 A. Yes.	13 A. Yes, it is.
14 Q. Autism was non-approvable, and child and	14 Q. On any Risperdal article?
15 adolescent irritability of autism non-approvable,	15 A. Yes.
16 correct?	16 Q. How many Risperdal articles in the published
17 A. No, no. That's the same.	17 literature is your name on them?
18 Q. What's the same?	18 A. Very few.
<b>19</b> A. The two you just mentioned.	19 Q. How many is very few?
• •	20 A. I don't know that by heart.
<b>21</b> A. Yeah, yeah, yeah. But you mentioned autism	21 Q. Well, you came here to testify, sir. Is it
22 twice.	22 one? Is it three? Is it five? Is it more than
23 Q. Okay. Well, irritability and autism was not	23 ten?
24 approvable?	24 A. It's less than ten.
<b>25</b> A. That was a non-approvable letter, yes.	25 Q. Okay. Now, sir, there's a document which I
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 50	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 52
1 Q. Yeah. I ran out of room so I just put	1 want to establish a couple of things.
2 "autism."	2 On the Risperdal team is a man by the
<b>3</b> Okay. Next P number is? Pardon me?	3 name of Gahan Pandina, correct?
<b>4 MR. GOMEZ:</b> 91.	<b>4</b> A. He was not a member of my compound development
5 <b>MR. KLINE:</b> P-91, which is the	5 team. He was within the Janssen US Medical Affairs
6 plaintiff version of DG6-1.	6 organization.
7 (Exhibit P-91 marked for	7 Q. What's Janssen US Medical Affairs? Is that
8 identification.)	8 like is that a science department?
9 BY MR. KLINE:	9 A. That is a science department, but in Janssen
10 Q. Next, moving on to a different topic.	10 US, that deals with further documentation and
11 Sir, picking up a few quick things	11 exploration of what is known about the product and
	12 scientific affairs, yes.
· ·	
14 this purpose?	<b>14</b> A. Well, he was one of the people dealing with Disported So you want to know the way I was
15 Okay. I see you have not published	15 Risperdal. So you want to know the way I was
16 an article in the medical literature since 1991; is	16 dealing with
17 that correct?	17 Q. My question is, did you deal with him? Yes or
<b>18</b> A. Oh, no, no, that's incorrect.	18 no?
<b>19 Q.</b> When have you last published?	<b>19</b> A. I had contact with Gahan Pandina, yes.
20 A. Last month.	20 Q. Were you on e-mails with Gahan Pandina?
21 Q. I see.	21 A. Yes.
22 In what, sir?	22 Q. That's the kind of questions I would like to
23 A. That was an abstract, but the latest article	23 ask in the next two hours.
<b>24</b> was in the British Journal of Psychiatry, a couple	24 A. Okay.
<b>25</b> of months ago.	25 Q. That's what I would like to ask, get a

Pleager	v. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 53	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 55
1 response.	1 controversy that remained about prolactin levels in
2 Now, you were on e-mails with Gahan	2 Risperdal? Yes or no?
3 Pandina. And you also knew that he was involved in	<b>3</b> A. I'm not sure whether there was a controversy.
4 the e-mails regarding the drafting of the Findling	4 There was different manuscripts' reviews with
5 article, correct, sir?	5 different positions, and obviously that's the way it
6 A. Yes, indeed.	6 works. And that's the way, however, you come to an
7 Q. As well as this lady Carin and, by the way,	7 eventual final manuscript.
8 he wasn't a Medical Doctor?	8 Q. Well, sir, do you recall being included on an
9 A. He's a psychologist, I think, yeah.	9 e-mail just off the top of your head, before we
10 Q. Yeah. Psychologist.	10 talk about specific documents, do you recall being
11 And psychologists don't prescribe	11 on an e-mail in which there was a discussion that
12 medication, do they?	12 the controversy still remains about prolactin? Do
13 A. I'm not sure whether they are allowed to	13 you recall that?
14 prescribe certain medications. That I don't know	14 A. Uhmm
15 for sure in this country.	15 Q. Yes or no. If you recall it, you do. If you
16 Q. You just don't know?	16 don't, you don't.
17 A. I don't know.	17 A. I don't recall it literally, but I'm aware of
18 Q. And Carin Binder, sir, she also you had	<b>18</b> this of an e-mail like that, yes.
19 contact with her. She was on e-mails. Is that	19 Q. Yeah. Have you reviewed it before coming to
20 correct, sir, regarding the drug, Risperdal?	20 the court?
21 A. Yes.	21 A. Uhmm
22 Q. And Carin Binder was with Janssen Canada,	22 Q. The lawyers show it to you?
23 correct?	<b>23</b> A. I think we reviewed this, yes.
24 A. Yes, correct.	24 Q. Yeah.
25 Q. And you had various discussions and e-mails	25 There's a lot of e-mails that you
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 54	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 56
-	
1 with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?	1 reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?
<ul> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>3 Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>4 data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>T. No.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> <li>Q. Well, sir, let's look at I want to get to</li> <li>some documents.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> <li>seen that in the last no.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> <li>Q. Well, sir, let's look at I want to get to</li> <li>some documents.</li> <li>First of all, each one we'll put in</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> <li>seen that in the last no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what "nauseating" means?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> <li>Q. Well, sir, let's look at I want to get to</li> <li>some documents.</li> <li>First of all, each one we'll put in</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> <li>seen that in the last no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what "nauseating" means?</li> <li>A. Nauseating amount, no.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> <li>Q. Well, sir, let's look at I want to get to</li> <li>some documents.</li> <li>First of all, each one we'll put in</li> <li>some perspective.</li> <li>THE COURT: There's some kind of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> <li>seen that in the last no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what "nauseating" means?</li> <li>A. Nauseating amount, no.</li> <li>Q. You don't?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> <li>Q. Well, sir, let's look at I want to get to</li> <li>some perspective.</li> <li>THE COURT: There's some kind of</li> <li>feedback there, Mr. Gomez and Mr. Kline.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> <li>seen that in the last no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what "nauseating" means?</li> <li>A. Nauseating amount, no.</li> <li>Q. You don't?</li> <li>A. I know nausea, yeah.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> <li>Q. Well, sir, let's look at I want to get to</li> <li>some perspective.</li> <li>THE COURT: There's some kind of</li> <li>feedback there, Mr. Gomez and Mr. Kline.</li> <li>BY MR. KLINE:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> <li>seen that in the last no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what "nauseating" means?</li> <li>A. Nauseating amount, no.</li> <li>Q. You don't?</li> <li>A. I know nausea, yeah.</li> <li>Q. It's a side effect of a lot of drugs.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> <li>Q. Well, sir, let's look at I want to get to</li> <li>some perspective.</li> <li>THE COURT: There's some kind of</li> <li>feedback there, Mr. Gomez and Mr. Kline.</li> <li>BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>Q. Sir, I'd like to focus on I'd like to focus</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> <li>resen that in the last no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what "nauseating" means?</li> <li>A. Nauseating amount, no.</li> <li>Q. You don't?</li> <li>A. I know nausea, yeah.</li> <li>Q. It's a side effect of a lot of drugs.</li> <li>A. That's not no, that's not</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> <li>Q. Well, sir, let's look at I want to get to</li> <li>some perspective.</li> <li>THE COURT: There's some kind of</li> <li>feedback there, Mr. Gomez and Mr. Kline.</li> <li>BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>Q. Sir, I'd like to focus on I'd like to focus</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> <li>seen that in the last no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what "nauseating" means?</li> <li>A. Nauseating amount, no.</li> <li>Q. You don't?</li> <li>A. I know nausea, yeah.</li> <li>Q. It's a side effect of a lot of drugs.</li> <li>A. That's not no, that's not</li> <li>Q. Did you ever</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>with her as well as Mr. Pandina, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This jury has seen many e-mails about them;</li> <li>and some you were copied on and some you were not.</li> <li>But ultimately, you were the one who was running the</li> <li>show as to getting the label changed, correct?</li> <li>A. Well, running the show is some word I'm not</li> <li>sure what you mean by that. I'm not running a show.</li> <li>I'm running a product. And</li> <li>Q. All right. Running a project.</li> <li>A. I'm running a label review, yes, but I don't</li> <li>call this a "show."</li> <li>Q. Never heard that expression?</li> <li>A. I don't like the word "show" for drug</li> <li>development.</li> <li>Q. Well, sir, let's look at I want to get to</li> <li>some perspective.</li> <li>THE COURT: There's some kind of</li> <li>feedback there, Mr. Gomez and Mr. Kline.</li> <li>BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>Q. Sir, I'd like to focus on I'd like to focus</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>reviewed before coming to court, correct, sir?</li> <li>A. Hardly any.</li> <li>Q. There's one that includes the words "hiding</li> <li>data." You saw that e-mail, correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There's one e-mail saying there's a</li> <li>nauseating amount of information about gynecomastia.</li> <li>Did you</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you see that e-mail?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>Have you ever seen that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't know really I haven't I haven't</li> <li>resen that in the last no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what "nauseating" means?</li> <li>A. Nauseating amount, no.</li> <li>Q. You don't?</li> <li>A. I know nausea, yeah.</li> <li>Q. It's a side effect of a lot of drugs.</li> <li>A. That's not no, that's not</li> </ul>

	on)XIII - February 11, 2015 7. Janssen
	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 59
<ol> <li>effect of many drugs.</li> <li>Q. No?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. TV ads I see they say it.</li> <li>But how about the sitting here</li> <li>today, you've never seen either an e-mail talking</li> <li>about hiding data nor an e-mail regarding Risperdal</li> <li>that talks about there being a nauseating amount</li> <li>of info; is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, I don't it doesn't ring a bell to me,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>It was a form of Risperdal. There was Risperdal pills; Risperdal liquid; Risperdal dissolvable?</li> <li>A. (Nodding.)</li> <li>Q. You could get your Risperdal almost any way</li> <li>you could get it down, correct?</li> <li>A. Oh, no, no, no, no. There are many more ways</li> <li>you can get a product in a body.</li> <li>Q. Are you working on it?</li> <li>A. Uhmm, no. But those are the ones we</li> <li>developed. But there are many more ways that you</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 no.</li> <li>12 Q. If that's the way the drug were being talked</li> <li>13 about at the time it was being developed, would you</li> <li>14 expect to have seen those e-mails, sir?</li> <li>15 A. Say that again.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. Now, back to something else in 2003, in</li> <li>17 April of 2003. There was always an attempt, sir,</li> <li>18 with the drug, with Risperdal, as it applied to</li> <li>19 adolescents and children, there was always a message</li> <li>20 that you and your group were looking to convey; can</li> <li>21 we agree, a message?</li> <li>22 A. That's an interpretation. I'm not aware of</li> <li>23 that particular objective.</li> <li>24 Q. Did you ever see the word not once but many</li> <li>25 times in e-mails regarding messaging, "message</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>11 can you could use, yes.</li> <li>12 Q. Okay. But anyway, anyway, Risperdal,</li> <li>13 Risperdal was the franchise?</li> <li>14 A. No, no, no, no.</li> <li>15 Q. No. Did you ever see here's my question,</li> <li>16 just simple straight up yes or no.</li> <li>17 Did you ever see Risperdal in an</li> <li>18 e-mail that you were involved in, someone sent you,</li> <li>19 calling it the Franchise? Capital F, the Franchise.</li> <li>20 A. That may be, but I would never call this a</li> <li>21 "franchise."</li> <li>22 Q. By the way, the documents show that in the</li> <li>23 early 2000s it was a two-billion-dollar drug in</li> <li>24 sales.</li> <li>25 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> </ul>
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 58	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 60
<ul> <li>1 emphasis is important"? Did you ever see that kind</li> <li>2 of e-mail, sir?</li> <li>3 A. No, it doesn't ring a bell to me, to be</li> <li>4 honest.</li> <li>5 Q. No?</li> <li>6 A. No.</li> <li>7 Q. And in fact Risperdal Consta, what was</li> <li>8 Consta, by the way, sir?</li> <li>9 A. This drug Consta is a trademark for a</li> <li>10 long-acting injectable formulation for risperidone</li> <li>11 so that you can inject the patients so that they</li> <li>12 don't need to take pills.</li> <li>13 Q. Yeah. And when did that become approved?</li> <li>14 A. Was that 2003?</li> <li>15 Q. Is that on is that on the chart? We'll</li> <li>16 take a look.</li> <li>17 I have oral solution and</li> <li>18 disintegrating tablet was on the chart.</li> <li>19 Do you have a sense of it? You're</li> <li>20 the guy who knows a lot about this.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This violates blatant violation of an in</li> <li>limine motion. And I guess we can talk about</li> <li>how much money it costs to develop this drug.</li> <li>This is a blatant violation of Your Honor's</li> <li>ruling.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, I'll hold that</li> <li>under advisement.</li> <li>Can you just move on</li> <li>MR. KLINE: Yes, we'll move on.</li> <li>THE COURT: to other areas other</li> <li>than franchise.</li> <li>Do you have some documents?</li> <li>MR. KLINE: I do.</li> <li>THE COURT: If you have some</li> <li>documents that refresh his memory, whatever</li> <li>you want to do.</li> <li>MR. KLINE: Okay. I will do it. Let</li> <li>me show a document.</li> <li>Let me show you a document, one of</li> <li>many I have here, April 14, 2003.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>A. Yeah. I think our first approval for</li> <li>Risperdal Consta, this was in 2003 or something like</li> <li>that, yeah.</li> <li>Q. All right. Thereabouts. Early on.</li> <li>And that was just an injectable form.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>P-92, for the Court.</li> <li>(Exhibit P-92 marked for</li> <li>identification.)</li> <li>MR. KLINE: And I'm referring, Your</li> <li>Honor, to the bottom of the page where this</li> </ul>

		on)XIII - February 11, 2015 v. Janssen
- IVO	CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 61	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 63
-	witness this witness is a reginight	1 A Vec US vec
1	witness this witness is a recipient.	1 A. Yes, US, yes.
2	It is from well, I'll identify it	2 Q. And you see there are a lot of people on this
3	and I'll have the Court look at it, and I'll	3 e-mail, including Ivo Caers, PRDBE. Do you see
4	have Ms. Sullivan look at it. Dr. Caers is a	4 that?
5	recipient, and I would ask to display it to	5 A. I see that.
6	the jury.	6 Q. PRDBE meaning?
7	<b>THE COURT:</b> What you're talking about	7 A. That's Pharmaceutical Research and
8	now the bottom one?	8 Development, and the BE stands for Belgium.
9	MR. KLINE: Yes, the bottom one,	9 Q. Thank you.
10	going into the next page.	10 Now, let's quickly go to the e-mail.
11	<b>THE COURT:</b> Oh, okay. Just one	11 The e-mail says on the first on
12	second.	12 the second paragraph, "However."
13	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> Yeah. It's from Reyes.	13 Yes.
14	<b>THE COURT:</b> April 13, 2003?	14 (Document displayed.)
15	MR. KLINE: Yes.	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> Okay. We can stretch it
16	<b>THE COURT:</b> 11:54 a.m.?	16 as large as we can.
17	MR. KLINE: Yes.	17 Okay. Viewable.
18	MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, this	18 BY MR. KLINE:
19	I would object because it relates to a	19 Q. Sir, do you see it in front of you on your
20	different drug, Consta, which is not at	20 screen?
21	issue.	<b>21</b> A. Okay.
22	<b>THE COURT:</b> That's overruled. That	22 Q. Yes?
23	objection is overruled. We just determined	23 We both have the document. And I
24	that Consta is a form of Risperdal.	24 think it's called out on the screen as well for your
25	MR. KLINE: Yes.	25 convenience.
- IVO	CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 62	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 64
1	THE COURT: All right. You can be	1 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah.
2	permitted to use that.	2 Q. It says, "However, the controversy remains.
3	MR. KLINE: Thank you, Your Honor.	3 Should we be emphasizing prolactin levels per se or
4	THE COURT: In other words, all I	4 their so-called consequences? All of the prolactin
5	want on the screen no; I don't want that.	5 literature in pediatrics and with oral RIS in adults
6	Take that down.	6 suggests there is no correlation of levels to AEs."
7	MR. KLINE: Take that down. Just	7 Do you see that?
8	need the bottom.	8 A. Yes.
9	<b>THE COURT:</b> Just the part that has to	9 Q. "Further, most patients see an increase in
10	do with original message of April 13, 2003,	10 prolactin levels, but FEW ever see so-called related
11	through the next page.	11 symptoms."
12	MR. KLINE: Yes.	12 Do you see that?
13	I'm not going to display the first	13 A. Yes.
14	part, Your Honor. He has to show it with	14 Q. Next paragraph, let's put that one down and
15	you couldn't see it from the juror box.	15 put the next paragraph up.
16	<b>THE COURT:</b> That's fine.	<b>If [Reading]:</b> "The Global Prolactin
17	BY MR. KLINE:	17 Task Force research efforts being led by Gahan."
	Q. But can we agree, sir, that the e-mail is from	18 Let me stop there for a minute.
19	Magall, M-A-G-A-L-L [sic], with a hyphenated last	19 Were the Prolactin Task Force
20	name, Reyes-Harde, R-E-Y-E-S hyphen H-A-R-D-E?	20 research efforts, were they being led by Gahan
	A. Yes.	21 Pandina?
22		22 A. Yes.
		23 Q. [Reading]: "Will start to provide some
23		
23 / 24	think, also in Medical Affairs. Q. Medical Affairs in USA?	<ul> <li>24 definitive data, but not seen enough to resolve the</li> <li>25 issue for APA."</li> </ul>

	Pledger v. J			
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS -	Page 65 - I	VO CA	ERS, Ph.D CROSS -	Page 67
1 What's APA?	1	. 0.	The fact of the matter is, the "Franch	ise.'' bv
2 A. APA is the American Psy			our definition, is the antipsychotic fran	
3 Association. American Psycl	-	-	inssen, correct?	
4 Q. [Reading]: "We are mee		A.	In this case, that is, but I	
5 endocrinology from Harvar		5 Q.	Is that correct?	
6 A. Klibanski.	6	-	Yeah, this is the antipsychotic franchise	e. vou
7 Q. "Klibanski on May 8th bu			ould call it like this.	-, ,
8 can highlight this part, till t		0	Right. Right. Capital F, correct? T	hat's
9 sentence to talk about "th		•	ow it's written there?	
10 resolve the appropriate messa	-	) A.	What the capital F means, I'll leave that	t up
11 Do you see that?	11	. to	the writer. I haven't written this, huh.	1
12 A. Yes.	12		Yeah. It's written to you, correct?	
13 Q. Were you aware of this	e-mail? Did you 13		It was written to a range of people, incl	uding
14 receive this e-mail?	14		yself, yes.	C
15 A. Well, I must have receive	d it because I was on 15		Okay. Now, sir, let's move back in ti	me.
16 the copy list.	16	5	By the way, sir, Risperdal is not	t a
17 Q. Okay. And does that do	cument talk about a 17	w	onder drug, correct?	
18 "message emphasis," sir?	18	8 A.	It's not a one-year drug?	
<b>19</b> A. That talks about a messag	e emphasis, yes. 19	<b>Q</b> .	Wonder drug. Wonder drug.	
20 Q. Okay. And also the nex	t sentence, if we pull 20	) A.	I don't call any drug a "wonder drug."	
21 that down and pull the next	sentence up. 21	<b>Q</b> .	Yeah, that's right. And you've said s	o in
22 Do you see the senter	nce [reading]: 22	2 W	riting, correct?	
<b>23</b> "This is very" all caps "in	mportant poster for 23	8 A.	I'm not sure whether I said it in writing	, but
<b>24</b> both Consta and" and let's	highlight "the 24	I I V	would be surprised if I ever had used that	
<b>25</b> future of the franchise."	25	5 Q.	Okay. You would be surprised if you e	ver used
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - 1 A. Yes.	Page 66 - 1		ERS, Ph.D CROSS - e word?	Page 68
2 Q. See that?		. un 2 A.	~ .	
3 You received this e		0		ised the
4 A. Yes.	4	-	ord?	iseu ine
<b>5 Q.</b> Do you recall respondin			Yeah. I wouldn't you know, I would	n't be
6 A. That I don't recall, no.			clined to use that word, but	
7 Q. Okay.	7		Okay. Well, let's look at exhibit le	t's
8 A. But here now I understand	d what you mean by	-	ark the next exhibit. When you say yo	
9 "franchise," which is not what			clined to use the word P-93.	
10 Q. Sir, "this is very import		)	THE COURT: One second, Mr.	Kline.
11 the franchise." Do you see		-	Just for the record, the actual	
12 A. So that's not the Risperda		2	content of that e-mail that you asked	
<b>13 Q.</b> What franchise is that?	13	3	Dr. Caers a question on, that's going to	be
14 A. Franchise is a cluster of	- of products, not 14	l	marked P-92-A.	
15 only risperidone, but also pal	iperidone, for 15	5	MR. KLINE: Okay. Thank you,	Your
16 example, and potential future	1 5	5	Honor.	
<b>17</b> So that's a group of products		,		
<b>18</b> psychiatry franchise has seve	ral products. It has 18	3	(Exhibits P-92-A and P-93 marke	d for
<b>19</b> Risperdal, Invega, Concerta.	19	)	identification.)	
20 Now I understand w	•	)		
21 what this "franchise" means,	•	-	(Pause.)	
22 Q. Paliperidone, that's Invo				
23 of risperidone, correct?	23		MR. KLINE: We're just getting	the
24 A. It's not a form of risperido	one, no. It's a 24	L.	document, Your Honor.	
<b>25</b> separate molecule.	25	5	Did we hand one to the witness?	

(Jury Thar-Worlding Sessi) Pledger v	
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 69	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 71
<ul> <li>1 THE COURT: I have P-93.</li> <li>2 MR. KLINE: Yes. P-93.</li> <li>3 THE COURT: One second, please.</li> <li>4 MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, this</li> <li>5 is the subject of the manuscript discussion,</li> <li>6 which I think Your Honor has ruled on.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: Well</li> <li>8 MR. KLINE: It just goes to the</li> <li>9 question</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>So what I say here, guys, the way</li> <li>So what I say here, guys, the way</li> <li>this manuscript is written sounds like two and I</li> <li>used the words: It lacks fair balance and a</li> <li>critical view. It sounds rather like a sales piece.</li> <li>Atypical antipsychotics are not wonder drugs.</li> <li>And what you try to make the jury</li> <li>understand</li> <li>Q. No, sir.</li> <li>A is that I used the word "wonder drug" for</li> </ol>
10THE COURT: Who wrote this article?11Who wrote this e-mail?12MR. KLINE: Caers, Ivo Caers. And he13said he doesn't use the word "wonder drugs,"14and I simply wanted to point it out to him15that he used it.16THE COURT: All right. It's17permitted. P-93 is permitted.18MR. KLINE: It's the only purpose of19it.	<ul> <li>antipsychotics. I just said they are not wonder</li> <li>drugs.</li> <li>Q. That's</li> <li>A. Unfortunately unfortunately, they should</li> <li>always be used with caution.</li> <li>That's the truth, okay? Now we can</li> <li>continue.</li> <li>Q. That's the point that I was going to make with</li> <li>you, sir.</li> <li>A. Well</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>THE COURT: For that purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>Q. Sir, do you see the drug we don't need to</li> <li>display it. Do you see the e-mail?</li> <li>A. I think what you I think I know what you</li> <li>refer to. But just to have the real text, I would</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>20 Q. The point was</li> <li>21 A. No.</li> <li>22 Q you don't know what point I was going to</li> <li>23 make.</li> <li>24 A. Well, I hope you know that I'm making</li> <li>25 THE COURT: No, no.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 70</li> <li>1 need to see it, you know.</li> <li>2 COURT CRIER: He doesn't have it.</li> <li>3 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>4 Q. My question is simply: In the e-mail, did you</li> <li>5 say antipsychotics are not wonder drugs? Yes or no,</li> <li>6 sir?</li> <li>7 A. I write here, indeed, that atypical</li> <li>8 antipsychotics are not wonder drugs. And</li> <li>9 Q. That's my question. Do they say the</li> <li>10 dialogue we had was about whether you used the word</li> <li>11 "wonder drugs." You said that's not words that you</li> <li>12 use.</li> <li>13 A. But this is now an exact example of how you</li> <li>14 tweak</li> <li>15 Q. I have a question pending.</li> <li>16 A. No, no, no how you tweak the truth. I</li> <li>17 we</li> <li>18 MR. KLINE: Your Honor, may I</li> <li>19 THE COURT: No. He's allowed to</li> <li>20 answer.</li> <li>21 THE WITNESS: How you see it</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 72</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, this is</li> <li>argument. Objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: Overruled.</li> <li>BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>Q. Sir, when you were in front of the FDA when</li> <li>you were in front of the FDA, were you a partisan</li> <li>like you are in this courtroom? Yes or no?</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection. Objection,</li> <li>Your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled.</li> <li>BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>Q. Yes or no. When you're in front of the FDA,</li> <li>are you a partisan like you are in this courtroom?</li> <li>Yes or no?</li> <li>A. That's that's more a discussion between</li> <li>scientists.</li> <li>Q. Are you that's not my question.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a partisan; that is, you are for the</li> <li>drug and you're going to convince the FDA</li> <li>A. That's not how it works.</li> <li>O. Okay. Let me show this right up to the jury</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>22 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>23 Q. Okay. We'll talk about the truth, sir.</li> <li>24 A. You bring up a document and I can't comment on</li> <li>25 it? Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>22 Q. Okay. Let me show this right up to the jury,</li> <li>23 okay?</li> <li>24 A. Yeah, show it. You should really do that.</li> <li>25 Q. Let's look at P-93. P-93, and many more</li> </ul>

Pledger	v. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 73	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 75
1 A. Sure.	1 BY MR. KLINE:
2 Q about wonder drugs.	2 Q. And you were telling
3 Sir, the fact of the matter is the	3 THE COURT: Overruled.
4 fact of the matter is, on this document, on this	4 MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, you just
	5 asked Mr. Kline to step back and he's back
	6 where
<ul><li>6 one of your people who had written a manuscript,</li><li>7 correct?</li></ul>	7 MR. KLINE: I was told I can stand
- · ·	
9 Q. You were making? 10 A. Comments.	<ul> <li>9 THE COURT: He can stay right where</li> <li>10 you're supposed to be, or behind.</li> </ul>
11 Q. Comments. 12 A. Yes.	
	12 Q. Sir, you don't know the questions that are in
13 Q. Yes.	13 my head, do you?
14 Well, you were criticizing them?	14 A. I I would be surprised.
15 A. Yes.	15 Q. The fact of the matter is that you, sir, were
16 Q. You were criticizing them because and this	16 appropriately being critical of someone who made a
17 is a point I wanted to get to you were	17 manuscript, an academic manuscript, look like a
18 criticizing them because you said that the	18 sales pitch, correct?
19 manuscript a manuscript is a	<b>19</b> A. That is exactly what this mail says.
20 A. That's	20 Q. And that's because someone on the team was
21 Q. No. I didn't ask a question yet. I had a	21 overzealous and was trying to make the drug look
22 pause. I had a pause.	22 better than you thought it was, correct?
<b>Do you have something to say before</b>	<b>23</b> A. Overzealous, I don't know that word, but
24 the question?	24 basically that's it, yes.
25 A. (No response.)	25 Q. Yes. You don't know that word, but that's it.
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 74	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 76
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 74 1 Q. If so, say it.	<ul> <li>- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 76</li> <li>1 Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> </ul>
1 Q. If so, say it.	1 Now, the fact of the matter is, you
1 Q. If so, say it. 2 A. Go ahead.	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>A. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>A. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>A. Oh, not at all.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>A. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>Objection. This is argumentative.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>A. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>A. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. That's</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>A. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>sustained.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>A. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>sustained.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> <li>15 up P-93, you can.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> <li>debatable.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> <li>15 up P-93, you can.</li> <li>16 MR. KLINE: Not yet. Not yet.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> <li>debatable.</li> <li>Q. And now what you said if I can have an</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> <li>15 up P-93, you can.</li> <li>16 MR. KLINE: Not yet. Not yet.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: All right.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> <li>debatable.</li> <li>Q. And now what you said if I can have an</li> <li>opportunity to show you the document and the jury</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> <li>15 up P-93, you can.</li> <li>16 MR. KLINE: Not yet. Not yet.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: All right.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> <li>debatable.</li> <li>Q. And now what you said if I can have an</li> <li>opportunity to show you the document and the jury</li> <li>the document and conduct an examination like we do</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> <li>15 up P-93, you can.</li> <li>16 MR. KLINE: Not yet. Not yet.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>18 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>19 Q. You were criticizing see if you can agree</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> <li>debatable.</li> <li>Q. And now what you said if I can have an</li> <li>opportunity to show you the document and the jury</li> <li>the document and conduct an examination like we do</li> <li>in courtrooms</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> <li>15 up P-93, you can.</li> <li>16 MR. KLINE: Not yet. Not yet.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>18 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>19 Q. You were criticizing see if you can agree</li> <li>20 with a word you were criticizing a manuscript,</li> <li>21 what was supposed to be or look like an academic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> <li>debatable.</li> <li>Q. And now what you said if I can have an</li> <li>opportunity to show you the document and the jury</li> <li>the document and conduct an examination like we do</li> <li>in courtrooms</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> <li>15 up P-93, you can.</li> <li>16 MR. KLINE: Not yet. Not yet.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>18 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>19 Q. You were criticizing see if you can agree</li> <li>20 with a word you were criticizing a manuscript,</li> <li>21 what was supposed to be or look like an academic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> <li>debatable.</li> <li>Q. And now what you said if I can have an</li> <li>opportunity to show you the document and the jury</li> <li>the document and conduct an examination like we do</li> <li>in courtrooms</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor,</li> <li>to the argument.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> <li>15 up P-93, you can.</li> <li>16 MR. KLINE: Not yet. Not yet.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>18 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>19 Q. You were criticizing see if you can agree</li> <li>20 with a word you were criticizing a manuscript,</li> <li>21 what was supposed to be or look like an academic</li> <li>22 manuscript; correct so far?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> <li>debatable.</li> <li>Q. And now what you said if I can have an</li> <li>opportunity to show you the document and the jury</li> <li>the document and conduct an examination like we do</li> <li>in courtrooms</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor,</li> <li>to the argument.</li> <li>MR. KLINE: Here's what</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q. If so, say it.</li> <li>2 A. Go ahead.</li> <li>3 Q. You view this, sir, as a battle, don't you?</li> <li>4 A. Oh, not at all.</li> <li>5 MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>6 Objection. This is argumentative.</li> <li>7 THE COURT: All right. That's</li> <li>8 sustained.</li> <li>9 MS. SULLIVAN: And</li> <li>10 THE COURT: That's sustained.</li> <li>11 Mr. Kline, why don't you just a</li> <li>12 little bit to the side and behind.</li> <li>13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>14 THE COURT: Unless you want to put</li> <li>15 up P-93, you can.</li> <li>16 MR. KLINE: Not yet. Not yet.</li> <li>17 THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>18 BY MR. KLINE:</li> <li>19 Q. You were criticizing see if you can agree</li> <li>20 with a word you were criticizing a manuscript,</li> <li>21 what was supposed to be or look like an academic</li> <li>22 manuscript; correct so far?</li> <li>23 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, the fact of the matter is, you</li> <li>thought you thought if I could get my question</li> <li>out that the person was trying to make it look</li> <li>like a wonder drug, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you said antipsychotics aren't wonder</li> <li>drugs, correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I apparently said, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's because there is an incentive</li> <li>sometimes for people to want to make things look</li> <li>better than they are, and that's what you were</li> <li>criticizing here, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Whether that's an incentive for people</li> <li>making things better than they are, that's</li> <li>debatable.</li> <li>Q. And now what you said if I can have an</li> <li>opportunity to show you the document and the jury</li> <li>the document and conduct an examination like we do</li> <li>in courtrooms</li> <li>MR. KLINE: Here's what</li> <li>THE COURT: Well</li> </ul>

#### Pledger v. Janssen Page 77 - IVO CAERS, Ph.D. - CROSS -IVO CAERS, Ph.D. - CROSS -Page 79 **THE COURT:** You know what, that's 1 A. I wouldn't know whether it's a lady or not. I 1 sustained. But, you know, obviously we are don't know. 2 2 in a courtroom. We are not in a science lab. **3 Q.** "Please find my comments attached." 3 "This manuscript lacks fair balance 4 That's true. 4 MR. KLINE: Thank you, Your Honor. and critical view. Sounds rather like a sales 5 5 **BY MR. KLINE:** piece," correct? 6 6 7 Q. Now, let's put it up. Now let's see what it 7 A. Yes. says, like I planned to do. And this was something called the pediatric 8 8 0. Bates -- it's P-93 -- JJRE01991724. positioning paper, correct? 9 9 (Document displayed.) That I don't remember exactly on what this --10 A. 10 I think it was a review article rather than a **BY MR. KLINE:** 11 11 Q. Now, first of all, you sent the e-mail to this pediatric positioning paper, but --12 12 person Nynke, N-Y-N-K-E, correct? Sir, look right below. "Dear Team, please 13 **O**. 13 find the first draft of the so-called pediatric 14 A. Yeah. 14 Please find my comments attached. You say positioning paper." 15 Q. 15 Dear Nynke, N-Y-N-K-E? Okay. That's an internal terminology, then, 16 A. 16 17 A. Yes. 17 yes. 18 **Q.** Who is Nynke? 18 Q. All you had to do was look right below to know That's a medical writer. what it was, correct? 19 A. 19 20 Q. Medical writer. Not even in the company, Okay. 20 A. correct? If they say it, then you'd agree with it, 21 21 **O**. 22 A. No. It's an agency that serves -- that does correct? 22 medical writing for companies. MS. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. 23 23 **Q.** Yeah. Some of these articles, like the one That's argument. 24 24 that was being written here, aren't even written by 25 **BY MR. KLINE:** 25 IVO CAERS, Ph.D. - CROSS -- IVO CAERS, Ph.D. - CROSS -Page 78 Page 80 Janssen, correct? 1 Q. If your own team says the words, then you 1 agree, correct? Yes or no? 2 A. They are written in -- in -- how do you call 2 that? Well, they are agencies hired by Janssen to That's --3 A. 3 **THE COURT:** The objection is write 4 4 overruled. You may answer that. Q. What do you call them usually, sir? You call 5 5 them something writers. What do you call them? THE WITNESS: That's an internal name 6 6 Medical writing agencies. 7 given for that planned manuscript. 7 Α. Medical writing agencies, I see. **BY MR. KLINE: O**. 8 8 And the medical writing agencies 9 9 0. Okay. So we can call it that, correct? write some of these articles, correct? That's fair. 10 A. 10 You wouldn't criticize me for calling it that? 11 A. They write -- they do the writing, yes. 11 **Q**. 12 Q. Yeah. 12 A. No; that's fair. The scientists at Janssen don't even 13 **O**. A positioning paper? 13 write the articles. They're written by outside Yep. 14 14 A. medical writing agencies, correct? 15 **Q**. It positions the drug to get approved, 15 16 A. Yeah. But they are written under the correct? 16 supervision and subject to the final approval of the Well, products don't get approved based on a 17 17 A. Janssen scientists, obviously. positioning paper, huh. 18 18 Q. My question wasn't that, sir. My question Sir, a positioning paper is one of the steps 19 **O**. 19 was: They're written and drafted by outside 20 that's taken to get the drug approved, correct? Yes 20 companies, correct? or no? 21 21 **22** A. By outside medical writers, yes. Not correct. 22 A. 23 Q. And here -- do you know this lady? Is it a 23 **O**. Now, what you say here is "sounds like a sales lady or a man, by the way? Maybe I'm making a piece." 24 24 presumption. Nynke. 25 A. Yes. 25

	v. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 81	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 83
<b>1</b> Q. "Atypical antipsychotics are not wonder drugs	1 to another person.
2 in this population and should always be used with	2 Q. Now, you were involved with the you were
	3 involved in the period around January of 2004,
	,
<b>5</b> Q. Antipsychotic drugs in children should not	
6 only be used with some caution, sir, they should be	6 Q. Let me show you an e-mail, sir.
7 used with great caution. Can you and I agree on	7 January 29, 2004. Let's talk about
8 that?	8 this e-mail.
<b>9</b> A. Well, that's a matter of terminology. It's	9 Let's just go to documents. See if
10 important	10 you agree or disagree.
11 Q. Can we agree to that terminology?	11 <b>COURT CRIER:</b> It's 94. P-94.
<b>12</b> A. Well, this is my language. It should be used	12 <b>MR. KLINE:</b> Yes. P-94.
13 with some caution.	<b>THE COURT:</b> Is there an objection to
14 Q. Okay. And you even go further to criticize.	14 this?
<b>15</b> "The description of the studies is very sloppy." Do	15 MS. SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, no
16 you see that?	16 objection.
17 A. Yes.	17 <b>THE COURT:</b> Okay.
<b>18 Q. "Substantial changes are needed." Do you see</b>	18 MR. KLINE: Okay.
19 that?	19
20 A. Yes.	20 (Whereupon Exhibit P-94 was marked
21 Q. "Best regards"?	<b>21</b> for identification.)
22 A. Yes.	22
23 Q. "Ivo."	23 BY MR. KLINE:
24 Now, let's look at now, sir, I	24 Q. It's an e-mail. Let's discuss it before we
25 want to jump ahead to an e-mail from January 29,	25 display it, sir.
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 82	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 84
1 2004, okay.	1 A. That's fine.
2 You remember seeing the term about	2 Q. I had a smile.
3 messaging before in an e-mail, sir?	<b>3 THE COURT:</b> Have you had a chance to
4 A. That may be, yeah.	4 read it?
<b>5 Q.</b> There were discussions all the time back and	5 <b>THE WITNESS:</b> Yes. I now
6 forth with you and your team about the key messages,	6 BY MR. KLINE:
7 correct?	7 Q. Did you review it before you came to court?
8 A. That may be, yes.	8 Is this one of the documents they showed you?
9 Q. Because the key message is important to	9 A. No.
10 getting approval, correct?	10 Q. No?
11 A. No. Key messages has nothing to do with	11 A. (Shaking head.)
12 approval.	12 Q. By the way, sir, how many times have you
<b>13 Q.</b> Key message is important to making sure that	13 testified in this litigation so far?
14 the drug is well accepted, correct?	14 A. This testifying in court or all depositions?
15 A. Key messages are what are the key messages on	15 Q. Depositions, too.
16 this product in this area. Has nothing to do with	16 A. Five.
17 approvals.	17 Q. Five?
18 Q. Oh, it's an advocacy statement; can we agree?	<b>18</b> A. Yeah, plus ones in court.
<b>19</b> A. No. It's an as-fair-as-possible summary on	19 Q. And what states, sir?
20 what this product really stands for.	20 A. South Carolina.
21 Q. What does the word "message" mean in English,	21 Q. Yeah. Where else?
22 sir?	<b>22</b> A. Depositions, that was not for particular
23 A. Message means that	23 states, as far as I remember.
-	
	24 O Did lawyers fly over to Relation to even take
	24 Q. Did lawyers fly over to Belgium to even take 25 your deposition?
<ul><li>24 Q. A message:</li><li>25 A that is something that I want to bring over</li></ul>	<ul><li>24 Q. Did lawyers fly over to Belgium to even take</li><li>25 your deposition?</li></ul>

	Pledger v	v. Janssen
- IV(	D CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 85	
1	A. I think I've been over here three times in	1 this is about.
2	Philadelphia, perhaps even four. And once they flew	
3	over to Limburg for the deposition, yes.	3 Q. Anything else it was about?
4	Q. Now, sir, you state here this is an e-mail	4 A. Not to my knowledge, because it
5	from Greg Panico.	5 Q. Whenever whenever you're whenever I can,
	Who's Greg Panico?	
6		•
	A. Greg Panico is, I think, at that time within	7 All right. Now, so the PR people are
8	Janssen US responsible for public relations.	8 talking about what the key messages should be at
	Q. Public relations, hmm.	9 this public meeting, correct? That's what this is
10	And you're on the e-mail, correct?	10 all about?
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11 A. No, no, no. No, no, no.
12		-
13	you interact with them often?	13 issues that following the advisory panel, which,
14		14 once again, is in the public domain, that
	Q. But sometimes?	15 journalists may bring up and raise questions on.
16		16 Q. Yes. Okay.
17	-	17 And here were the key issues. It
18		<b>18</b> says let's get the document up. JJRE0109688.
19		
20	of 2004? Just give me, if you would, a sentence or	20 BY MR. KLINE:
21	two, what was going on?	21 Q. And it says here on November 21, we had a very
22	A. That was during the review process of the FDA,	22 productive session.
23	the review process of the autism submission. And as	
24	I said yesterday, I think, one of the steps in an	24 a yes or a no: Were you at that session?
25	approval process by the FDA is sometimes a public	
- IV(	D CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 86	·         IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS -         Page 88
1	advisory board where the FDA asks experts in the	1 Q. [Reading]: To lay out the various public
2	field to come together to review with them all data	<ul> <li>2 issues that are likely to be associated with the</li> </ul>
3	and to advise them on whether they would advise the	
4	FDA to, yes or no, approve this compound. So, in	4 As a follow-up to that meeting, we
	other words, whether the compound would be safe and	•
5	-	
6	effective in the proposed indication.	
	Q. All I'm	7 What's J&J PRDs?
	A. That	8 A. At that moment the research organization was
	Q. All I'm asking you is what was going on, sir,	9 called Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research and
10	in a sentence or two. That was the question.	10 Development.
11	<b>THE COURT:</b> Well, that was the	11 Q. And Janssen top-line position. What does he
12	answer, Mr. Kline.	12 mean by top-line position, if you know, as you
13	You may move on, please.	13 understood it then?
14	<b>THE WITNESS:</b> And there's one	14 A. Well, that means that those are our company
15	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> One more thing?	15 positions on a whole range of things that
16	<b>THE WITNESS:</b> One additional.	16 journalists may raise.
17	MR. KLINE: Oh, okay. Go ahead. One	17 Q. And here are anticipated issues. Let's block
18	more thing.	<b>18</b> out the anticipated issues here.
19	THE WITNESS: These advisory panels	<b>19 One of the anticipated issues at the</b>
20	are in the public domain and capture a lot of	20 advisory committee meeting was side effects,
21	press coverage. So, consequently, we needed	21 immediate and long term. Do you see that, sir?
	to be prepared for questions from journalists	22 A. Yes.
22		
		23 Q. And a second thing that would be raised was
22 23	and press in order to and who would attend	e o
22		

	y. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 89	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 91
<b>1 Q.</b> That's because you knew that the FDA	1 his head on the table, just like head on the table,
2 previously used that language, "chemical	2 is that a chemical straitjacket, sir?
3 straitjacket," as it pertained to Risperdal,	3 A. No.
4 correct?	4 Q. Yes or no?
5 A. Yes; but not for autism.	5 A. No.
6 Q. It used the term "chemical straitjacket" in	6 Q. No?
7 connection with the drug, correct?	7 A. No.
8 A. Yes.	8 Q. Hmm.
9 Q. Thank you.	9 Okay. And then you knew that there
<b>10</b> A. And this is not in anticipation of the FDA	10 would be objections. And one of the objections
<b>11</b> using this. This is in anticipation of journalists	11 it's right here as far as you knew, that some of
12 using this term.	12 the problems were prolactin levels; do you see that?
13 Q. Yeah, journalists.	<b>13</b> A. Yes.
14Well, journalists would have to get	14 Q. And gynecomastia, correct?
15 their knowledge from someplace, correct?	15 A. Yes.
<b>16</b> A. Well, they attend a meeting and then they pick	16 Q. Yeah.
17 up a whole range of things.	17 Now let me go to another document.
18 Q. Yeah.	18 This is the same time period, only a
<b>19</b> A. And they may have questions.	19 little earlier.
20 Q. And you thought that one thing they might pick	20 And, by the way, sir, as far as
21 up at that meeting is the word "chemical	21 Janssen Pharmaceuticals went, your goal, correct me
<ul><li>22 straitjacket'' might come up, correct?</li><li>23 A. That might come up, but that might also come</li></ul>	<ul> <li>if I'm wrong, from 2003 to 2005, if there were an</li> <li>ultimate goal, it was to get the drug approved by</li> </ul>
24 up in the question of the journalist without it	24 the FDA, correct?
<ul><li>25 being used during the advisory committee, obviously.</li></ul>	<b>25</b> A. It was the objective to get the drug approved
	20 million in the second of the second approved
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 90	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 92
1 Because this is not the FDA has not a monopoly on	1 for autism with the FDA
2 the straitjacket. That's an old concept. That is	2 Q. Yes.
3 in the literature for many, many, many years now.	<b>3</b> A because it was already approved.
4 Q. Yes. Chemical straitjacket is a term that's	4 Q. I know that. It was to get the drug
5 in the medical literature for many years. But I was	5 approved let me ask a question that is formulated
6 only asking you about whether it had been used with	6 correctly, maybe even scientifically.
7 respect to the drug Risperdal. Yes or no, sir?	7 It was a it was the goal of
8 A. Well, it	8 Janssen to get the drug approved by the FDA for the
9 Q. Yes or no?	9 indication of irritability in autism; can we agree?
10 A. No. It has been used for many years	10 A. In children and adolescents with autism.
11 regarding antipsychotics in general. And since	11 Q. Now, there's an e-mail in January 2004.
12 Risperdal is an antipsychotic, it could be used when	12 And, by the way, during this entire
13 you talk about Risperdal, that's fair.	13 time period, every time that it was being used in a
14 Q. '97, the FDA used the term as it applied, 15 correct?	<ul><li>child or an adolescent, every time it was being used</li><li>off-label, correct?</li></ul>
<ul><li>15 correct?</li><li>16 A. That was in the feedback on conduct disorder,</li></ul>	<b>16</b> A. Correct, in this country, yes.
17 as we discussed yesterday, yes.	<b>17 Q.</b> Yes. That's all that this trial is about
18 Q. Right.	18 A. Sure.
19 The kid has by the way, you're	19 Q this country and the warning in this
20 really familiar with this drug, correct?	20 country.
21 A. (No response.)	21 A. Yeah.
22 Q. You're very familiar with the drug, correct?	22 Q. And, by the way, what you displayed earlier,
23 A. Well, I better be.	23 let's see if I can do a half an hour in two minutes
	25 let 5 see in 1 can do a nan an nour in two initiates
24 Q. Yeah.	<ul><li>23 rec s see in r can do a nan an nour in two minutes</li><li>24 or one minute.</li></ul>
<ul> <li>24 Q. Yeah.</li> <li>25 If a kid takes the drug and then has</li> </ul>	

	v. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 93	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 95
1 if we can just basically agree on three points.	1 person. She but to answer your question, there
2 In 2006 the label said	<ul><li>2 had been years that they reported to me in a adult</li></ul>
3 October 2006, the label said that the gynecomastia	3 line. There had been years that they reported to me
4 rate was 2.3 percent, correct? Yes or no?	4 in a full line. And there had been years that they
5 A. Yes; in children and adolescents.	5 did not report to me at all.
6 Q. Thanks; in children and adolescents.	6 Q. How about here in 2004?
7 Prior to that time, that information	7 A. That I should I need to check that.
8 was not in the label; can we agree?	8 Q. Where would you need to go to check that?
<b>9</b> A. That's correct.	<b>9</b> A. That's a good question.
10 Q. In 2006 the label said that there was a study	<b>10 Q.</b> Now, this is right about the time this is
11 that showed that prolactin levels increased	11 about the time that you're going you're going to
12 49 percent versus 2 percent in a placebo, that is to	12 have this meeting that we described, correct?
13 say, 25 times to one. That was in the label in	<b>13</b> A. Which meeting do you refer to?
14 2006, correct, sir?	14 Q. This is January of 2004.
15 A. That I need to check. I am not sure.	<b>15</b> A. Which meeting do you refer to? This meeting
16 Q. All right. Then we'll have to make a note and	16 or
17 we'll come back to that after lunch.	17 Q. Let's show the e-mail. Let's show the e-mail.
18 That was not in the label prior to	<b>18 THE COURT:</b> We may be getting tired
<b>19 2006; that much you know, correct?</b>	<ul><li>at this point, everybody here. So a few more</li><li>minutes and we'll</li></ul>
20 A. I I agree, yes.	
21 Q. And in 2006, sir, there's a statement that 22 prolactin levels increase in this drug at a greater	<ul> <li>21 MR. KLINE: Yes.</li> <li>22 THE COURT: I think we were looking</li> </ul>
<ul><li>rate than any of the other antipsychotics. That</li></ul>	23 at P-95 to remind the witness as to what
24 statement is in the 2006 label, correct?	24 meeting we're talking about; is that the
25 A. "A greater rate," I don't think that's the	<ul><li>right document?</li></ul>
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 94	- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 96
1 language.	1 <b>MR. KLINE:</b> Your Honor, I'm going to
2 Q. Then I'll go to the exact language. We'll	2 withdraw asking him about the meeting because
3 take the half hour rather than two minutes. I'll go	3 I just am tired out.
4 back after lunch.	4 <b>THE COURT:</b> All right.
5 Now, let's talk about January 8,	5 <b>MR. KLINE:</b> I'm just not going to ask
6 2004.	6 him. I'm going to go to a document. I got a
7 On January 8, 2004, sir, you received	7 lot to do here. I'm just tired out.
8 an e-mail from Susan Mallows. Who is Susan Mallows,	8 <b>THE COURT:</b> All right. So why don't
<ul> <li>9 before I display the e-mail?</li> <li>10 A Susan Mallows at the time was my project.</li> </ul>	9 we well, go ahead, then, for a few more
<ul><li>10 A. Susan Mallows at the time was my project</li><li>11 manager in the team.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>10 minutes, please.</li> <li>11 MR. KLINE: Please. I'd appreciate</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>11 manager in the team.</li> <li>12 Q. Project manager in the team, okay.</li> </ul>	12 it. I'd appreciate it very much.
12 Q. 110ject manager in the team, okay. 13 Were there various project managers	13 <b>THE COURT:</b> Well, which subject do
14 over the years for Risperdal for child and	you want to go back to with the documents?
15 adolescent?	15 <b>MR. KLINE:</b> I'm going to P-95.
16 A. No, no. There was only one program project	16 Did we mark it?
17 manager in the team, but there were various	17 MR. GOMEZ: We did.
18 different people all over the years.	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> Did we put it in front of
19 Q. Is the project manager of the team the number	19 him?
20 two?	20 MS. SULLIVAN: Do you have a copy for
<b>21</b> A. Well, we don't count in teams in that way. A	21 me?
22 team is a team. And it is yes.	22 MR. KLINE: Please put a copy in
23 Q. Did she report directly to you, sir? And was	$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}} $
	23 front of him. He's on the e-mail. Let's see
24 she the second person?	24 if there's an objection. I'll ask him some
<ul><li>24 she the second person?</li><li>25 A. That has been no, she is not the second</li></ul>	

	Pledger	v. Ja	anss	sen
- IV	O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 97			AERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 99
1	(Exhibit P-95 marked for	1	А.	Yes.
2	identification.)	2	Q.	
3	(Handing document to the witness.)		Ă.	•
4	BY MR. KLINE:	4		MR. KLINE: Oh, it's not displayed,
5	Q. This is an e-mail. It follows another e-mail.	5		I'm sorry. JJRE04242471.
6	It's forwarding an e-mail with an attachment is	6		Do you have it, Cory? Yes or no?
7	what's going on here.	7		<b>VIDEO OPERATOR:</b> Not at this point.
8	A. Uh-huh. Yes.	8		<b>MR. KLINE:</b> You don't have it?
9	Q. So you would have seen this document, sir	9		Can you display it on the ELMO for
10	A. Yes.	10		me?
11	Q correct?	11		You think you have it.
12	A. Yes.	12		Okay.
13	Q. What is an issues management workshop?	13	B	SY MR. KLINE:
14	Let's let's look at the document.	14	Q.	What, sir, without giving a treatise, is the
15	A. Yes. So that's	15	is	sues management workshop?
16	Q. I don't have a question, sir. I don't have a	16		MS. SULLIVAN: Objection. Objection,
17	question, honestly.	17		Your Honor.
18	A. So what question do you have?	18		<b>THE COURT:</b> Objection? That's
19	Q. I would like to look at the document and ask	19		sustained. But
20	you specific questions, most of which can be	20	B	SY MR. KLINE:
21	answered yes or no.	21	•	·····
22	<b>THE COURT:</b> All right. So, Doctor,	22	n	nanagement workshop was.
23	why don't you look at this document, read it	23		
24	over.	24		ournalist questions following a potential public
25	Both pages or just one page? Is it a	25	a	dvisory board on the autism indication organized by
- IV	O CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 98	- IV	/0 C	AERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 100
1	two-page document?	1	tł	ne FDA.
2	<b>MR. KLINE:</b> No. I don't need the			And this document came to you, correct?
3	first page because he's acknowledged that he		A.	
4	received the e-mail and the attachment. I'd		Q.	- · ·
5	now like to look at the attachment, which is		Ă.	· ·
6	JJRE04242471.	6		hay also have been a telephone conference.
7	THE COURT: All right. So we're	7		Obviously, we do daily telephone conferences.
8	going to mark that particular page as P-95-A.	-	Q.	
9	And I'm going to ask our witness to take a	9	•	vere being anticipated, correct, sir? Yes or no?
10	look at that, and then put the page over and	10	A.	
11	ask any questions. If you don't remember it,	11	Q.	And one of the objections to the drug was
12	then you can look back on this page.	12	р	rolactin levels, correct?
13	MR. KLINE: Okay. I'd like to	13	Â.	
14	display the page.	14	Q.	And one of the objections to the drug was
15	<b>THE COURT:</b> All right. 95-A. Go	15	g	ynecomastia, correct?
16	ahead.	16	A.	Yes.
17	MR. KLINE: Thank you, Your Honor.	17	•	1 / / / 0
18	BY MR. KLINE:	18	0	thers, chemical straitjacket, correct?
19	Q. Do you see the issues management workshop,	19	А.	
20	sir?	20	•	<b>3 1</b> <i>i</i>
	A. Yes.	21		ther document, was side effects, immediate and long
	Q. Question, yes or no, was there an issues	22		erm, correct, sir?
23	management workshop? Yes or no?		A.	
	A. Yes.		Q.	
25	Q. Okay. Was the date November 21, 2003?	25	a	dversaries. Do you see that?
1		1		

	v. Janssen
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 101	- PLEDGER -vs- JANSSEN - Page 103
1 A. Yes.	1 break right here, okay?
2 Q. Do you describe people who might have an	2 So we are in recess till about 1:30.
3 objection to the drug as an adversary, sir?	3 I do have an appointment. So we're going to
4 A. Well, that's what is done here, yes.	4 start no later than quarter of 2:00, but
	1
<b>5</b> Q. And among the adversaries were some parents,	5 between 1:30 and quarter of 2:00. It's a
6 correct?	6 little later, okay?
7 A. Where what?	7 Same instructions: Yellow badges; do
8 Q. Parents.	8 not discuss; open mind; no investigation.
9 A. Yes.	9 And just enjoy lunch, okay?
10 Q. It says adversaries, parents?	10 <b>COURT CRIER:</b> All rise as the jury
11 A. Yes.	11 exits.
12 Q. Adversaries, there were pediatricians who were	12
13 adversaries, correct?	13 (Whereupon the jury exited the
14 A. Yes.	14 courtroom at 12:13 p.m.)
15 Q. There were psychologists who were adversaries	15
16 correct?	16 (The following transpired in open
17 A. This is all potential adversaries, obviously.	17 court outside the presence of the jury:)
18 Q. And there were allies?	18
19 A. Yeah.	<b>THE COURT:</b> All right. Then just as
20 Q. Parents, psychologists, neurologists,	20 yesterday, don't talk about this with the
21 pediatricians, teachers, psychologists, correct?	21 lawyers.
<b>22</b> A. Yes.	22 <b>THE WITNESS:</b> Can I ask you one
23 Q. You could anticipate people on both sides,	23 question? Can I talk with some of our people
24 being for and against this drug, correct?	about hotel reservations and things?
25 A. Not necessarily for or against this particular	25 <b>THE COURT:</b> Yes.
- IVO CAERS, Ph.D CROSS - Page 102	- PLEDGER -vs- JANSSEN - Page 104
1 drug, but overall against using drugs in children	1 <b>THE WITNESS:</b> Because I may need to
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> </ul>	1 <b>THE WITNESS:</b> Because I may need to 2 make some changes.
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>THE WITNESS: Because I may need to make some changes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes, of course.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>THE WITNESS: Because I may need to</li> <li>make some changes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes, of course.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>THE WITNESS: Because I may need to</li> <li>make some changes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes, of course.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm</li> <li>going to renew our motion for a mistrial in</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>THE WITNESS: Because I may need to</li> <li>make some changes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes, of course.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm</li> <li>going to renew our motion for a mistrial in</li> <li>light of the blatant and intentional</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>THE WITNESS: Because I may need to</li> <li>make some changes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes, of course.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm</li> <li>going to renew our motion for a mistrial in</li> <li>light of the blatant and intentional</li> <li>violation of the in limine motion on the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>THE WITNESS: Because I may need to</li> <li>make some changes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes, of course.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm</li> <li>going to renew our motion for a mistrial in</li> <li>light of the blatant and intentional</li> <li>violation of the in limine motion on the</li> <li>numbers.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Because I may need to</li> <li>make some changes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes, of course.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm</li> <li>going to renew our motion for a mistrial in</li> <li>light of the blatant and intentional</li> <li>violation of the in limine motion on the</li> <li>numbers.</li> <li>THE COURT: Is the door closed?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> </ol>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> </ol>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Because I may need to</li> <li>make some changes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes, of course.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm</li> <li>going to renew our motion for a mistrial in</li> <li>light of the blatant and intentional</li> <li>violation of the in limine motion on the</li> <li>numbers.</li> <li>THE COURT: Is the door closed?</li> <li>COURT CRIER: Yes.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of</li> <li>dollars in sales on the drug. That was a</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Because I may need to</li> <li>make some changes.</li> <li>THE COURT: Yes, of course.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm</li> <li>going to renew our motion for a mistrial in</li> <li>light of the blatant and intentional</li> <li>violation of the in limine motion on the</li> <li>numbers.</li> <li>THE COURT: Is the door closed?</li> <li>COURT CRIER: Yes.</li> <li>MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of</li> <li>dollars in sales on the drug. That was a</li> <li>blatant and knowing violation of this Court's</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> </ol>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of the sales
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.
<ol> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> </ol>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> <li>people who were against the Janssen Pharmaceutical</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my17understanding
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> <li>people who were against the Janssen Pharmaceutical</li> <li>company was, sir if we can highlight that word on</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my17understanding18THE COURT: I don't know. I think I
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> <li>people who were against the Janssen Pharmaceutical</li> <li>company was, sir if we can highlight that word on</li> <li>the top they would be adversaries, correct?</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my17understanding18THE COURT: I don't know. I think I19sustained the objection, didn't I?
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> <li>people who were against the Janssen Pharmaceutical</li> <li>company was, sir if we can highlight that word on</li> <li>the top they would be adversaries, correct?</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my17understanding18THE COURT: I don't know. I think I19sustained the objection, didn't I?20MR. KLINE: Yes.
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> <li>people who were against the Janssen Pharmaceutical</li> <li>company was, sir if we can highlight that word on</li> <li>the top they would be adversaries, correct?</li> <li>MR. KLINE: Did you want 12:15, Your</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my17understanding18THE COURT: I don't know. I think I19sustained the objection, didn't I?20MR. KLINE: Yes.21MS. SULLIVAN: But he said
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> <li>people who were against the Janssen Pharmaceutical</li> <li>company was, sir if we can highlight that word on</li> <li>the top they would be adversaries, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. KLINE: Did you want 12:15, Your</li> <li>Honor? It's a good time for me.</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my17understanding18THE COURT: I don't know. I think I19sustained the objection, didn't I?20MR. KLINE: Yes.21MS. SULLIVAN: But he said22MR. KLINE: But my understanding
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> <li>people who were against the Janssen Pharmaceutical</li> <li>company was, sir if we can highlight that word on</li> <li>the top they would be adversaries, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. KLINE: Did you want 12:15, Your</li> <li>Honor? It's a good time for me.</li> <li>THE COURT: You ready?</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my17understanding18THE COURT: I don't know. I think I19sustained the objection, didn't I?20MR. KLINE: Yes.21MS. SULLIVAN: But he said22MR. KLINE: But my understanding23THE COURT: You want a cautionary?
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>3 Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>7 A. That is correct.</li> <li>8 Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>9 high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>10 A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>11 positions, yes.</li> <li>12 Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>13 prolactin, correct?</li> <li>14 A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>15 adversaries, yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> <li>17 people who were against the Janssen Pharmaceutical</li> <li>18 company was, sir if we can highlight that word on</li> <li>19 the top they would be adversaries, correct?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 MR. KLINE: Did you want 12:15, Your</li> <li>23 THE COURT: You ready?</li> <li>24 MR. KLINE: I'm ready.</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my17understanding18THE COURT: I don't know. I think I19sustained the objection, didn't I?20MR. KLINE: Yes.21MR. KLINE: But my understanding23THE COURT: You want a cautionary?24MS. SULLIVAN: No, I don't want a
<ul> <li>drug, but overall against using drugs in children</li> <li>even.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>And in particular a drug which</li> <li>someone might say is a chemical straitjacket,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that someone might say causes too</li> <li>high of a rate of gynecomastia, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the adversaries'</li> <li>positions, yes.</li> <li>Q. And a drug that might cause too high levels of</li> <li>prolactin, correct?</li> <li>A. That might be one of the arguments used by the</li> <li>adversaries, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the people who were against you, the</li> <li>people who were against the Janssen Pharmaceutical</li> <li>company was, sir if we can highlight that word on</li> <li>the top they would be adversaries, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. KLINE: Did you want 12:15, Your</li> <li>Honor? It's a good time for me.</li> <li>THE COURT: You ready?</li> </ul>	1THE WITNESS: Because I may need to2make some changes.3THE COURT: Yes, of course.4MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor, I'm5going to renew our motion for a mistrial in6light of the blatant and intentional7violation of the in limine motion on the8numbers.9THE COURT: Is the door closed?10COURT CRIER: Yes.11MS. SULLIVAN: The billions of12dollars in sales on the drug. That was a13blatant and knowing violation of this Court's14in limine motion in terms of the sales15numbers.16MR. KLINE: Your Honor, my17understanding18THE COURT: I don't know. I think I19sustained the objection, didn't I?20MR. KLINE: Yes.21MS. SULLIVAN: But he said22MR. KLINE: But my understanding23THE COURT: You want a cautionary?

	(Jury That-Morning Session Pledger	v. Janss	en	
- PLEDG	GER -vs- JANSSEN - Page 105		GER -vs- JANSSEN -	Page 107
1	THE COURT: The difficulty you have	1	are we talking about here?	
2	on that point is that Johnson & Johnson is a	2	MS. SULLIVAN: We'll p	ull it for Your
3	known company to the public. So, you know,	3	Honor, and we'll pull the argum	
4	it's known as a Fortune 500 company, so	4	THE COURT: Okay.	ont.
5	what's the prejudice?	5	MS. SULLIVAN: It was c	lear
6	MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, you had a	6	THE COURT: So we'll re	
7	specific order, given that there was no	7	lunch.	
8	punitive damages in the case and no fraud	8	MS. SULLIVAN: Thank	VOII
9	claim, about the numbers of dollars in sales	9	<b>THE COURT:</b> I know that	
10	for this medicine. You ruled that that	10	the objection. And I don't see th	
11	should be out of this case. It was a knowing	11	for the reasons I've just stated.	
12	and intentional violation of the Court's in	12	Johnson is known to the public	
13	limine motion.	13	corporation. So I'm not sure wh	
14	<b>THE COURT:</b> Well, I sustained the	14	yet.	FJ
15	objection.	15	Okay. So we will be in rec	cess
16	MR. KLINE: Your Honor, may I be	16	between 1:30, 1:45. 1:45 is what	
17	heard for one moment?	17	looking for.	
18	THE COURT: Yes.	18	MS. SULLIVAN: Thank y	ou. Your Honor.
19	MR. KLINE: That is absolutely not	19	MR. GOMEZ: Thank you	
20	true, and she knows it.	20		,
21	MS. SULLIVAN: So	21	(Morning session conclude	ed.)
22	MR. KLINE: This Court specifically	22	· · ·	,
23	said as to marketing documents, sales and	23	(Whereupon the Afternoon	Session was
24	numbers, and the record will reflect it;	24	reported and transcribed by Jud	
25	that if the correct witness was there, that	25	Romano, CRR, Official Court R	
				•
- PLEDG	GER -vs- JANSSEN - Page 106	- PLEDO	GER -vs- JANSSEN -	Page 108
1	I'd be able to ask questions. And certainly	1		
2	they have the correct witness here.	2	(Whereupon Exhibits P-96	P-97 and
3	And he should be out of the room, by	3	P-98, handwritten charts on the	
4	the way, because he's not part of this.	4	marked for identification by the	
5	<b>THE COURT:</b> All right. Well, Doctor,	5	Crier.)	court
6	why don't you ask about your hotel situation	6		
7	in just a couple minutes, one second.	7		
8	MR. KLINE: And in any event, the	8		
9	objection was sustained.	9		
10	THE COURT: I agree, it was	10		
11	sustained.	11		
12	MR. KLINE: But the fact of the	12		
13	matter is it, of course, is relevant. It's,	13		
14	of course, relevant. They're working on a	14		
15	billion-dollar franchise drug.	15		
16	MS. SULLIVAN: And, Your Honor	16		
17	MR. KLINE: And my hands are tied by	17		
18	not being able to show that all of this	18		
19	conduct is motivated by them and what they	19		
20	see and what they know about the use of the	20		
21	drug, the numbers of kids on the drug and the	21		
22	like.	22		
23	<b>THE COURT:</b> Well, what if we're	23		
24	going to be getting I'd like to resolve	24		
25	this issue once and for all. So what motion	25		

	Pledger v	y. Janssen
	Page 109	
1	CERTIFICATION	
2		
3	I hereby certify that the proceedings	
4	and evidence are contained fully and	
5	accurately in the notes taken by me on the	
6	trial of the above cause, and that this copy	
8 7	is a correct transcript of the same.	
8	I further certify that I am not a	
9	relative or employee of any attorney or	
10	counsel employed in this case.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR	
	Registered Merit Reporter	
16	Certified Realtime Reporter	
	Official Court Reporter	
17	-	
18		
19	(The foregoing Certification of this	
20	transcript does not apply to any reproduction	
21	of the same by any means unless under the	
22	direct control and/or supervision of the	
23	certifying reporter.)	
24		
25		

		Pledger v. Janssen	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	37:8;38:1;41:8,10;	aim (1)	42:23;43:19;65:10	attorney's (1)
r	42:4;48:16;64:5	13:21	appropriately (1)	10:22
L	adversaries (9)	allies (2)	75:16	atypical (3)
$[\mathbf{D}_{aa}]$	100:25;101:5,10,12,	100:24;101:18	approvable (4)	70:7;71:5;81:1
[Reading] (5)	13,15,17;102:15,19	allowed (3)	47:19;48:3,13;49:24	authenticate (1)
64:16,23;65:4,22; 88:1	adversaries' (1)	33:16;53:13;70:19	approval (11)	32:16
[sic] (2)	102:10	almost (1)	22:25;37:7,10,12;	authorship (1)
62:19;88:3	adversary (1)	59:4	44:23;48:18;58:21;	51:5
02.19,88.3	101:3	although (2)	78:17;82:10,12;85:25	autism (16)
Α	adverse (4)	43:24,24	approvals (4)	21:11;23:1;45:9;
	24:19,24;25:2,9	always (5)	42:8,9,18;82:17	47:25;49:14,15,21,23;
able (3)	advise (2)	13:9;57:17,19;	approve (4)	50:2;85:23;88:3;89:5;
32:14;106:1,18	86:3,3	71:14;81:2	17:6;44:3;47:22;	92:1,9,10;99:25
above (1)	advisement (1)	America (1)	86:4	available (1)
109:6	60:7	27:20	approved (20)	38:21
absolutely (1)	advisory (9)	American (2)	23:3;27:20,21;	aware (3)
105:19	44:1,21;86:1,19,24;	65:2,3	28:17,18;36:11;37:21;	55:17;57:22;65:13
abstract (1)	87:13;88:20;89:25;	among (2)	43:11;48:16,17,25;	n
50:23	99:25	100:17;101:5	58:13;80:15,17,20;	В
academic (2)	advocacy (1)	amount (4)	91:23,25;92:3,5,8	1 1 (10)
74:21;75:17	82:18	10:24;56:9,19;57:8	approximately (2)	back (18)
accepted (1)	Advocates (1)	amounts (2)	30:20;36:4	6:7,8;28:15;29:1;
82:14	5:19 AEs (1)	7:19;10:18	<b>April (6)</b> 54:24,24;57:17;	37:10;43:4,5;44:23;
access (2)	64:6	<b>analysis (1)</b> 17:14	60:20;61:14;62:10	46:23;57:16;67:15; 75:5,5;82:5;93:17;
24:24;26:2	Affairs (8)	and/or (1)	area (1)	94:4;96:14;98:12
according (2)	15:9,11,12;52:5,7,	109:22	82:16	background (1)
14:7;30:1	12;62:24,25	Anderson (1)	areas (1)	13:25
accurate (2)	afraid (1)	26:25	60:10	badges (1)
37:7;41:10	24:9	Ann (1)	argument (6)	103:7
accurately (1) 109:5	Afternoon (1)	107:24	8:8;9:6;72:2;76:21;	balance (2)
acknowledged (1)	107:23	annual (2)	79:24;107:3	71:3;79:4
98:3	again (10)	25:4;34:12	argumentative (1)	bar (1)
actual (3)	23:8;32:1;39:8;	answered (3)	74:6	11:16
10:18;51:7;68:11	45:13;47:24;57:15;	17:12;51:11;97:21	arguments (1)	based (5)
actually (5)	65:7;68:2;87:14;100:5	anticipate (2)	102:14	10:20;20:9,9;34:20;
15:24;19:14;28:14;	against (5)	88:5;101:23	around (3)	80:17
34:8,21	101:24,25;102:1,16,	anticipated (7)	12:8;46:4;83:3	basic (2)
add (1)	17	87:12;88:17,18,19;	article (9)	32:21;33:2
42:23	age (4)	100:9,17,20	18:21;26:25;50:16,	basically (4)
adding (1)	29:12;33:5;34:25;	anticipation (2)	23;51:12,14;53:5;	33:7;47:20;75:24;
48:15	35:9	89:10,11	69:10;79:11	93:1
addition (1)	agencies (5)	antipsychotic (4)	articles (6)	basis (1)
18:21	78:3,7,8,9,15	67:2,6;81:5;90:12	51:3,4,16;77:24;	34:3 Batas (1)
additional (3)	<b>agency (1)</b> 77:22	<b>antipsychotics (12)</b> 37:21;38:22,24;	78:10,14 <b>as-fair-as-possible (1)</b>	Bates (1) 77:9
45:16;48:4;86:16	ago (2)	66:16;70:5,8;71:5,10;	82:19	battle (1)
addressed (1)	50:25;51:2	76:6;81:1;90:11;93:23	aside (2)	74:3
6:11	agree (21)	APA (3)	34:23,24	Bear (1)
adjourn (1)	13:22;29:17,21;	64:25;65:1,2	associated (1)	39:10
12:7	39:4;41:17;44:9;	apparently (2)	88:2	became (1)
admission (1) 32:2	54:25;57:21;62:18;	8:13;76:8	Association (2)	42:5
adolescent (3)	74:19;79:21;80:2;	application (1)	65:3.3	become (3)
49:15;92:14;94:15	81:7,11;82:18;83:10;	47:22	attached (2)	38:5;42:16;58:13
adolescents (11)	92:9;93:1,8,20;106:10	applied (2)	77:15;79:3	Beerse (1)
27:18,19,22;33:24;	agreed (1)	57:18;90:14	attachment (3)	15:23
48:9,11,13;57:19;	8:7	apply (1)	97:6;98:4,5	behavior (1)
92:10;93:5,6	agreement (1)	109:20	attempt (1)	43:16
ads (1)	31:7	appointment (2)	57:17	behind (5)
57:4	ahead (7)	12:10;103:3	attend (3)	11:13,16;14:25;
adult (1)	18:7;32:15;74:2;	appreciate (4)	86:23;89:16;100:4	74:12;75:10
95:2	81:25;86:17;96:9;	34:19;37:3;96:11,12	attorney (1)	Belgium (11)
adults (7)	98:16	appropriate (3)	109:9	10:23;14:6,7;15:23,
		1		I

Min-U-Script®

John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-8035 Fax 215-683-8005

(1) [Reading] - Belgium

		Pledger v. Janssen		
25.16.1 2 0.21.9.62.9.	36:3	02.05.05.1 0.67.4.	aham nhamma (1)	38:14,14
25;16:1,2,9;21:8;63:8;		23:25;25:1,8;67:4;	chem-pharma (1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
84:24	<b>brought (1)</b> 19:2	105:8,11;109:10	51:9	complied (1)
bell (3)		category (1)	Child (5)	33:20
34:20;57:10;58:3	Brown (19)	39:4	5:19;29:19;49:14;	complies (1)
below (2)	5:12,15,18,22;6:1,4,	cause (2)	92:14;94:14	26:19
79:13,18	6,13,24;7:11,15,21;	102:12;109:6	children (18)	compound (5)
Besides (1)	8:3,6,12;9:17;10:8,21;	causes (1)	27:18,19,21;28:17,	21:13;28:2;52:4;
18:14	11:2	102:8	19,24;33:24;34:1;	86:4,5
Best (1)	buck (1)	caution (6)	35:19;48:9,11,13;	concept (2)
81:21	16:18	7:7;71:14;81:3,6,7,	57:19;81:5;92:10;	44:17;90:2
better (4)	business (1)	13	93:5,6;102:1	concerns (2)
75:22;76:11,14;	14:8	cautionary (2)	circumstances (1)	44:17,22
90:23	~	104:23,25	24:1	Concerta (1)
beyond (1)	С	Center (1)	claim (1)	66:19
6:20		5:19	105:9	concluded (1)
bias (3)	Caers (10)	cents (1)	clean (1)	107:21
6:18;9:19;10:12	6:15;13:18;39:25;	10:2	25:25	conduct (8)
billion-dollar (1)	40:18;61:4;63:3;	CEO (2)	clear (2)	43:12,16;44:18,24;
106:15	68:13;69:12,12;73:5	19:15,19	43:25;107:5	45:1;76:18;90:16;
billions (1)	calculated (1)	certain (3)	clinical (10)	106:19
104:11	9:8	13:21,22;53:14	17:4,13,13,15;18:15,	conference (1)
Binder (3)	call (9)	certainly (3)	23;19:9,11;51:6,9	100:6
15:6;53:18,22	54:12;59:20;67:7,	7:23;10:16;106:1	closed (1)	conferences (1)
bipolar (1)	20;78:2,5,5,6;80:9	Certification (1)	104:9	100:7
42:5	called (4)	109:19	clue (1)	confirm (4)
bit (2)	40:3;63:24;79:8;	Certified (1)	29:5	13:24;25:7;39:21;
16:11;74:12	88:9	109:16	cluster (1)	40:16
black (1)	calling (3)	certify (2)	66:14	confirming (1)
30:25	23:6;59:19;80:11	109:3,8	code (1)	13:21
blatant (4)	came (6)	certifying (1)	34:20	conform (1)
60:1,4;104:6,13	8:8;44:11;51:21;	109:23	column (1)	33:7
		chair (1)	35:6	confuse (1)
<b>block (1)</b> 88:17	84:7;100:2,3	11:13	coming (3)	9:8
	can (54)			
board (5)	13:22;22:16,21;	chance (2)	13:13;55:19;56:1	connection (1)
42:19;44:1,21;86:1;	23:12,15;25:24;26:18;	5:17;84:3	comma (1)	89:7
99:25	29:17,20;31:13;33:14;	change (9)	14:22	consequences (1)
body (1)	34:14;36:7,18;38:12;	26:15;27:9,13;	comment (2)	64:4
59:7	39:24;40:17;41:17;	38:16,18,20;40:9,19;	9:2;70:24	consequently (3)
boss (5)	42:9;44:9;45:17;	43:6	comments (5)	43:20;44:8;86:21
20:7,8,11,16,17	51:11;57:20;58:11;	changed (16)	73:8,10,11;77:15;	consider (1)
both (5)	59:7,11;60:2,8;62:1,	37:13,15,17,20,23,	79:3	10:13
19:22;63:23;65:24;	18;63:15,16;65:8;	25;38:2,4,7,10;39:5,7;	commercial (1)	Consta (7)
97:25;101:23	71:15;74:15,19;75:7,9;	41:1,7,9;54:6	15:19	58:7,8,9,22;61:20,
bottom (4)	76:16;80:9;81:7,11;	changes (2)	committee (3)	24;65:24
60:25;61:8,9;62:8	82:18;87:5;92:9,23;	81:18;104:2	86:24;88:20;89:25	contact (2)
box (1)	93:1,8;97:20;98:12;	charge (5)	common (2)	52:19;53:19
62:15	99:9;102:18;103:22,23	21:12,14;22:24,25;	34:2,6	contained (1)
boy (1)	Canada (3)	33:23	commonly (1)	109:4
24:1	15:12,13;53:22	chart (9)	34:4	contains (1)
Brandon (1)	Capital (3)	14:1;26:10,16;	companies (2)	30:10
31:12	59:19;67:8,10	27:24;30:7;35:24;	77:23;78:21	content (1)
break (4)	caps (1)	48:15;58:15,18	company (18)	68:12
45:22;46:4,6;103:1	65:23	charts (1)	6:19;7:25;8:18;10:6;	continue (3)
briefly (2)	capture (1)	108:3	14:6,22;19:2,19;20:7,	13:3,20;71:16
11:5;36:13	86:20	check (5)	24;77:20;85:12;86:25;	Continued (1)
bring (4)	Carin (4)	17:16,17;93:15;	88:14;99:23;102:18;	13:15
44:20;70:24;82:25;	15:6;53:7,18,22	95:7,8	105:3,4	Control (2)
87:15	Carolina (1)	chem (1)	compensation (4)	8:14;109:22
British (1)	84:20	51:9	6:15;7:9,18;10:9	controversy (4)
50:24	carried (1)	chemical (8)	complete (6)	55:1,3,12;64:2
broader (1)	37:8	88:24;89:2,6,21;	30:12,16;31:7,9,24;	convenience (1)
38:11	case (10)	90:4;91:2;100:18;	48:3	63:25
broken (1)	6:25;7:12;10:1;	102:5	complicated (2)	convey (1)
	0.23,7.12,10.1,	102.5	complication (2)	(J) (I)

John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-8035 Fax 215-683-8005

		Pledger v. Janssen	1	
57:20	19,25;104:3,9,10,18,	48:25	dialogue (1)	12:9
convince (1)	23;105:1,14,18,22;	deal (3)	70:10	document (59)
72:20	106:5,10,23;107:4,6,9,	51:7;52:13,17	difference (1)	26:5;29:10;30:2,9,
copied (2)	25;108:4	dealing (3)	10:2	13,15,17,19;31:5,9,10,
54:4;100:3	Courtroom (8)	51:8;52:14,16	different (16)	15,24;32:3,5,14;33:13;
copy (5)	6:5;12:18;46:14;	deals (1)	8:17;15:17;22:8;	34:9,10,11,21;36:9,11;
8:10;65:16;96:20,	47:11;72:7,13;77:3;	52:10	23:17;39:19;44:18;	37:4,6,7;39:11,16,20,
22;109:6	103:14	Dear (2)	48:2;49:11,11,12,20;	23;40:3,7,21;51:25;
corporate (1)	courtrooms (1)	77:16;79:13	50:10;55:4,5;61:20;	60:18,19;63:14,23;
14:25	76:19	debatable (1)	94:18	65:17;68:24;70:24;
corporation (3)	Court's (5)	76:15	difficulty (1)	73:4,5;76:17,18;77:10;
14:3;15:14;107:13	33:11;36:19;40:16;	December (3)	105:1	87:18,19;91:17;95:25;
corporations (1)	104:13;105:12	37:11,12;45:11	dig (1)	96:6;97:3,9,14,19,23;
15:18	coverage (1)	defendant's (1)	25:19	98:1;100:2,21
correctly (1)	86:21	9:6	direct (3)	documentation (1)
92:6	credibility (1)	defense (2)	9:24;36:21;109:22	52:10
correlation (1)	10:12	31:4;39:23	directly (2)	documents (16)
64:6	CRIER (11)	define (1)	19:15;94:23	29:2;30:20;31:8,18,
correspond (1)	5:5;12:14;36:15;	41:19	Director (2)	20;45:18,23;54:17;
19:14 Comment (1)	46:10;47:4,7;70:2;	definition (2)	15:8,11	55:10;59:22;60:12,15;
Cory (1)	83:11;103:10;104:10;	47:18;67:2	disagree (1)	83:9;84:8;96:14;
99:6	108:5	definitive (1)	83:10	105:23
costs(1)	critical (3)	64:24	discuss (4)	dollars (2)
60:3	71:4;75:16;79:5	dementia (3)	26:22;27:1;83:24; 103:8	104:12;105:9
counsel (3)	criticize (2)	48:20,21,24		domain (4)
9:5;18:1;109:10 count (1)	80:11;81:14 criticizing (7)	demonstrative (1) 36:20	<b>discussed (3)</b> 42:21;43:17;90:17	10:17;19:3;86:20; 87:14
94:21	73:5,14,16,18;74:19,	<b>denied (5)</b>	42:21;45:17;90:17 discussion (11)	done (2)
country (5)	20;76:12	42:20,25;43:1;44:4,	13:20;21:25;27:2,8,	37:20;101:4
15:20;53:15;92:16,	cross-examination (3)	6	10;39:17;45:2,4;	door (1)
19,20	11:6;13:4,15	deny (1)	55:11;69:5;72:15	104:9
country-based (1)	CRR (2)	25:7	discussions (4)	dosing (1)
15:21	107:25;109:15	department (2)	26:25;43:10;53:25;	42:24
couple (7)	crunch (1)	52:8,9	82:5	down (8)
5:23;13:25;26:9;	12:6	dependent (1)	disintegrating (1)	20:20;26:18;36:3;
50:24;51:2;52:1;106:7	current (3)	44:1	58:18	59:5;62:6,7;64:14;
course (4)	9:3,20;47:21	deposition (3)	disorder (7)	65:21
6:2;104:3;106:13,14	Curriculum (1)	50:13;84:25;85:3	39:4;43:12,16;	Dr (8)
court (147)	50:12	depositions (3)	44:18,24;45:1;90:16	6:15;13:18;39:18,
5:2,5,6,11,14,16,20,	customs (1)	84:14,15,22	disorders (6)	25;40:18;61:4;65:5;
24;6:3,5,8,23;7:1,6,13,	22:8	describe (1)	37:9;38:8,11;41:2,7;	68:13
16;8:1,13,23;9:22;	_	101:2	43:16	draft (2)
10:11,22;11:3,10,15,	D	described (1)	Display (12)	17:14;79:14
19,22;12:2,4,7,13,14,		95:12	33:10;36:18,23,25;	drafted (1)
21,23;13:2;18:2,3;	<b>D-71</b> (2)	description (1)	42:9;61:5;62:13;	78:20
22:13,16,19;23:10;	36:15,17	81:15	69:23;83:25;94:9;	drafting (1)
30:14;31:2,13,19;32:1,	daily (3)	designation (2)	98:14;99:9	53:4
6,11,25;34:15;36:14,	14:8,13;100:7	14:25;18:14	displayed (8)	Drevets (1)
15,17,22,24;39:22;	damages (1)	determined (1)	33:13;37:4;39:1;	20:8
40:17;45:21;46:2,8,10,	105:8	61:23	63:14;77:10;87:19;	driving (1)
16,17,23;47:4,5,7,13;	data (10)	develop (1)	92:22;99:4	20:10
49:7;54:20;55:20;	19:1;28:15;31:25;	60:3	<b>Displaying</b> (1) 26:5	drug (64)
56:1;60:6,10,14,21; 61:3,7,11,14,16,22;	32:21;33:2;45:16; 56:4;57:7;64:24;86:2	<b>developed (2)</b> 57:13;59:10	disruptive (1)	23:1;27:20;28:16, 24;29:19;31:21;34:1;
62:1,4,9,16;68:10;	database (6)	<b>Development (6)</b>	43:16	35:2;41:11,12,13,14,
69:1,3,7,10,16,20;70:2,	24:24;25:2,8,9,20;	14:20;21:13;52:4;	dissolvable (1)	19,22;42:4,4;43:11;
19;71:25;72:3,10;74:7,	31:11	54:15;63:8;88:10	59:2	49:3;52:13;53:20;
10,14,17;75:3,9;76:23;	date (7)	devote (1)	distance (1)	54:14;57:12,18;58:9;
77:1;80:4;83:11,13,17;	32:18;37:18;40:10,	21:2	11:9	59:23;60:3;61:20;
		DG10.10 (2)	Doctor (4)	67:17,18,19,19,20,20;
84:3.7.14 18:86:11:	11.13.19:98.25			
84:3,7,14,18;86:11; 95:18,22;96:4,8,13;	11,13,19;98:25 dates (1)			
95:18,22;96:4,8,13;	dates (1)	25:25;26:4	46:16;53:8;97:22;	69:22;71:9;72:20;

Min-U-Script®

John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-8035 Fax 215-683-8005

(3) convince - drug

		Pledger v. Janssen		
25.01.22 25.02.4 8.	omnhasis (1)	16.17.17.7.66.16.	16.20.00.20.22	fl <sub>w</sub> (1)
25;91:23,25;92:4,8;	emphasis (4)	16:17;17:7;66:16;	16:20;90:20,22	fly(1)
93:22;100:8,11,14;	58:1;65:10,18,19	70:13	Family (2)	84:24
101:3,24;102:1,4,8,12;	emphasizing (1)	exchange (1)	5:14;9:6	focus (2)
104:12;106:15,21,21	64:3	44:6	famous (1)	54:23,23
drugs (13)	employed (1)	exchanged (1)	16:18	following (6)
56:22;57:1;69:13;	109:10	44:10	far (8)	5:1;9:1;12:20;87:13;
70:5,8,11;71:5,11;	employee (7)	exclude (1)	9:9;20:23;30:18;	99:24;103:16
73:2;76:7;81:1,5;	6:17;9:20;14:3,4,5;	7:23	74:22;84:13,23;91:11,	follows (1)
102:1	15:22;109:9	exercise (1)	20	97:5
During (4)	employees (5)	7:7	FDA (42)	follow-up (1)
27:16;85:22;89:25;	14:9,10,11,14,17	Exhibit (15)	22:25;25:2,8,13,18;	88:4
92:12	end (3)	26:17;35:22,24;	38:16,18,20;39:3;	Force (2)
	26:1;36:20;65:8	36:12;39:13,14,15;	42:20,22;43:4,5,10,10,	64:17,19
E	endocrinology (1)	42:10,12;50:7;60:22;	14,14,18,21,24;44:2,7,	foregoing (1)
	65:5	68:7,8;83:20;97:1	10;47:21,25;49:11;	109:19
earlier (2)	English (1)	Exhibits (2)	72:5,6,12,20;85:22,25;	form (5)
91:19;92:22	82:21	68:18;108:2	86:1,4;89:1,10;90:1,	58:25;59:1;61:24;
early (6)	enjoy (1)	existence (2)	14;91:24;92:1,8;100:1	66:22,24
37:19,24;39:8;	103:9	7:16,18	February (5)	formal (1)
40:25;58:24;59:23	enough (1)	exited (2)	40:24;41:3,6;42:2,3	45:7
easel (2)	64:24	46:13;103:13	feedback (2)	former (1)
35:25;108:3	entered (2)	exits (2)	54:21;90:16	9:4
economy (1)	12:17;47:10	46:11;103:11	feel (1)	formulated (1)
10:1	enters (2)	expect (2)	43:18	92:5
effect (2)	12:15;47:8	34:22;57:14	few (9)	formulation (1)
56:22;57:1	entire (4)	expert (3)	17:1;25:25;50:11;	58:10
effective (2)	27:16;31:14;32:14;	8:17,20;65:4		<b>forth (1)</b>
	92:12		51:5,18,19;64:10; 95:19;96:9	82:6
41:14;86:6		experts (6)		
effectiveness (1)	entirely (1)	7:25;9:5,10,13;44:3;	field (1)	Fortune (1)
41:15	6:20	86:1	86:2	105:4
effects (2)	entitled (1)	explain (2)	figure (5)	forward (1)
88:20;100:21	7:4	19:8;39:6	5:25;21:16;29:2,22;	37:8
efforts (2)	establish (2)	exploration (1)	36:8	forwarding (1)
64:17,20	33:3;52:1	52:11	figures (1)	97:6
either (2)	estimated (3)	explored (1)	7:9	foundation (1)
11:13;57:6	29:10;30:10;33:3	43:14	files (2)	39:25
elaborate (1)	evaluate (2)	exposed (3)	25:20;40:8	four (5)
38:12	25:20;29:1	28:1,4,8	fill (3)	19:23;24:16;28:9,9;
electronic (1)	even (12)	exposure (3)	26:7;31:17,19	85:2
31:14	8:7;10:1;29:8;44:4;	29:11;30:10;33:4	final (3)	frame (1)
ELMO (1)	77:20,25;78:13;81:14;	exposures (1)	17:2;55:7;78:17	26:21
99:9	84:24;85:2;92:6;102:2	35:7	find (5)	frames (1)
else (8)	event (4)	expression (2)	20:14;34:17;77:15;	49:11
11:3,20;17:20,23;	24:19,24;25:9;106:8	16:20;54:13	79:3,14	franchise (17)
48:17;57:16;84:21;	eventual (1)	extent (1)	finding (1)	59:13,19,19,21;
87:3	55:7	9:9	88:3	60:11;65:25;66:9,11,
e-mail (41)	everybody (6)		findings (1)	12,13,14,18,21;67:1,2,
55:9,11,18;56:4,8,	5:7;12:24,25;46:9;	F	18:25	6;106:15
12,15;57:6,7;58:2;	47:14:95:19	-	Findling (1)	fraud (1)
59:18;62:18;63:3,10,	Everyone (1)	fact (14)	53:4	105:8
11;65:13,14;66:3;	18:4	6:17;8:18,20;16:8;	55.4 fine (4)	front (9)
68:12;69:11,23;70:4;	everywhere (2)	33:3;39:21;45:20;	12:4;23:16;62:16;	5:16,20;8:10;63:19;
	21:14,15		84:1	
77:12;81:25;82:3;		58:7;67:1;73:3,4;		72:5,6,12;96:18,23
83:6,8,24;85:4,10;	evidence (2)	75:15;76:1;106:12	first (11)	full (5)
87:6;92:11;94:8,9;	9:3;109:4	factors (1)	14:2;24:8;37:6;	26:10,15;28:2;
95:17,17;96:23;97:5,5,	exact (3)	10:12	54:18;58:21;62:13;	33:17;95:4
6;98:4	14:3;70:13;94:2	factual (1)	63:11;77:12;79:14;	full-time (1)
e-mails (10)	exactly (5)	10:17	88:5;98:3	9:20
19:14;52:20;53:2,4,	34:10;37:18;43:8;	fair (10)	five (4)	fully (1)
19,25;54:3;55:25;	75:19;79:10	7:17,18;45:2,4;	24:16;51:22;84:16,	109:4
57:14,25	examination (2)	46:21;71:3;79:4;	17	fundamentally (1)
embrace (2)	36:21;76:18	80:10,12;90:13	flew (1)	32:19
43:23;44:7	example (4)	familiar (3)	85:2	funny (1)
	1			

John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-8035 Fax 215-683-8005

		Pledger v. Janssen		
6:1	56:9;91:14;93:3;	hired (1)	impact (1)	85:13
further (5)	100:15;102:9	78:3	10:5	interaction (1)
18:4;52:10;64:9;	100.13,102.9	history (4)	important (6)	14:13
81:14:109:8	Н	39:12,18;40:3,8	58:1;65:23;66:10;	interactions (1)
future (3)		hmm (2)	81:10;82:9,13	14:9
65:25;66:10,16	half (2)	85:9;91:8	inappropriate (1)	interest (1)
05.25,00.10,10	92:23;94:3	hold (1)	6:21	9:4
G	hand (6)	60:6	incentive (2)	interested (1)
	7:12;8:4;32:23;	honest (1)	76:9,13	31:23
Gahan (6)	39:20;40:15;68:25	58:4	inclined (2)	internal (2)
52:3,19,20;53:2;	handed (2)	honestly (1)	68:6,9	79:16;80:6
64:17,20	8:11;40:22	97:17	include (2)	interpretation (1)
game (2)	Handing (2)	Honor (60)	25:11;39:3	57:22
7:17,18	40:21;97:3	5:9;6:13,24;7:5,11,	included (5)	interrupt (1)
gave (1)	hands (1)	15;8:3,7;9:17,18;10:8,		17:25
25:12	106:17	21;11:2,4,17,23;13:5;	55:8	into (9)
general (3)	handwritten (2)	17:21;18:1;22:10;	includes (1)	6:18;25:19;31:2;
10:12;39:3;90:11	35:24;108:3	23:8,19;31:6,11;36:16;	56:3	37:20,23;38:20;39:5;
generally (1)	happened (1)	39:16;45:25;46:25;	including (4)	41:2;61:10
27:10	40:13	49:6;59:25;60:25;	23:2,4;63:3;67:13	Invega (2)
generation (3)	H-A-R-D-E (1)	61:18;62:3,14;68:16,	income (1)	66:19,22
37:21;38:22,24	62:20	24;69:4,6;70:18;72:1,	10:23	investigation (1)
gets (1)	Hardly (1)	9;74:5,13,24;75:4;	incorrect (1)	103:8
41:7	56:2	76:20;77:5;79:23;	50:18	investigators (1)
given (2)	Harry (1)	96:1;98:17;99:17;	increase (2)	51:7
80:7;105:7	16:22	102:22;104:4,16;	64:9;93:22	involved (7)
giving (1)	Harvard (1)	105:6,16;106:16;	increased (1)	10:18,25;53:3;
99:14	65:5	107:3,18,19	93:11	59:18;83:2,3,5
global (4)	hate (1)	Honor's (1)	indeed (5)	involves (3)
29:13,14,15;64:16	17:24	60:4	27:14;40:25;43:1;	23:25;24:1,2
goal (3)	head (11)	hope (3)	53:6;70:7	involving (1)
91:21,23;92:7	20:18,20;34:21;	34:19,22;71:24	indicating (1)	9:13
goes (1)	36:3,6;41:21;55:9;	hotel (2)	21:24	irrelevant (1)
69:8	75:13;84:11;91:1,1	103:24;106:6	indication (11)	9:7
GOMEZ (6)	hear (2)	hour (3)	38:1,5,7;39:2;41:6;	irritability (3)
30:7;39:14;50:4;	27:12;39:23	20:10;92:23;94:3	42:3,4;43:19;86:6;	49:15,23;92:9
54:21;96:17;107:19	heard (4)	hours (1)	92:9;99:25	issue (9)
Good (16)	16:16;41:24;54:13;	52:23	indications (1)	8:17;9:11;17:25;
5:8,10,11;12:13,24,	105:17	huh (2)	49:12	22:19;23:17;61:21;
25;13:1,6,9,11,12,18,	heart (6)	67:11;80:18	inference (1)	64:25;99:21;106:25
19;34:14;95:9;102:22	26:12;29:3;40:13,	hundred (4)	9:2	issued (1)
Gorski (4)	14;45:13;51:20	28:4,5,10;29:25	info (1)	47:25
19:16,19,24;20:2	help (2)	Husseini (1)	57:9	issues (14)
granted (2)	45:17;65:9	20:18	information (8)	6:10;21:10;25:25;
7:14;8:25	helping (1)	hyphen (1)	13:24;24:3,4;32:21;	87:13,17;88:2,17,18,
grateful (1)	37:3 horeby (1)	62:20	47:21;48:4;56:9;93:7	19;97:13;98:19,22;
26:3	hereby (1)	hyphenated (1)	initially (1)	99:15;100:17
great (1)	109:3 here's (4)	62:19	37:22	Ivo (4) 63:3:60:12:73:5:
81:7 graatar ( <b>2</b> )	here's (4)	I	inject (1)	63:3;69:12;73:5;
greater (2)	51:10;59:15;76:22, 24	1	58:11 injectable (2)	81:23
93:22,25 Creg (3)		idea (2)	58:10,25	J
<b>Greg (3)</b> 85:5,6,7	Hey (2) 44:19;45:15	29:20;44:8	<b>input</b> (1)	J
85:5,0,7 group (4)	44:19;45:15 hiding (2)	ideas (2)	44:3	J&J (2)
21:12;35:9;57:20;	56:3;57:7	44:7,10	inquiry (3)	<b>3&amp;J</b> (2) 88:6,7
66:17	high (2)	identification (8)	6:18;8:20;9:19	Janssen (47)
guess (2)	102:9,12	35:25;42:13;50:8;	instructions (1)	14:2,5,10,11,14,17,
15:16;60:2	high-level (1)	60:23;68:19;83:21;	103:7	19,23;15:12,13,17,19,
guy (1)	30:22	97:2;108:4	integration (1)	20,22;19:15;20:3,4,4,
58:20	highlight (3)	identify (1)	23:3	6,9,11,13,19,21,22,23,
guys (3)	65:8,24;102:18	61:2	intentional (2)	23;21:2;30:2,21;
44:19;45:15;71:1	highlighted (1)	immediate (4)	104:6;105:12	34:11;37:2;40:8;52:5,
gynecomastia (5)	39:20	20:7,8;88:21;100:21	interact (1)	7,9;53:22;67:3;78:1,3,
5) neconhubelu (0)	57.20	20.7,0,00.21,100.21		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Min-U-Script®

John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-8035 Fax 215-683-8005

(5) further - Janssen

		Pledger v. Janssen		
13,18;85:8;88:11;	90:19,25	21:11;39:12,18;	limine (7)	75:19
91:21;92:8;102:17	kids (2)	40:3,5,8;42:20	7:23;8:14;9:2;60:2;	makes (1)
January (8)	35:2;106:21	labels (1)	104:7,14;105:13	40:18
81:25;83:3,7;85:19;	kind (7)	21:14	limit (1)	making (6)
92:11;94:5,7;95:14	10:15;13:8;25:12,	lacks (2)	38:18	71:24;73:8,9;76:14;
<b>JJRE</b> (1)	13;52:22;54:20;58:1	71:3;79:4	limited (2)	78:24;82:13
34:15	Klibanski (3)	ladder (1)	24:3,4	Mallows (3)
JJRE0109688 (1)	65:5,6,7	19:18	line (3)	94:8,8,10
87:18	<b>KLINE (144)</b>	lady (4)	95:3,4;99:2	man (6)
JJRE01991724 (1)	5:10;6:12;7:4;8:5,9,	53:7;78:23,24;79:1	liquid (1)	19:16;22:24,25;
77:9	16;11:7,12,14,18,23;	language (4)	59:2	40:4;52:2;78:24
JJRE04242471 (2)	12:3,5,11;13:3,5,12,	81:12;89:2;94:1,2	list (2)	management (5)
98:6;99:5	17;17:22;18:5,8,9;	large (2)	65:16;100:24	97:13;98:19,23;
JJRE11115820 (1)	22:14,18,20,23;23:20,	63:16;107:12	lists (1)	99:15,22
33:9	21;26:6,20;30:8,15,18,	last (7)	100:8	manager (4)
John (1)	25;31:10,16,22,25;	17:5,14;42:10;	literally (1)	94:11,12,17,19
109:15	32:20,23;33:1,11,14,	50:19,20;56:17;62:19	55:17	managers (1)
Johnson (12)	21,22;36:1,18,23,25;	later (3)	literature (5)	94:13
9:14,14;14:2,2,9,9;	37:5;39:15;40:2,20,22;	25:21;103:4,6	50:16;51:17;64:5;	mandate (1)
88:9,9;105:2,2;107:11,	41:4,5;42:15;45:25;	latest (1)	90:3,5	18:24
12 Lauren el (1)	46:7;47:16,17;49:9;	50:23	litigation (1)	mania $(1)$
Journal (1)	50:5,9;54:21,22;60:9,	law (5)	84:13	42:6
50:24 journalist ( <b>2</b> )	13,17,24;61:9,13,15, 17,25;62:3,7,12,17;	6:16,25;7:12;10:24; 14:7	<b>little (6)</b> 16:11;28:14,14;	manifestation (1) 38:8
89:24;99:24	63:15,18;68:10,15,23;	lawyer (8)	74:12;91:19;103:6	manifestations (5)
journalists (6)	69:2,8,12,18,21;70:3,	17:5;18:16,19,23,24;	live (1)	37:9,22;38:10;41:1,
86:22;87:15;88:16;	18,22;72:4,11;74:11,	19:10;22:5;23:9	11:24	7
89:11,13,14	16,18;75:1,5,7,11;	lawyers (3)	LLC (1)	, Manji (1)
journals (2)	76:22,24;77:5,6,11;	55:22;84:24;103:21	14:24	20:18
17:3,7	79:25;80:8;83:12,18,	lay (2)	long (5)	manuscript (12)
Judge (2)	23;84:6;86:12,15,17;	39:24;88:1	19:2;23:15;24:11;	55:7;69:5;71:2;73:6,
8:9;32:4	87:2,20;95:21;96:1,5,	leader (7)	88:21;100:21	19,19;74:20,22;75:17,
judgment (1)	11,15,18,22;97:4;98:2,	17:4,13,13,15;18:15,	long-acting (1)	17;79:4;80:7
10:6	13,17,18;99:4,8,13,20;	23;19:11	58:10	manuscripts (4)
Judith (1)	102:21,24;104:16,20,	leaders (1)	look (28)	16:17;17:2,6,12
107:24	22;105:16,19,22;	51:6	22:3,4,6;24:22;	manuscripts' (1)
jump (1)	106:8,12,17	leave (2)	30:17;31:8;40:12,17;	55:4
81:25	knew (4)	51:5;67:10	54:16;58:16;61:3,4;	Many (20)
June (2)	53:3;89:1;91:9,11	led (2)	68:7;72:25;74:21;	13:23;15:17;19:18;
26:22;27:17	knocks (1)	64:17,20	75:17,21;76:3,10;	24:15;36:4;51:16,19;
juror (1)	41:20	legally (2)	79:13,18;81:24;97:14,	54:3;57:1,24;59:6,10;
62:15	knowing (2)	14:5;15:22	19,23;98:5,10,12	60:20;72:25;84:12;
<b>jurors (1)</b> 22:20	104:13;105:11 knowledge (2)	lengthy (1) 26:25	looked (1) 45:16	90:3,3,3,5,10 mark (6)
jury ( <b>27</b> )	87:4;89:15	less (2)	43.10 looking (3)	30:6,8;50:13;68:8;
5:2;9:8;10:13,16;	known (6)	16:11;51:24	57:20;95:22;107:17	96:16;98:8
12:14,17,21;13:1,7,11;		letter (4)	lot (8)	marked (12)
21:19;23:13;36:8;	105:3,4;107:12	34:12;45:14;48:1;	38:12;48:10;55:25;	35:25;39:11,16;
39:1;46:10,13;47:6,7,	knows (3)	49:25	56:22;58:20;63:2;	42:12;50:7,13;60:22;
10;54:3;61:6;71:6;	39:25;58:20;105:20	letters (1)	86:20;96:7	68:14,18;83:20;97:1;
72:22;76:17;103:10,	Kurz (1)	49:20	lunch (5)	108:4
13,17	109:15	level (1)	46:4;93:17;94:4;	market (1)
		8:19	103:9;107:7	10:3
K	L	levels (9)		marketing (2)
		55:1;64:3,6,10;	Μ	20:2;105:23
kept (1)	lab (1)	91:12;93:11,22;		Marking (3)
25:3	77:3	100:12;102:12	Magali (2)	26:16;35:21;42:10
Kessler (1)	label (18)	light (1)	62:23,23	matter (9)
39:18	27:9,13;38:5;40:25;	104:6	Magall (2)	7:7;22:7;67:1;73:3,
key (9)	42:23;43:6,9,19;54:6,	likely (2)	62:19,22	4;75:15;76:1;81:9;
17:1;82:6,9,11,13,	11;92:25;93:2,3,8,10,	34:13;88:2	M-A-G-A-L-L (1)	106:13
15,15;87:8,17	13,18,24	Limburg (1)	62:19	May (27)
kid (2)	labeling (7)	85:3	mail (1)	8:10;19:8;26:12,14;
-	1			

John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-8035 Fax 215-683-8005

		i leuger v. Janssen	1	1
32:23;47:5,15,25;48:5,	minutes (5)	67:14	18:2	44:1;85:13,14
7,8;49:10;59:20;65:7;	92:23;94:3;95:20;	07.14	notes (1)	old (2)
70:18;80:5;82:4,8;	96:10;106:7	Ν	109:5	35:11;90:2
86:13;87:15;88:16;	mislead (1)	1	November (2)	once (5)
89:19;95:18;100:5,6;	9:8	name (10)	87:21;98:25	57:24;85:2;87:14;
104:1;105:16	mistrial (1)	15:6;19:16;24:6,8;	number (7)	100:5;106:25
	104:5		15:4;26:16;33:25;	one (56)
maybe (3)		51:4,11,17;52:3;62:20;		
32:13;78:24;92:6	modern (1)	80:6	34:16;43:17;50:3;	10:2;11:5;15:19,21;
mean (12)	9:25	names (1)	94:19	16:24;17:3,4,5;19:20,
7:16;9:18;10:6;	molecule (1)	46:19	numbers (8)	23;20:20;23:5;26:7;
22:13;35:3;41:23;	66:25	narrowed (4)	33:15,17;36:2;	27:5;28:1,2,4;36:14;
47:19;54:8;66:8,20;	moment (4)	37:13,17;38:1;39:2	104:8,15;105:9,24;	39:21;51:22;52:14;
82:21;88:12	6:11;37:1;88:8;	nausea (2)	106:21	54:5,18;56:3,8;60:19;
meaning (3)	105:17	56:21,25	NV (2)	61:8,9,11;64:14;68:10,
14:19;35:10;63:6	monetary (1)	nauseating (4)	14:6;15:23	25;69:3;73:6;77:24;
means (8)	7:9	56:9,18,19;57:8	Nynke (4)	80:19;84:8;85:24;
14:24;47:20;56:18;	money (3)	NDA (2)	77:13,16,18;78:25	86:14,15,16,17;88:19;
66:21;67:10;82:23;	10:18,25;60:3	43:15,20	N-Y-N-K-E (2)	89:20;91:10;92:24;
88:14;109:21	monopoly (1)	necessarily (1)	77:13,16	93:13;94:16;97:25;
Medical (18)	90:1	101:25	0	100:11,14;102:10,14;
15:8,11,11;50:16;	month (3)	necessary (1)	0	103:22;105:17;106:7
52:5,7;53:8;62:24,25;	48:14,17;50:20	10:25		ones (2)
77:19,20,23;78:7,8,9,	months (2)	NED (2)	object (3)	59:9;84:18
15,22;90:5	50:25;51:2	26:9,16	6:14,22;61:19	one-year (1)
medication (1)	mood (1)	need (18)	objection (30)	67:18
53:12	13:8	6:11;17:16;22:4;	10:19;22:10;32:2;	only (14)
medications (1)	more (11)	25:19;26:7;29:1;	40:1;46:20,22;49:6;	21:14;29:8;39:3;
53:14	10:2;38:14;51:22;	38:13;44:20,20;58:12;	59:25;61:23;72:2,8,8;	41:10;42:3,4;51:9,10;
medicine (1)	59:6,10;72:15,25;	62:8;69:22;70:1;	74:5,6,24;76:20,25;	66:15;69:18;81:6;
105:10	86:15,18;95:19;96:9	93:15;95:7,8;98:2;	79:23;80:4;83:13,16;	90:6;91:18;94:16
meeting (14)	morning (14)	104:1	96:24;99:16,16,18;	open (4)
43:13,25;65:4;87:9;	5:8,10,11;12:13,24,	needed (4)	101:3;104:19;105:15;	5:1;12:20;103:8,16
88:4,20;89:16,21;	25;13:1,6,9,11,12,18,	17:1;19:12;81:18;	106:9;107:10	<b>OPERATOR</b> (2)
95:12,13,15,15,24;	19;107:21	86:21	objections (6)	33:10;99:7
96:2	most (2)	needs (1)	91:10,10;99:2;	operators (1)
member (1)	64:9;97:20	18:5	100:8,11,14	26:3
52:4	mostly (1)	neither (1)	objective (2)	opportunity (2)
Members (2)	16:3	25:7	57:23;91:25	19:7;76:17
13:7;23:12	motion (10)	neurologists (1)	obtain (1)	options (6)
memory (1)	7:13;8:14,24;9:1;	101:20	32:14	6:16;7:17,22,25;
60:15	60:2;104:5,7,14;	neuroscience (1)	obtained (1)	9:23;10:15
mentioned (4)	105:13;106:25	20:19	30:19	oral (5)
18:14;46:19;49:19,	motivated (1)	new (1)	obviously (9)	29:11;30:11;33:4;
21	106:19	18:25	15:21;21:15;25:10;	58:17;64:5
message (12)	move (7)	next (12)	55:5;77:2;78:18;	order (3)
57:19,21,25;62:10;	23:17;33:16;36:9;	18:10,10;50:3,10;	89:25;100:7;101:17	47:4;86:23;105:7
65:10,18,19;82:9,13,	60:8,9;67:15;86:13	52:23;61:10;62:11;	occurred (4)	organization (4)
21,23,24	moved (1)	64:14,15;65:20,21;	27:9,11,13,13	15:20,21;52:6;88:8
messages (5)	7:23	68:8	October (5)	organizational (1)
82:6,11,15,15;87:8	moving (1)	Nice (1)	26:22;27:11,14,17;	14:1
messaging (2)	50:10	13:12	93:3	organized (1)
57:25;82:3	Mrs (1)	Nodding (1)	off (6)	99:25
might (16)	9:13	59:3	18:22,22;19:4;36:2,	organizing (1)
16:12,13;19:1;26:1;	much (11)	non-approvable (6)	6;55:9	88:5
37:19;39:9;89:20,22,	15:24;18:4;21:1,1;	48:1,5,19;49:14,15,	office (1)	original (2)
23,23;101:2;102:5,8,	37:2,3;38:13,14;60:3;	25	16:1	37:10;62:10
10,12,14	93:19;96:12	non-approvables (1)	officer (1)	others (1)
million (3)	MURPHY (1)	49:10	19:9	100:18
29:19;30:20;31:22	5:8	nor (1)	official (2)	out (16)
mind (1)	must (3)	57:7	25:14;107:25	5:25;20:14;21:16;
103:8	29:6;37:18;65:15	note (1)	off-label (3)	36:7;45:17,18;50:1;
minute (2)	myself (4)	93:16	36:4;49:3;92:15	63:24;69:14;76:3;
64:18;92:24	11:11;19:24;23:2;	noted (1)	often (3)	88:1,18;96:3,7;105:11;
·····				

		Pledger v. Janssen	
106.2			
106:3	pages (1)	15:20;64:3	96:10,11,22
outside (5)	97:25	percent (10)	Pledger (2)
5:2;78:14,20,22;	paliperidone (2)	16:2,3,9,12;21:7;	9:13;24:7
103:17	66:15,22	35:1,9;93:4,12,12	plus (1)
Over (9)	Pandina (6)	percentage (1)	84:18
6:3;82:25;84:24;	52:3,19,20;53:3;	34:24	pm (1)
85:1,3;94:14,18;97:24;	54:1;64:21	perhaps (2)	103:14
98:10	PANEL (3)	16:11;85:2	point (14)
overall (1)	13:1,11;87:13	period (11)	7:10;11:1,5;22:21;
102:1	panels (1)	16:5;23:23;28:12,	31:25;34:9;69:14;
overruled (7)	86:19	13,22;29:18;35:17,18;	71:17,20,22;73:17;
61:22,23;72:3,10,10;	Panico (3)	83:3;91:18;92:13	95:19;99:7;105:2
75:3;80:5	85:5,6,7	permission (3)	points (1)
overview (1)	paper (6)	33:12;36:19;40:16	93:1
29:6	79:9,12,15;80:13,18,	permit (2)	pooled (1)
overzealous (2)	19	10:14;46:19	17:14
75:21,23	Paragraph (4)	permitted (9)	population (1)
own (2)	9:2;63:12;64:14,15	7:19;9:11;10:13;	81:2
7:24;80:1	Pardon (1)	13:3;32:15;36:24;	populations (1)
ownership (2)	50:3	62:2;69:17,17	42:24
9:4,14	parents (4)	person (12)	position (4)
	101:5,8,10,20	18:13;27:24,25;	9:18;31:4;88:11,12
Р	part (6)	28:1,23;33:23;35:1;	positioning (8)
<b>_</b>	10:11;44:2;62:9,14;	76:3;77:13;83:1;	18:1;23:9;79:9,12,
P-12 (2)	65:8;106:4	94:24;95:1	15;80:13,18,19
39:16;40:23	particular (11)	perspective (1)	positions (4)
		54:19	
<b>P-88 (3)</b>	9:11;23:25;25:8;		55:5;80:15;88:15;
30:6,8;32:3	32:2;42:23;57:23;	pertained (1)	102:11
<b>P-88A</b> (1)	73:5;84:22;98:8;	89:3	possible (2)
32:3	101:25;102:4	Pharmaceutica (2)	5:22;45:23
<b>P-88-A</b> (1)	particularly (1)	14:6;15:23	poster (1)
30:10	51:6	Pharmaceutical (4)	65:23
<b>P-89</b> (2)	partisan (3)	30:21;63:7;88:9;	potential (5)
26:17;35:24	72:6,13,19	102:17	10:5;18:25;66:16;
<b>P-90</b> (2)	patent (5)	Pharmaceuticals (1)	99:24;101:17
42:10,12	17:4;18:16,18,18,19	91:21	power (2)
P-91 (3)	patentability (1)	Philadelphia (1)	41:16,19
42:17;50:5,7	19:1	85:2	powerful (4)
<b>P-92</b> (2)	patient (16)	pick (2)	41:12,13,19,21
60:21,22	27:24;28:1,5,10;	89:16,20	PR (1)
<b>P-92-A</b> (2)	29:22;30:1;35:5,6,7,	picking (1)	87:7
68:14,18	10,12,13,15,17,18;46:1	50:11	practice (1)
P-93 (9)	patients (5)	piece (3)	34:2
68:9,18;69:1,2,17;	29:11;30:11;33:4;	71:4;79:6;80:24	PRDBE (2)
72:25,25;74:15;77:9	58:11;64:9	pills (2)	63:3,6
P-94 (3)	Pause (4)	58:12;59:2	PRDs (2)
	8:22;68:21;73:22,22		
83:11,12,20		pitch (1)	88:6,7
P-95 (3)	pediatric (4)	75:18	prefer (2)
95:23;96:15;97:1	42:24;79:8,12,14	placebo (1)	22:3,22
<b>P-95-A</b> (1)	pediatricians (2)	93:12	preferable (1)
98:8	101:12,21	plaintiff (2)	11:16
<b>P-96</b> (1)	pediatrics (1)	9:10;50:6	prejudice (3)
108:2	64:5	plaintiffs (1)	105:5;107:10,13
<b>P-97</b> (1)	pending (1)	9:5	prejudicial (1)
108:2	70:15	Plaintiff's (5)	9:7
<b>P-98</b> (1)	people (24)	8:14;9:1,4;22:5;	prepare (1)
108:3	15:4;17:1,5;19:4,6,8,	35:22	99:23
packages (1)	24;22:9;28:4,8;52:14;	planned (2)	prepared (4)
10:15	63:2;67:13;73:6;	77:8;80:7	39:6;43:15;47:22;
page (10)	76:10,13;85:12;87:7;	Please (13)	86:22
30:9;60:25;61:10;	94:18;101:2,23;	5:6;12:23;26:1;	prescribe (2)
62:11;97:25;98:3,8,10,	102:16,17;103:23	33:15;47:13;69:3;	53:11,14
12,14	per (2)	77:15;79:3,13;86:13;	prescription (1)
12,11	F (=)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Preseription (1)

31:21 prescriptions (1) 34:25 presence (3) 5:2;12:21;103:17 present (1) 20:3 president (1) 16:18 press (2) 86:21,23 presumption (1) 78:25 pretty (1) 34:6 previously (3) 39:12,15;89:2 primarily (1) 16:9 Prior (2) 93:7,18 Priscilla (1) 31:12 probably (2) 6:5;32:6 problem (1) 46:2 problems (1) 91:12 proceed (1) 47:15 proceedings (1) 109:3 process (4) 44:2;85:22,23,25 produce (2) 31:13,16 produced (2) 30:21;34:15 product (7) 21:10;23:3;52:11; 54:9:59:7:82:16.20 productive (1) 87:22 products (4) 66:14,17,18;80:17 professional (1) 21:2 program (1) 94:16 project (6) 54:10;94:10,12,13, 16,19 prolactin (12) 55:1,12;64:3,4,10, 16,19;91:12;93:11,22; 100:12;102:13 proposal (3) 42:22;44:7,11 proposed (1) 86:6 provide (1) 64:23

		Pledger v. Janssen	-	
provided (1)	range (5)	21:23	review (12)	ruling (1)
47:21	34:25;35:13;67:13;	reject (1)	17:2,6;34:12;43:15;	60:5
Psychiatry (5)	88:15;89:17	43:21	44:2;54:11;79:11;	rung (1)
50:24;65:2,3;66:17,	rate (4)	related (1)	84:7;85:22,23;86:2;	20:20
18	93:4,23,25;102:9	64:10	107:6	rungs (1)
psychologist (2)	rather (4)	relates (1)	reviewed (3)	19:18
53:9,10	71:4;79:5,11;94:3	61:19	55:19,23;56:1	running (6)
psychologists (4)	reaction (2)	relating (1)	reviewing (2)	54:5,7,8,9,10,11
53:11;101:15,20,21	13:10;25:2	21:11	17:11,12	RUPP (1)
psychosis (3)	read (2)	relations (3)	reviews (1)	27:6
37:22;48:20,24	84:4;97:23	85:8,9,12	55:4	
psychotic (6)	reading (1)	relative (1)	Reyes (1)	S
37:9;38:8,11;39:4;	8:24	109:9	61:13	
41:1,7	ready (3)	relevant (2)	<b>R-E-Y-E-S</b> (1)	safe (1)
public (14)	36:23;102:23,24	106:13,14	62:20	86:5
19:2;44:1,21;85:8,9,	real (1)	remained (1)	Reyes-Harde (3)	safety (4)
12,25;86:20;87:9,14;	69:25	55:1	62:20,22,23	25:4;29:6,13;34:12
88:1;99:24;105:3;	really (6)	remains (2)	right (59)	sales (10)
107:12	9:23;51:7;56:16;	55:12;64:2	5:6;6:8;7:20,21;	20:3;59:24;71:4;
publications (1)	72:24;82:20;90:20	remember (9)	11:7,10,14,15,20;13:2;	75:18;79:5;80:23;
27:6	Realtime (1)	8:2,5;17:8,10;40:19;	18:3;19:10;21:8;	104:12,14;105:9,23
published (3)	109:16	79:10;82:2;84:23;	25:13;26:11,12,14;	same (9)
50:15,19;51:16	reasons (3)	98:11	28:25;29:4,6,23;39:22;	48:14,16;49:17,18;
pull (4)	43:17;44:18;107:11	remind (1)	45:21,22;46:5,5,6,8;	91:18;100:20;103:7;
65:20,21;107:2,3	recall (10)	95:23	47:5,13;48:7;54:10;	109:7,21
pulled (1)	26:24;27:2,9;55:8,	renew (1)	58:24;62:1;67:8,8,21;	satisfied (2)
31:11	10,13,15,17;66:5,6	104:5	69:16;72:22;74:7,17; 75:0:70:12 18:87:7:	23:10,11
<b>punitive (1)</b> 105:8	receive (1) 65:14	report (8) 20:1,11,15,16;24:19;	75:9;79:13,18;87:7; 90:18;91:11;93:16;	<b>save (1)</b> 10:6
purpose (5)	received (4)	25:4;94:23;95:5	95:10,25;96:4,8;97:22;	saw (2)
6:21;39:19;50:14;	65:15;66:3;94:7;	reported (9)	93.10,23,90.4,8,97.22, 98:7,15;102:25;103:1,	36:12;56:4
69:18,20	98:4	20:4,4;25:1,8,11;	19;106:5	saying (3)
pursue (3)	recess (5)	34:4;95:2,3;107:24	ring (2)	10:23;16:18;56:8
22:16;44:8,19	46:9,24;47:2;103:2;	reporter (4)	57:10;58:3	schizophrenia (13)
put (13)	107:15	46:17;107:25;	ringing (1)	37:20,23;38:3,9,19,
25:22,24;36:13;	recipient (2)	109:16,23	34:21	20;39:3,5;41:2,8,9;
45:3;50:1;54:18;	61:1,5	represent (1)	RIS (1)	42:2,5
64:14,15;74:14;77:7;	record (3)	34:14	64:5	schizophrenics (1)
96:18,22;98:10	19:8;68:11;105:24	representative (1)	rise (5)	38:6
putting (3)	records (1)	30:22	5:5;12:14;46:10;	schooled (1)
34:23,23;48:8	31:14	reproduction (1)	47:7;103:10	21:20
	recross (1)	109:20	Risperdal (37)	science (3)
Q	32:12	request (3)	16:4;21:3;24:12;	52:8,9;77:3
	redirect (1)	26:19;33:20;42:22	33:24;35:19;38:7,24;	scientific (1)
quarter (3)	32:12	Research (6)	42:24;43:15;51:4,14,	52:12
12:9;103:4,5	refer (3)	14:19;63:7;64:17,	16;52:2,15;53:20;	scientifically (1)
quarters (1)	69:25;95:13,15	20;88:8,9	55:2;57:7,18;58:7,22;	92:6
29:19	reference (1)	reservations (1)	59:1,1,2,2,4,12,13,17;	scientists (3)
quick (1)	7:24	103:24	61:24;66:12,19;67:16;	72:16;78:13,18
50:11	referring (2)	resolve (3)	89:3;90:7,12,13;94:14	screen (4)
quickly (1)	7:8;60:24	64:24;65:10;106:24	risperidone (7)	26:5;62:5;63:20,24
63:10	reflect (1)	respect (1)	29:11;30:11;33:4;	se (1)
	105:24	90:7	58:10;66:15,23,24	64:3
R	refresh (1)	respectful (1)	<b>RMR</b> (1)	seated (4)
	60:15	11:9	109:15	5:7;12:23;47:6,14
raise (3)	regarding (7)	responding (1)	role (1)	second (13)
86:24;87:15;88:16	6:15;9:14;53:4,20;	66:5	21:11	30:9;35:6;37:21;
raised (1)	57:7,25;90:11	response (7)	Romano (1)	38:22,23;61:12;63:12;
88:23	Regardless (1)	6:23;7:3;11:21;48:3;	107:25	68:10;69:3;88:23;
raising (1)	38:23	53:1;73:25;90:21	room (4)	94:24,25;106:7
17:25	regards (1)	responsible (4)	31:17,20;50:1;106:3	seeing (2)
ran (1)	81:21	16:25;23:2;40:4;	ruled (2)	13:21;82:2
50:1	rehearse (1)	85:8	69:6;105:10	seem (1)

John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-8035 Fax 215-683-8005 (9) provided - seem

22:22	46:1
sense (1)	smile (1)
58:19	84:2
sent (2) 59:18;77:12	<b>so-called (3)</b> 64:4,10;79:14
sentence (6)	solution (1)
65:9,20,21,22;85:20;	58:17
86:10	somebody (1)
sentences (1)	41:20
99:21 separate (2)	<b>someone (7)</b> 28:3;41:20;59:18;
20:24;66:25	75:16,20;102:5,8
serves (2)	someplace (1)
6:21;77:22	89:15
session (5) 87:22,24;88:5;	<b>sometimes (3)</b> 76:10;85:15,25
107:21,23	somewhere (4)
settled (1)	29:5;37:23;39:8;
6:16	45:14
seven (1) 29:25	<b>soon (1)</b> 37:16
several (5)	sorry (6)
17:17;24:13;43:5;	17:21,24;18:17;
44:17;66:18	40:12;83:15;99:5
Shaking (1) 84:11	<b>sound (3)</b> 26:11;28:24;29:4
shots (1)	sounds (4)
23:6	71:2,4;79:5;80:23
show (21)	South (1)
31:7;32:10;40:15; 42:16;54:6,7,8,12,14;	84:20 space (2)
55:22;59:22;60:18,19;	18:5;23:16
62:14;72:22,24;76:17;	speak (1)
83:6;95:17,17;106:18	46:18
<b>showed (2)</b> 84:8;93:11	<b>specific (6)</b> 7:8;14:18;16:6;
showing (3)	55:10;97:20;105:7
10:9;32:21;33:2	specifically (1)
shown (2)	105:22
27:24;36:20 side (5)	<b>spelling (1)</b> 46:18
56:22,25;74:12;	spend (2)
88:20;100:21	15:25;16:1
sides (1)	spent (1)
101:23 sign (1)	21:8 stand (3)
19:4	11:14;12:12;75:7
signed (2)	stands (2)
18:22,22	63:8;82:20
<b>simple (2)</b> 59:16;87:23	<b>start (2)</b> 64:23;103:4
simply (6)	state (1)
9:20;13:23;33:2;	85:4
40:15;69:14;70:4	stated (1)
<b>single (2)</b> 15:21;28:1	107:11 statement (3)
sitting (1)	82:18;93:21,24
57:5	States (6)
situation (1)	27:19;28:17;36:3;
106:6 sloppy (1)	41:11;84:19,23 stay (1)
81:15	75:9
slow (1)	step (1)

(Jury Trial-Morning Session) - XIII - February 11, 201	5
Pledger v. Janssen	

75:5 steps (3) 48:2;80:19;85:24 still (2) 41:12;55:12 stock (8) 6:15;7:17,22,24; 9:15,22;10:3,14 stop (1) 64:18 stops (1) 16:19 straight (1) 59:16 straitjacket (9) 88:24;89:3,6,22; 90:2,4;91:2;100:18; 102:5 street (1) 34:18 stretch (1) 63:15 studies (1) 81:15 study (4) 17:15;27:6;51:8; 93:10 subject (4) 69:5;78:17;96:13; 99:2 submission (4) 43:22;45:7;48:2; 85:23 submit (1) 43:20 submitted (3) 17:3,7;43:21 substantial (2) 44:21;81:18 sufficient (1) 6:18 suggests (1) 64:6 SULLIVAN (47) 11:4,17;17:21,24; 22:10;23:8,19;30:12, 16,24;31:6,23;32:4,8; 39:24;42:21;46:22,25 49:6;59:25;61:4,18; 69:4;72:1,8;74:5,9,13 24;75:4;76:20,25; 79:23;83:15;96:20; 99:16;104:4,11,21,24; 105:6,21;106:16; 107:2,5,8,18 summary (1) 82:19 supervision (2) 78:17;109:22 Support (1) 5:19 supposed (2) 74:21:75:10

	Sure (22)	term (9)
	6:6;8:12;15:10;	38:11;48:11;82:2;
	17:19;18:25;24:17;	88:21;89:6,12;90:4,14;
	31:13,19;32:5;39:9;	100:22
	45:5;53:13,15;54:8;	terminology (3)
	55:3;67:23;73:1;	79:16;81:9,11
	82:13;92:18;93:15;	terms (2)
	100:5;107:13	11:5;104:14
	surprised (4)	testified (1)
	67:24,25;68:3;75:14	84:13
	Susan (3)	testify (2)
	94:8,8,10	9:10;51:21
	sustained (12)	testifying (1)
	10:19;49:7,8;74:8,	84:14
	10;77:2;99:19;104:19;	testimony (4)
	105:14;106:9,11;107:9	9:3;10:5;39:17;
	symptoms (1)	41:24
	64:11	Thanks (2)
		26:18;93:6
	Т	theoretically (3)
	<u> </u>	9:24;10:4,7
	table (5)	thereabouts (2)
	32:22;34:4;41:21;	54:24;58:24
	91:1,1	thinking (1)
	tablet (2)	5:13
	35:21;58:18	though (2)
	talk (16)	5:21;7:22
	22:9;23:22,22;	thought (4)
	36:10;41:18,18;55:10;	75:22;76:2,2;89:20
	60:2;65:9,17;70:23;	Three (7)
	83:7;90:13;94:5;	19:4,8,20;29:18;
	103:20,23	51:22;85:1;93:1
	talked (1)	tied (2)
	57:12	10:10;106:17
	talking (11)	till (4)
	9:16;21:19;38:25;	12:8;47:6;65:8;
	39:2;43:8;45:8;57:6;	103:2
	61:7;87:8;95:24;107:1	times (6)
	talks (2)	28:9;43:5;57:25;
	57:8;65:19	84:12;85:1;93:13
	Task (2)	tired (3)
	64:17,19	95:18;96:3,7
	teachers (1)	Titusville (1)
	101:21	20:10
	team (18)	today (3)
	15:5;21:13;23:2,7;	11:25;12:8;57:6
	32:13;37:2;52:2,5;	together (1)
;	75:20;79:13;80:1;	86:2
,	82:6;94:11,12,17,19,	told (5)
	22,22	23:24;24:9,18;
,	teams (1)	45:12;75:7
	94:21	took (3)
;	Technician (2)	12:12;24:11,13
,	26:19;33:20	top (6)
	telephone (2)	11:7;33:15;36:2,6;
	100:6,7	55:9;102:19
	telling (1)	topic (1)
	75:2	50:10
	ten (5)	top-line (2)
	26:11,15,16;51:23,	88:11,12
	20.11,13,10,51.23, 24	total (4)
	ten-minute (2)	33:17,19;35:5,7
	46:8,24	totally (1)
	то.0,2 т	······································

		Pledger v. Janssen	Γ	
8:16	9:7	105:12	24;46:21;61:1,1;	
towards (1)	unfortunately (2)	Vitae (1)	68:25;70:21;80:6;	Y
13:21	71:13,13	50:12	84:5;86:14,16,19;	1
trademark (1)	United (4)	volunteer (1)	95:23;97:3;98:9;	
58:9	27:19;28:17;36:3;	5:18	103:22;104:1;105:25;	<b>year (3)</b> 28:1,2,4
transcribed (1)	41:11		106:2	yearly (1)
107:24	Unless (2)	$\mathbf{W}$	witnesses (1)	34:2
transcript (2)	74:14;109:21		11:25	years (30)
109:7,20	up (26)	Wait (1)	witnesses' (1)	5:23;23:25;24:14,
transpired (3)	7:12;8:4,11;20:3;	31:2	7:24	15;27:24,25;28:5,9,10,
5:1;12:20;103:16	21:4;25:24,25;31:20;	wants (1)	witness's (1)	23;29:12,19,23;30:1;
traveling (1)	36:13;42:19;50:11;	46:18	10:23	35:1,7,10,11,12,15,17,
16:3	59:16;64:15;65:21;	warning (1)	woman (1)	18;90:3,5,10;94:14,18;
treatise (1)	67:10;70:24;72:22;	92:19	15:5	95:2,3,4
99:14	74:15;77:7;87:15,18;	way (27)	wonder (15)	Yellow (1)
trial (3)	89:17,21,22,23,24	6:6,8;10:10;16:22;	67:17,19,19,20;	103:7
41:25;92:17;109:6	update (1)	25:21;28:18;32:17;	69:13;70:5,8,11;71:5,	Yep (1)
tried (1)	29:13	45:2;48:14;52:15;	9,10;73:2;76:4,6;81:1	80:14
48:18	USA (3)	53:7;55:5,6;57:12;	wondering (1)	yes-or-no (1)
true (3)	14:14;20:12;62:25	58:8;59:4,22;67:16;	11:11 word (18)	25:18
31:15;77:4;105:20	<b>use (13)</b> 23:1;27:21;28:18;	71:1;78:24;84:12;	word (18)	yesterday (12)
<b>Truman (1)</b> 16:22	48:10,11;49:3;59:11;	90:19;91:20;92:12,22; 94:21;106:4	47:18;54:7,14; 57:24;67:24;68:1,4,6,	10:19;16:16;26:1,
truth (3)	48:10,11,49:5,59:11, 62:2;68:6,9;69:13;	<b>Wayne (1)</b>	9;69:13;70:10;71:9;	24;36:12;39:1;42:21;
70:16,23;71:15	70:12;106:20	20:8	74:20;75:23,25;82:21;	43:18;45:10;85:24;
try (2)	used (23)	ways (2)	89:21;102:18	90:17;103:20
14:18;71:6	36:4;67:24,25;68:3;	59:6,10	words (6)	Ζ
trying (8)	69:15;70:10;71:3,9,14;	weeks (4)	56:3;62:4;70:11;	L
5:25;10:5;21:16,25;	81:2,6,7,12;89:2,6,25;	26:10,11,15,16	71:3;80:1;86:5	zero (1)
40:11;46:1;75:21;76:3	90:6,10,12,14;92:13,	What's (6)	work (1)	33:14
TV (1)	14;102:14	49:18;52:7;65:1;	6:19	55.14
57:4	using (5)	88:7;97:7;105:5	working (5)	0
tweak (2)	34:1;39:19;89:11,	whenever (4)	16:2,5,9;59:8;	0
70:14,16	12;102:1	18:21;87:5,5,5	106:14	01 (1)
twice (1)	USPI (1)	Whereupon (8)	works (3)	33:17
49:22	40:3	12:17;46:13;47:2,	25:21;55:6;72:21	06 (1)
two (15)	usually (2)	10;83:20;103:13;	workshop (7)	27:9
10:2;19:20;49:11,	15:19;78:5	107:23;108:2	97:13;98:19,23;	
11,12,19,20;52:23;	N7	whichever (1)	99:15,22,23;100:4	1
71:2;85:21;86:10;	V	26:2	worldwide (4)	
92:23;94:3,20;99:21		white (1)	15:18;23:4;29:9;	1:30 (3)
two-billion-dollar (1)	value (1)	31:1	35:7	103:2,5;107:16
59:23	19:1	whole (5)	write (6)	1:45 (2)
<b>two-page (1)</b> 98:1	<b>vantage (1)</b> 22:21	31:17,20;32:5; 88:15;89:17	45:1;70:7;78:4,10, 11,14	107:16,16
70.1	various (4)	who's (2)	writer (3)	10 (1)
U	53:25;88:1;94:13,17	20:17;85:6	67:11;77:19,20	8:14
U	version (1)	whose (1)	writers (2)	<b>10:08</b> (1)
Uhmm (5)	50:6	15:6	78:6,22	12:18
16:11;24:7;55:14,	versus (1)	wide (1)	writing (8)	<b>10:54</b> (1)
21;59:9	93:12	49:3	67:22,23;77:23;	46:14
ultimate (1)	VIDEO (2)	withdraw (3)	78:7,8,9,11,15	<b>11:11 (1)</b> 47:11
91:23	33:10;99:7	22:14,18;96:2	written (12)	47:11 11:54 (1)
ultimately (3)	videotape (1)	within (6)	67:9,11,12,13;71:2;	61:16
16:24;23:6;54:5	11:25	10:17;20:4,19,22;	73:6;77:25,25;78:2,14,	11115820 (1)
unapprovable (1)	view (3)	52:5;85:7	16,20	34:16
45:14	71:4;74:3;79:5	Without (3)	wrong (4)	12 (3)
under (4)	Viewable (1)	36:7;89:24;99:14	27:18;37:19;39:9;	39:13,14,15
26:16;60:7;78:16;	63:17	witness (35)	91:22	12:13 (1)
109:21	violates (1)	6:17;8:17,18,20,21;	wrote (2)	103:14
understood (1)	60:1	9:19;11:8;12:12;13:4;	69:10,11	12:15 (3)
88:13	violation (5)	22:11,21;23:12,15;		12:8;46:5;102:21
unfairly (1)	60:1,4;104:7,13;	32:24;39:21;40:21,22,		129,000 (1)
			i de la companya de l	1

John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-8035 Fax 215-683-8005

		Pledger v. Janssen	
35:3	2006 (16)		
129,889 (1)	16:6,8;21:1,4;26:23;	9	
35:10	27:11,14,17;92:25;	-	
13 (2)	93:2,3,10,14,19,21,24	9 (1)	
61:14;62:10	2007 (1)		
133,000 (1)	27:1	26:17	
29:22	204,830 (1)	9:58 (1)	
		5:3	
14 (1)	35:15	91 (1)	
60:20	21 (2)	50:4	
14062633 (1)	87:21;98:25	94 (1)	
8:15	211,745 (1)	83:11	
17 (7)	35:17	95-A (1)	
28:24;29:11;30:11;	25 (4)	98:15	
33:4;35:10,11,13	16:3;28:8,9;93:13	97 (1)	
17-year (1)	276,000 (1)	90:14	
34:25	35:4	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
1801 (1)	276,611 (1)		
6:3	35:1		
186,990 (1)	29 (2)		
35:12	81:25;83:7		
<b>1991</b> (1)		4	1
50:16	3		1
<b>1993 (4)</b>	5	-	
37:8,11,12,16	3 (2)		
	3 (2)		
1997 (2)	30:20;31:22		
42:19,20			
3	4		
2			
	4.7 (1)		
2 (1)	35:9		
93:12	49 (1)		
2.3 (1)	93:12		
93:4		-	
2:00 (3)	5		
12:9;103:4,5			
2000 (8)	5 (9)		
16:8;20:25,25;36:8;	9:2;28:24;29:11;		
39:9;43:3,3,6	30:11;33:4;35:1,10,10,		
2000s (4)	13		
37:19,24;40:25;	5- (1)		
59:23	34:25		
2001 (9)	500 (1)		
28:13,15;29:12;	105:4		
	105.4	_	
30:3;33:5;34:24;35:3,	7		1
4,6	1		1
2002 (10)	70/20 (1)		1
16:6;19:21;26:22;	70/30 (1)		1
27:17;35:12;40:24;	16:12		1
41:3,6;42:2,3	733,000 (3)		
2003 (15)	29:24;30:1;35:21		
35:15;42:5;45:11;	733,454 (2)		1
54:24,25;57:16,17;	28:23;35:18		1
58:14,22;60:20;61:14;	75 (4)		
62:10,23;91:22;98:25	16:1,2,9;21:7		
2004 (16)		4	1
28:13,15;29:12;	8		1
30:3;33:5;35:17;	_	4	1
45:14;82:1;83:3,7;	8 (2)		1
85:20;92:11;94:6,7;	94:5,7		1
95:6,14	<b>89</b> (1)		1
2005 (9)	35:22		1
45:6,6;47:25;48:5,8,			
8,23;49:10;91:22	8th (1)		
0,23,73.10,31.22	65:7		