

**From:** Deloria, Carmen [JANUS]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 22, 2002 1:56 PM  
**To:** Lin, Joseph [JANUS]  
**Subject:** FW: pooled prolactin manuscript

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed



pooled prolactin  
manuscript Au...

For your review.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Pandina, Gahan [JANUS]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 21, 2002 10:36 AM  
**To:** Binder, Carin [JOI]; De Smedt, Goedele [PRDBE]; Nys, Vincent [JanBe]; Reyes-Harde, Magali [JANUS]; Deloria, Carmen [JANUS]; Jacko, Mary [PRDUS]; Braendle, Daniel [JACCH]; Rupnow, Marcia [JANUS]  
**Cc:** Derivan, Albert [PRDUS]; Caers, Ivo [PRDBE]  
**Subject:** RE: pooled prolactin manuscript

Dear Team,

Attached please find my comments. I think the paper is overall constructed well and well-written. I think we need to include the lack of association between Tanner/height delay and PRL level or SHAP, as our advisors tell us that this is one serious concern about prolactin. If we can demonstrate that the transient rise in PRL does not result in abnormal maturation or SHAP, this would be most reassuring to clinicians. I realize that these manuscripts are being developed in parallel, but the relationship here is important. We have also had many concerns about patients who are maintained on stimulants, as this might affect PRL level, and no subanalyses were included. Perhaps we can discuss prior to the next revision. Congratulations on the Tanner data being accepted. Great news! Maybe this will make it easier for us to include this as a subanalysis in this paper.

Gahan

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Binder, Carin [JOI]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 15, 2002 11:06 AM  
**To:** De Smedt, Goedele [PRDBE]; Nys, Vincent [JanBe]; Reyes-Harde, Magali [JANUS]; Deloria, Carmen [JANUS]; Pandina, Gahan [JANUS]; Jacko, Mary [PRDUS]; Braendle, Daniel [JACCH]; Rupnow, Marcia [JANUS]  
**Cc:** Derivan, Albert [PRDUS]; Caers, Ivo [PRDBE]  
**Subject:** pooled prolactin manuscript

Dear Pediatric Publication Team,


May I ask you to please review the attached draft manuscript within the next 2 weeks if possible. Since this is holiday time - leeway will be extended to early September. I have inserted some comments in yellow for our authors to clarify - please ignore these. Remember that the growth/Tanner analysis is being written as a separate paper (Brief Report format) which is why we did not look at Tanner staging/growth in this prolactin paper.

Key message- prolactin rise is transient and not related to side effects hypothetically attributed to prolactin, EPS or efficacy response.

Did we ever discuss which Journal to submit to? Your choices will be welcomed!


Note - the Tanner/Growth abstract to AACAP was accepted!

1



JJRE 00115168

Confidential/Produced in Litigation Pursuant to Protective Order



Regards,  
Carin

PS If this needs to be sent to other people to review - please forward. Thanks

<< File: pooled prolactin manuscript 08.02.doc >>

Confidentiality notice: This email may contain confidential or legally privileged information and is intended only for the individual or entity named in the email address. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance upon the contents of this email not otherwise authorized by the sender is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email transmission in error, please reply immediately to the sender, so that proper delivery of the email can be effected and then please delete the email from your Inbox. Thank-you.